Detection of human papillomavirus DNA in semen from patients with intrameatal penile warts
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SUMMARY Fifteen semen specimens from 10 men with intrameatal penile warts attending a genitourinary clinic were tested by Southern blot hybridisation for the presence of human papillomavirus (HPV) DNA. Five specimens were positive for HPV types 6/11. This observation may have implications for screening of semen used for artificial insemination by donor.

Human papillomavirus (HPV) infections of the genital tract are sexually transmitted and an association with benign, premalignant and malignant lesions in both sexes has been suggested. HPV is an epitheliotropic virus and genital transmission is thought to be by direct contact between infected and uninfected epithelial surfaces. Involvement of the male urethra by HPV is common and may be the sole manifestation of infection. Oriel showed that 23% of 191 men who presented at a sexually transmitted clinic with genital warts had involvement of the urethral meatus and it has been suggested that the male urethra is a potential reservoir for HPV and may be responsible for subclinical transmission. A study at an Artificial Insemination by Donor (AID) centre of men presenting as semen donors showed that some have genital infections such as warts. There may be serious long term consequences to a recipient resulting from transmission of HPV, particularly of HPV type 16 which is associated with high grade neoplastic lesions of the cervix. In order to investigate the possibility of HPV transmission we have tested semen specimens from males with penile intrameatal warts for the presence of HPV DNA.

Materials and methods

Fifteen semen specimens were collected in sterile plastic containers from 10 untreated men with intrameatal penile warts attending a genitourinary clinic.

DNA extraction and hybridisation

0-4 ml of each specimen was digested with proteinase K (100 µg/ml) in 0-1% SDS at 37°C overnight and the DNA was then extracted twice with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform and once with chloroform/iso-amyl alcohol (IAA). RNA was removed from the specimens by digestion with RNAse A (50 µg/ml) at 37°C for 15 minutes, and re-extracted once with phenol/chloroform and once with chloroform/IAA. The DNA was precipitated with ethanol, pelleted by centrifugation, dried under vacuum and resuspended in 50 µl TE buffer. The undigested extracted DNA was electrophoresed on a 0-9% agarose gel and then transferred to a nylon membrane by the method of Southern. HPV 6, 11, 16 and 18 clones were kindly provided by Dr H zur Hausen (Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum, Heidelberg). Transformation of bacterial cells with plasmid DNAs and amplification of the plasmids were carried out as described by Maniatis et al. The clones were extracted and the HPV DNA then separated from vector sequences by restriction enzyme digestion followed by agarose gel electrophoresis and elution.

The viral DNAs were labelled with 32P-dCTP by random primer extension to specific activities of 5 × 10⁶ cpm/ml hybridisation solution. Hybridisation occurred at 68°C overnight. The membrane was then washed under stringent conditions and exposed at -70°C to X-ray film (Amersham MP hyperfilm) for 7 days. The membrane was initially hybridised with a pooled HPV6/11 probe, stripped of probe following exposure to film and reprobed with HPV 16 and then HPV18. The probes were stripped from the membrane by washing with 0-4 M NaOH for 30 minutes at 45°C. Semen specimens positive by Southern hybridisa-
tion were further examined by restriction enzymic digestion. An 800 µl aliquot of each HPV-positive semen specimens was spun in a microcentrifuge for 30 seconds. The DNA was extracted from the pellet and supernatant fractions separately using the procedures described previously. The extracted DNA was then digested simultaneously with BamHI and EcoRI restriction enzymes in order to determine the HPV DNA type present. The digests were then electrophoresed on a 0-9% agarose gel and Southern blotted overnight onto nylon membranes. The membranes were hybridised with specific HPV DNA probes as described previously.

**ELECTRON MICROSCOPY**

For examination by electron microscopy, 0-5 ml aliquots of HPV positive semen were centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 1 hour in a Beckman L8-80 centrifuge.

The supernatant fraction was then centrifuged for 1 hour at 30000 rpm in a Beckman L8-80 centrifuge. The pellets from the high speed and low speed centrifugation run were resuspended in PBS, stained with 3% phosphotungstic acid and examined in a Jeol J200EX electron microscope.

**Results**

Five of the 15 specimens tested were found to be positive when hybridised with a pooled HPV 6/11 DNA probe. None were positive by this method for HPV types 16 and 18. Figure 1 shows the signals obtained from three of the five positive specimens. These were less intense than those from the 100 pg HPV11 control, and the signals from the remaining two positive specimens were even weaker.

Sufficient specimen was available for further investigation of two of the five specimens positive for HPV/11. An aliquot of each was spun in a microfuge for 30 seconds to pellet the spermatozoa and the majority of the cellular material. To differentiate between HPV6 and HPV11, DNA extracted from the supernatants and pellets was digested with Bam HI and Eco RI restriction enzymes (fig 2). For both specimens the HPV DNA was present almost exclusively in the pellet. Specimen four appears to contain only HPV type 11 and specimen three may contain both HPV6 and HPV11. However, further restriction enzyme mapping would be required to show this conclusively.

