Accepted for publication 13 February 1986

Immunotherapy of genital warts with inosine pranobex (Imunovir): preliminary study
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SUMMARY  One hundred and sixty five heterosexual men and women with genital warts were treated with inosine pranobex (Imunovir) or conventional treatment, or both. Inosine pranobex was found to be more effective in lesions of longer duration, whereas conventional treatment was more effective in genital warts of a shorter duration. Supplementation of conventional treatment with inosine pranobex increased the success rate from 41% to 94%. Immunological studies in 134 patients with genital warts showed an increased number of B cells in 21% of peripheral blood samples. Absence of major defects among circulating lymphocytes suggested that patients with genital warts may have a local immune reaction.

Introduction

Various studies have shown a defective cell mediated immune system in patients with chronic warts.1,2 B cell activity in the form of IgG complement fixing antibody has been reported to be associated with regression of warts.3,4 The conventional treatment, which is available, is not universally satisfactory. Various alternative methods of treatment have been used to stimulate the immune system and produce a permanent cure. An antihelminthic, levamisole, was found to restore the cutaneous delayed hypersensitivity in anergic patients with cancer.5 In one study levamisole produced a cure rate of 90% in patients with common warts.6 A subsequent double blind study, however, showed that levamisole was no more effective than placebo.7 This drug has also become unpopular because of adverse reactions.8

Recently, a relatively safe immunomodulator, inosine pranobex (Imunovir, Edwin Burgess Limited), was introduced in the United Kingdom for the treatment of mucocutaneous herpes simplex virus infection.9 We decided to include inosine pranobex in a prospective study on the management of genital warts. The aims of the study were twofold: to study the immunology of patients with genital warts; to monitor the efficacy of conventional treatment, inosine pranobex alone, and conventional treatment supplemented by inosine pranobex.

This study was undertaken after approval had been given by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines, United Kingdom.

Patients, materials, and methods

The study, which was conducted at St Luke’s Hospital, Bradford, and Airedale General Hospital, Steeton, included heterosexual men and non-pregnant women over 16 years of age, with at least three genital warts. All the men had warts on the glans, shaft, or coronal sulcus. None had intrameatal warts. Women had vulval or perianal warts, or both. Some of the women also had warts affecting the vagina and cervix. All patients were screened for various other sexually transmitted diseases. Those found to have other diseases, either on entry to the study or during follow up, were excluded from the analysis.

The study was performed in two parts. In the initial phase 65 patients were treated according to the routine procedures of the department (conventional treatment). Subsequently, following ethical approval, a further group of 145 patients were entered into a prospective randomised study, which compared the efficacy of conventional treatment, inosine pranobex, and conventional treatment supplemented with inosine pranobex. Patients in both parts of the study were similar as regards age, sex, and severity of disease.
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TREATMENT
Details of the treatment regimens were as follows:

Conventional treatment
Podophyllin 25% applied topically twice weekly for four weeks. If no response occurred patients received cryotherapy, or electrocautery if they had large warts.

Inosine pranobex
One gram three times daily by mouth for four weeks.

Conventional treatment plus inosine pranobex
Podophyllin, cryotherapy, or electrocautery, plus 1 g inosine pranobex three times daily for four weeks.

FOLLOW UP
Patients were assessed at six and eight weeks and thereafter every four weeks, up to 24 weeks. Clinical cure was defined as the disappearance of the warts and the return of skin texture to normal. Relapse was defined as an initial clinical response but recurrence of the lesions during follow up. Cases that showed no improvement after six weeks were regarded as failures.

HAEMATOLOGY TESTS
Specimens of peripheral blood were taken for detailed immunological tests before treatment was started. Samples were not taken from those patients whose attendance at the clinic did not coincide with normal laboratory hours. Specimens taken from 21 healthy adult volunteers were used as controls.

Peripheral blood was collected into edetic acid anticoagulant for routine haematological investigations (Coulter S Plus 11), including absolute lymphocyte counts. Samples were also tested for B cells and T cell subpopulations, as described by Mills et al. The monoclonal antibodies used were Leu 12 (pan B cell determinant: Becton Dickinson), T11 (pan T, SRBC associated determinant: Coulter), OKT4 (helper T cell associated: Ortho), and OKT8 (suppressor T cell associated: Ortho). Ox erythrocytes coated with antibody were prepared by chromic chloride coupling and these “indicator” erythrocytes were used at a concentration of 1% in phosphate buffered saline. The observed percentages of CrCl-monoclonal antibody rosette forming cells were corrected for non-lymphocyte (monocyte) contamination in the mononuclear fractions, and the absolute numbers of B, T, T helper, and T suppressor cells were calculated from the absolute peripheral blood lymphocyte counts.

