The possible role of anaerobic bacteria in the aetiology of non-gonococcal urethritis in men
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SUMMARY Men with non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU) were divided into two groups and treated with either lymecycline or tinidazole; anaerobic cultures were performed before and after treatment. Neither treatment affected the anaerobic flora. However, the men treated with lymecycline were relieved of symptoms and signs, while those treated with tinidazole were not. The anaerobic bacteria found are considered normal inhabitants of the urethral tract and without significance in the aetiology of NGU.

Introduction

Non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU) is considered in many areas of the world to be the most common sexually transmitted disease. The Expert Committee on Venereal Diseases for the World Health Organisation of the United Nations recommended in 1948 that 'in view of reports from many countries on the increasing importance of genito-infections of unclassified or ill-defined origin, the possibility of new entities of venereal infections being recognised in the future should be stressed. Collection of data on these conditions is desirable' (cited by Harkness, 1950).

During the last few years several reports have been presented indicating that Chlamydia might be responsible for about 40% of the cases of NGU (Holmes et al., 1975). There is also reason to believe that a small percentage of NGU is caused by other micro-organisms, such as Ureaplasma urealyticum and herpes virus type 2 (Catterall, 1973). In about 50% of cases the aetiology of NGU is still unexplained. In two recent studies neither group B streptococci (Wallin and Forsgren, 1975) nor the oral flora (Forsum et al., 1977) was of any significant importance for the presence of clinical symptoms or signs of genital infections.

During the past few years there has been growing interest in anaerobic infections, including infections of the urinary tract. Hafiz et al. (1975) isolated Clostridium difficile from the urethra of 42 men with NGU but not from a group of men attending a general urological clinic. They thought C. difficile could be the cause of NGU. The main purpose of the present study was to evaluate the importance of anaerobic bacteria in the development of genital disease in men. This was done by culturing anaerobes from the urethra of men with NGU and by studying the effects of treatment on clinical symptoms and on growth of anaerobic bacteria. Lymecycline (Tetralysal®), a tetracycline product known to be effective in treating men with NGU (Willcox, 1972), was given to one group, and tinidazole (Fasigyn®), a nitroimidazole, with high activity in vitro against anaerobic pathogens (Dornbusch and Nord, 1974), was given to the other.

Material and methods

Men attending the venereal diseases clinic complaining of dysuria and/or urethral discharge and with an increase of urethral leucocytes (next page) were studied. Patients who had had antibiotics during the previous two weeks, those who had intraurethral warts, and those who could not return for a second visit were excluded. The number of men studied was 43. They were aged between 20 and 38 years (mean 26 years).

CLINICAL PROCEDURE

The selected patients were asked not to urinate for at least three hours before examination. With a
sterile plastic loop a specimen of the urethral discharge was collected and a direct smear stained with methylene blue. The smear was examined microscopically for polymorphonuclear leucocytes (PMN) and Gram-negative intracellular diplococci (GNID). NGU was diagnosed if 10 or more PMN were found per high-power field (HPF) in 10 fields, using ×100 objective and a 12.5x ocular, in the absence of GNID. The diagnosis was confirmed by negative gonococcal culture from a specimen taken with a charcoal-coated cotton swab inserted 1 cm into the urethra. Specimens for the culture of anaerobic bacteria were taken with a sterile cotton swab inserted 2 cm into the urethra and sent to the laboratory in a modified Stuart's medium (Gästrin et al., 1968).

The patients were asked not to have sexual intercourse during the time of the study and to return for a follow-up visit 14 days after treatment had started. Symptoms were then recorded, smears prepared for microscopical examination, and specimens taken for anaerobic culture.

**TREATMENT**

The men were divided into two groups: those born in an even year (23 men) were treated with lymecycline (Tetralysal®) 0.3 g twice daily for one week. Those born in an odd year (19 men) were treated with tinidazole (Fasigyn®) 0.15 g twice daily for one week.

**BACTERIOLOGICAL METHODS**

The specimens were cultured within two hours of collection. The media used for the aerobes included blood agar with and without gentian violet, haematin agar, and phenol-red-mannitol agar. The plates were incubated at 37°C, the haematin agar and the gentian violet agar plates in an atmosphere containing 5% carbon dioxide.

For the isolation of gonococci, GC agar base (BBL, Maryland, USA) was used with the addition of 2% haemoglobin (BBL) and 1% IsoVitalex (BBL). Selective agar was also used, prepared as above with the addition of 1% vancomycin-colistin-nystatin (BBL). Each sample was cultivated on both media. Oxidase positive colonies were examined using the immunofluorescent technique described by Forsum (1972).

For isolation of anaerobic bacteria, brain heart infusion agar base (Difco, Detroit, Michigan, USA) with the addition of 0.5% yeast extract (Difco), 5% sheep blood, menadione (0.5 mg/l, Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, Mo., USA) and haemin (5 mg/l, Sigma Chemical Co.) was used. The plates were used within two hours of preparation and incubated anaerobically at 37°C using the Gas Pak® system (BBL, Maryland, USA).

Aerobic plates were examined after 24 and 48 hours. The anaerobic jars were opened after 48 hours. If there was no growth, the plates were incubated for another two days. Aerobic bacteria were identified according to standard biochemical procedures. The anaerobic bacteria were characterised by Gram stains and gas chromatographic analyses performed as described by Holdeman and Moore (1972). For sensitivity testing the technique of Ericsson and Sherris (1971) was used. The agar was the same as for the original anaerobic cultivation. The bacteria were suspended carefully, without blowing, in sterile sodium chloride (0.85%) and flooded on each plate. Excess suspension was removed and the plates dried at 37°C. Impregnated antibiotic discs were then applied to the plates which were incubated for 48 hours at 37°C in Gas Pak® anaerobic jars.