Two semen specimens which were HPV positive by DNA hybridisation were examined by direct electron microscopy but no virus-like structures were visible in either the pellet or the supernatant fraction.

**Discussion**

We have demonstrated the presence of genital types of HPV DNA in semen. Ostrow et al have previously reported detection of HPV DNA types 2 and 5 in the semen of two patients with the rare autosomal recessive condition epidermodysplasia verruciformis and of a third patient with chronic lymphatic leukaemia. These HPV types are not commonly associated with lesions of the genital tract. These authors did not detect any HPV DNA, using an HPV6 probe under conditions of low stringency, in the semen of 10 healthy donors. Our findings support the suggestion made by this group that semen could be a vehicle for HPV transmission. Using immunochemical staining methods with a group specific antigen to HPV, it has been shown that the majority of cells which express structural antigens and mature virus particles are differentiating keratinocytes in the outer one third of infected stratified squamous epithelium. We suspect
that the transmission via semen may occur from urethral lesions from which surface epithelial cells have sloughed during ejaculation.

We have also shown that HPV6 and 11 DNA in the semen specimens was predominantly in the pellet fraction suggesting that the DNA is associated with cellular material rather than present in free virions which would be found in the supernatant. Ostrow’s group found HPV associated with the supernatant fraction of the semen. This may reflect differences in virion production of the lesions caused by different HPV types or may be a result of an altered host response in patients with underlying disease. Lesions of the skin associated with HPV types 2 or 5 often contain very large numbers of virions whereas genital lesions associated with types 6 and 11 usually contain comparatively few. Thus it appears that the “genital” HPV types are more cell-associated and rely less on production of a large number of free virions for transmission. However, only two specimens were examined in this way and confirmation with other specimens would be advantageous.

It is interesting that we only isolated HPV6 and/or 11 in these men, the types associated with benign or mildly dysplastic lesions. This may be due to the small number of patients examined but may be due to patient selection. These types are most commonly related to condylomatous disease whereas type 16 frequently exists in clinically inapparent lesions.

The presence of the viral genome in semen suggests that semen may act as a vehicle for HPV transmission. It is, however, difficult to determine whether the viral DNA detected is infectious as no established culture system is available. A solution may lie in the future modification of an in vitro culture system using human foreskin keratinocytes to produce normal stratified epithelium. When such a culture was transfected with HPV16 DNA, a histological change similar to cervical intraepithelial neoplasia resulted.

We are now determining whether HPV can be demonstrated in the semen of males with and without genital warts and this may indicate the extent of “silent” carriage of HPV in the male population. There is an established role for papillomaviruses in some animal and human tumours but at present no clear epidemiological evidence that HPV is the cause of carcinoma of the cervix. Indeed a recent report on a small study which used a more sensitive method of

**Fig 2** Autoradiograph of Bam HI/Eco RI digested DNA in semen from 2 patients with penile intrameatal warts. Semen pellet and supernatant DNA were extracted separately. HPV DNA was predominantly associated with the pellet (Lanes P) for both specimens 3 and 4. A linear 7.9 kb band is visible in both semen 4 lanes suggesting HPV11 to be present. Two bands of 5.4 kb and 2.5 kb can be seen in the pellet of semen 3 suggesting the presence of HPV6. There is an additional faint band of 7.9 kb which may indicate the presence of HPV11 also or may be due to incomplete DNA digestion. Insufficient sample was available for confirmation.
detection of DNA, the polymerase chain reaction, indicated that papillomavirus infections of the human cervix might be prevalent among females with no cervical cytological abnormality. Seven of 10 women in this category were positive for HPV11 or HPV16, a finding which needs further confirmation in a larger survey.

Because data on the aetiologic role of HPV in malignant disease of the female genital tract is incomplete, it is difficult to develop a clear strategy for screening in clinics offering artificial insemination by donor. It has been recommended that all men attending AID clinics should be examined clinically and those who give a history of genital warts or have lesions be excluded and until important questions about the long term sequelae of HPV infection are answered, it would be prudent to adhere to this. If subsequent studies reveal that the HPV types now associated with cervical carcinoma such as HPV 16 and 18 are found in the semen of donors without apparent lesions it may also become necessary to include laboratory screening, at least for these HPV types.

Electron microscopy was performed by Mr Tony Porter, Virus Reference Laboratory, CPHL and we thank him for his help.

References

Detection of human papillomavirus DNA in semen from patients with intrameatal penile warts.

J Green, E Monteiro and P Gibson

*Genitourin Med* 1989 65: 357-360
doi: 10.1136/sti.65.6.357

Updated information and services can be found at:
[http://sti.bmj.com/content/65/6/357](http://sti.bmj.com/content/65/6/357)

**Email alerting service**

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

**Notes**

To request permissions go to:
[http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions](http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions)

To order reprints go to:
[http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform](http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform)

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
[http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/](http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/)