Results
A total of 165 patients, 85 men and 80 women, completed the clinical study. Their mean age was 21.2 years. The number of patients who defaulted was similar in both parts of the study, and was mainly due to inadequate follow up. Table I shows the overall clinical response to the various treatments.

Of 36 patients treated with inosine pranobex, 14 (39%) were cured, nine (25%) relapsed, and there were 13 (36%) treatment failures. The final rate of cure in the 91 receiving conventional treatment was 37 (41%), with six (7%) relapses and 48 (52%) clinical failures. In this group podophyllin cured 19 (32%) of 60 patients, and 17 (59%) of the 29 patients who had cryotherapy were treated successfully. Electrocautery was used in only two patients; one was cured and the other relapsed. Statistical analysis showed that though cryotherapy or electrocautery, or both, was significantly more effective than podophyllin (p<0.05, x² test), there were no differences between the responses obtained with inosine pranobex and podophyllin, cryotherapy, or electrocautery.

Further analysis of these two treatment groups indicated that inosine pranobex was more successful when used in patients whose warts had been present for a long time (mean duration 39.2 weeks), whereas conventional treatment was more effective with lesions of a shorter duration (mean 12.6 weeks) (table II).

When conventional treatment (primarily podophyllin) was supplemented by a course of inosine pranobex, the rate of cure was increased to 95% (36 of 38 patients). Relapse and failure were each recorded in one case.

Inosine pranobex was well tolerated. None of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
<th>Overall clinical response of 165 patients to various treatment regimens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inosine pranobex (17 men, 19 women)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>7 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>7 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14 (39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

[Note: The table content is partially omitted for brevity. The full table is provided in the document.]
patients reported side effects.

Tables III and IV show the immunological findings in 134 patients and 21 healthy volunteers. Of the 134 patients, 75 subsequently received conventional treatment, inosine pranobex was given to 26, and 33 were in the combined treatment regimen group. Analysis of variance showed no significant difference between the three treatment groups in respect of the absolute numbers of lymphocytes, T cells, Leu-12+ B cells, OKT-4+ T helper, or OKT-8+ T suppressor cells. Similarly, no significant differences (Mann-Whitney rank sum test) were found between the male and female patient groups when compared with those of the normal controls. When the data for individual patients were analysed, however, various abnormalities were observed. An increased number of Leu-12+ B cells were recorded in 28 (21%) patients; OKT-4+ T helper cells were reduced in seven (5%) patients and increased in 12 (9%). Increased OKT-8+ T suppressor cells were present in eight (6%) patients.

**Discussion**

In this open study we found that inosine pranobex cured 39% of patients treated. This success rate does not differ significantly from that achieved with podophyllin or cryotherapy and does not justify the use of inosine pranobex alone for treating genital warts.

Overall, conventional treatment achieved a success rate of only 41%. This was mainly due to the large number of patients given podophyllin. Podophyllin has already been reported as giving a poor response. In our hands cryotherapy cured 59% of patients, a similar success rate to that reported elsewhere.

The observation that conventional treatment was particularly effective in patients whose warts had been diagnosed recently, whereas inosine pranobex proved more successful in lesions of longer duration, was interesting. The apparently lower efficacy of conventional treatment (primarily podophyllin) in warts of a longer duration may be a reflection of the previous failure of conventional treatment in some subjects. There is no such obvious explanation, however, for the differing responses to inosine pranobex in the two types of patients. It is tempting to speculate that the relative efficacy of conventional treatment and immunotherapy in the two categories of warts accounted for the higher clinical success rate of 94% achieved when the two modes of treatment were combined. This point warrants further study.