**Results**

Tables 1 and 2 present the bacteriological findings from the urethral discharge of men with NGU.

**Table 1 Aerobic bacteria isolated from urethral discharge from 43 men with NGU before and after treatment with lymecycline or tinidazole**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aerobic bacteria</th>
<th>Lymecycline Before treatment</th>
<th>Lymecycline After treatment</th>
<th>Tinidazole Before treatment</th>
<th>Tinidazole After treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus albus</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-streptococci</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-streptococci Group B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-streptococci other groups</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphteroids</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2 Anaerobic bacteria isolated from urethral discharge from 43 men with NGU before and after treatment with lymecycline or tinidazole**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anaerobic bacteria</th>
<th>Lymecycline Before treatment</th>
<th>Lymecycline After treatment</th>
<th>Tinidazole Before treatment</th>
<th>Tinidazole After treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peptococcus sp.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptostreptococcus sp.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus sp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionibacterium sp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esabacterium sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridium sp.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-positive rods</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veillonella sp.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides sp.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-negative rods</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
before and after treatment with either lymecycline or tinidazole. There was no reduction of the aerobic or anaerobic flora after either treatment. After treatment with lymecycline 87% of the men had no symptoms compared with 37% who were given tinidazole (Table 3).

**Table 3 Effect of treatment on men with NGU**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lymecycline</th>
<th>Tinidazole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients (%)</td>
<td>No. of patients (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No symptoms</td>
<td>20 (87)</td>
<td>7 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>7 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4 Findings of PMN by direct microscopical examination after treatment of men with NGU**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lymecycline</th>
<th>Tinidazole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of PMN/HPF</td>
<td>No. of patients (%)</td>
<td>No. of patients (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>11 (48)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>8 (35)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
<td>16 (84)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of leucocytes in the urethral smears after treatment is shown in Table 4. An increase in the number of cells was found in 17% of the patients receiving lymecycline and in 84% of those given tinidazole.

The bacteriological cultures from the urethra and cervix of the female partners of 12 of the men with NGU yielded the same aerobic and anaerobic bacteria as from the male urethra, irrespective of symptoms or signs in the women. Different bacteria were found in the men and their partners.

Antibiotic sensitivity testing of the isolated strains showed that more than half the Gram-positive cocci isolated were sensitive to the tetracycline group of antibiotics. This was also true for the *Clostridia* and *Lactobacilli* isolated. Unfortunately, tinidazole was not available for sensitivity testing at the time of the study. Testing of anaerobes later isolated from clinical specimens however have shown that about 90% of the *Bacteroides* and the *Clostridia* are sensitive to metronidazole, while about 30% of the Gram-positive cocci and 60% of the *Lactobacilli* are resistant.

**Discussion**

The aerobic and anaerobic bacteria isolated from the urethra of men with NGU correspond well with what are considered to be normal findings in other reports (Finegold *et al.*, 1965; Gorbach and Bartlett, 1974; Weinberg, 1974; Smith, 1975). Although anaerobes could be isolated from almost every case of NGU no single positive culture of *C. difficile* was found. This is in sharp contrast with the results of Hafiz *et al.* (1975) who found *C. difficile* in 100% of men with NGU but in none of the men attending a urological clinic. The difference cannot be explained by lack of appropriate sampling and cultivating techniques, as at the same time we found strains of *C. difficile* in samples from other patients examined. *C. difficile* is easy to identify as it has a characteristic gas chromatographic pattern. Unfortunately, the method used by Hafiz *et al.* (1975) to identify the organism is not known to us and we lack clinical information about their urological patients. Hafiz *et al.* (1975) found *C. difficile* in 71% of women attending a special clinic, compared with only 18% in women attending a family planning clinic. In the absence of clinical details concerning these women we cannot evaluate this difference. We did not find *C. difficile* in any of our female patients.

Treatment of NGU with lymecycline improved the signs of NGU in 83% and the corresponding figure for tinidazole was 16%. Neither treatment altered the anaerobic flora in the urethra, although most of the anaerobic strains were found to be sensitive to both antibiotics as reported by Martin *et al.* (1972). One probable explanation could be that anaerobes multiplied during the period between the end of treatment and the follow-up visit. The predominance of anaerobic bacteria in the bowel and in the perineal area (Attebery *et al.*, 1974; Weinberg, 1974; Smith, 1975) might explain such a fast colonisation.

Nothing was found to suggest that anaerobic bacteria were important for the development of NGU in men.

*Bacteroides fragilis*, the anaerobic bacterium which is predominant in the bowel, has also been isolated from the cervix of healthy women (Gorbach *et al.*, 1973). This organism is well known not only in cases of pelvic inflammatory disease, like salpingitis, but also in lower genital tract infections in women, like Bartholin's abscess (Swenson *et al.*, 1973). We did not isolate *B. fragilis* from any case of NGU. The lower genital tract of men certainly has anaerobic recesses, like the para-urethral ducts, the seminal vesicles, and the prostate where *B. fragilis* might readily grow, but the anatomical differences make a heavy spread from the anorectal reservoir less likely in men. However, male homosexuals with rectal coitus must be considered a high-risk group for this kind of infection.

It is not known if the presence of anaerobic bacteria in the genital urethral flora is important,
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and further study is required to determine whether a disturbance in this flora is an important factor in cases of NGU still lacking aetiological explanation. In this study we did not get any long-standing changes in the anaerobic flora with one week's treatment with lymecycline or tinidazole. Therefore from this point of view these drugs seem safe to use in genitourinary infections.
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