The immunological findings in patients with genital warts did not show any appreciable change in the number of OKT-4+ T helper and OKT-8+ T suppressor cells, or in the ratio of these T cell subpopulations. The most common abnormality was

**TABLE II** Correlation between response and duration of lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mean (SEM) duration of lesions in weeks</th>
<th>Patients successfully treated</th>
<th>Patients unsuccessfully treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>reported</td>
<td>recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inosine pranobex*</td>
<td>39·2 (4·4)</td>
<td>13·8 (4·1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 14)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>12·6 (2·4)</td>
<td>31·4 (5·5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treatment*</td>
<td>(n = 37)</td>
<td>(n = 48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p <0·001 Mann-Whitney U test; cures v failures

**Discussion**

In this open study we found that inosine pranobex cured 39% of patients treated. This success rate does not differ significantly from that achieved with podophyllin or cryotherapy and does not justify the use of inosine pranobex alone for treating genital warts.

Overall, conventional treatment achieved a success rate of only 41%. This was mainly due to the large number of patients given podophyllin. Podophyllin has already been reported as giving a poor response. In our hands cryotherapy cured 59% of patients, a similar success rate to that reported elsewhere.

The observation that conventional treatment was particularly effective in patients whose warts had been diagnosed recently, whereas inosine pranobex proved more successful in lesions of longer duration, was interesting. The apparently lower efficacy of conventional treatment (primarily podophyllin) in warts of a longer duration may be a reflection of the previous failure of conventional treatment in some subjects. There is no such obvious explanation, however, for the differing responses to inosine pranobex in the two types of patients. It is tempting to speculate that the relative efficacy of conventional treatment and immunotherapy in the two categories of warts accounted for the higher clinical success rate of 94% achieved when the two modes of treatment were combined. This point warrants further study.

The immunological findings in patients with genital warts did not show any appreciable change in the number of OKT-4+ T helper and OKT-8+ T suppressor cells, or in the ratio of these T cell subpopulations. The most common abnormality was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peripheral blood* lymphocyte count</th>
<th>T cells*</th>
<th>B cells*</th>
<th>T helper*</th>
<th>T suppressor*</th>
<th>Ratio of T helper: suppressor cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal controls (n = 21)</td>
<td>2·28 (0·10)</td>
<td>1·98 (0·08)</td>
<td>0·30 (0·03)</td>
<td>1·39 (0·07)</td>
<td>0·90 (0·06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (n = 65)</td>
<td>2·71 (0·13)</td>
<td>2·18 (0·09)</td>
<td>0·47 (0·05)</td>
<td>1·36 (0·07)</td>
<td>0·93 (0·06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n = 69)</td>
<td>2·40 (0·10)</td>
<td>2·01 (0·08)</td>
<td>0·39 (0·03)</td>
<td>1·27 (0·06)</td>
<td>0·82 (0·04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Results shown as absolute numbers x 10⁹/1 (mean values and standard errors).

T, B, T helper, and T suppressor cells defined by SRBC receptor, Leu-12, OKT-4, and OKT-8 monoclonal antibodies, respectively.

**TABLE IV** No (% of 134 patients with decreased or increased T helper, T suppressor, or B cell* components and genital warts *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T helper cells (x 10⁹/l) (normal range 0·6-2·1)</th>
<th>T suppressor cells (x 10⁹/l) (normal range 0·3-1·5)</th>
<th>B cells (x 10⁹/l) (normal range 0·1-0·6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0·6</td>
<td>&lt;0·3</td>
<td>&lt;0·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2·2-2·7</td>
<td>1·6-2·0</td>
<td>0·6-1·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2·9</td>
<td>&gt;2·0</td>
<td>&gt;1·0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 (5·2) 11 (8·2) 1 (0·7) 7 (5·2) 1 (0·7) 20 (14·9) 8 (6·0)

* T helper, T suppressor, and B cells defined by OKT4, OKT8, and Leu 12 monoclonal antibodies, respectively.
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an increased Leu-12+ B cell component. Absence of a major disturbance in peripheral blood lymphocytes does not rule out the possibility of local immune reactions in patients with genital warts. Two recent studies13 14 support the concept that a local immunocompetent cell infiltrate is common in cervical human papillomavirus (HPV) infections. Interestingly, both we and Värynen et al13 found that the number of B lymphocytes was increased in peripheral blood (Leu-12+) and cervical biopsy specimens (Leu-10+), respectively.

The natural history of genital warts is unpredictable, and a considerable number of patients will show spontaneous regression. Nevertheless, the results of this study and others reported elsewhere15 16 show a positive role for inosine pranobex in the management of such cases. Further trials, including double blind placebo controlled studies, are justified. It will also be valuable to monitor the effect of the drug on the local immune response of HPV lesions.
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