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Abstract

A questionnaire was sent to all genitourinary medicine (GUM) clinics in the United Kingdom. Physicians were asked whether women attending the clinics were routinely screened for *Chlamydia trachomatis*, what treatment was given and whether and how a test of cure (TOC) was carried out after treatment; 94-5% of clinics responded. Screening for chlamydia was not carried out routinely in 4-8% of clinics. Treatments and their costs varied widely between clinics; six antibiotics were prescribed in 35 different ways. Less than half the clinics used regimens compatible with the advice of the World Health Organisation (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control (CDC). Antibiotics in larger doses and/or for longer durations than those known to be effective were prescribed in 85% of clinics. Unnecessary routine TOC were carried out by 86% of clinics in 29 different ways. Sixteen percent of clinics carried out TOC immediately after completion of therapy, which is inadvisable on technical grounds. There is a need to standardise and improve the treatment and follow-up of chlamydial infection in women attending GUM clinics.

Introduction

Two previous surveys attempted to assess the availability of chlamydial diagnostic services in departments of genito-urinary medicine (GUM) in the United Kingdom (UK). They were carried out in 1984 and 1987. Both had low response rates—54% and 67% respectively.

This survey was designed to assess: (1) how many GUM clinics in the UK routinely screen female patients for *Chlamydia trachomatis*; (2) how chlamydial infection of the cervix is treated in clinics; (3) how female patients are followed up after treatment.

Methods

A questionnaire was sent to all 218 departments of GUM in the UK, rather than to individual consultants. If no response was obtained the questionnaire was sent a second time. If there was still no reply the clinics were contacted by telephone in an effort to obtain the necessary information. The following information was requested: (1) whether or not female patients were routinely screened for *C trachomatis*, and if so which test or tests were used; (2) which antibiotic was normally used to treat women with chlamydia, including dosage and duration of treatment; (3) whether or not a test of cure (TOC) was routinely performed after women had completed treatment, and if so which test or tests were used and when they were carried out.

Results

Responses were obtained from 207 clinics (94-5%). One hundred and ninety seven clinics, 95-2% of those replying, routinely screened for chlamydia in female patients. Screening was not done in 10 clinics (4-8%). Two of these clinics reported no chlamydial diagnostic service and one reported a very limited service. Table 1 shows the methods used for screening.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Screening Number (%) of Clinics</th>
<th>TOC Number (%) of Clinics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme immunoassay (EIA)</td>
<td>100 (50-8)</td>
<td>90 (50-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunofluorescence assay (DFA)</td>
<td>54 (27-4)</td>
<td>48 (27-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>23 (11-7)</td>
<td>22 (12-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIA + DFA</td>
<td>7 (3-6)</td>
<td>3 (1-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIA + Culture</td>
<td>6 (3-0)</td>
<td>6 (3-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFA + Culture</td>
<td>4 (2-0)</td>
<td>4 (2-2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIA + DFA + Culture</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (1-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radioimmunoassay</td>
<td>1 (0-5)</td>
<td>1 (0-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>2 (1-0)</td>
<td>2 (1-1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 197 178
Six antibiotics were used as standard treatment for chlamydia. However, a total of 35 different regimens were reported. Table 2 shows the maximum and minimum courses prescribed.

TOC were routine in 178 (86%) clinics. The method used was specified by 176. A single microbiological test was used in 91%, and 9% used a combination of tests. Enzyme immunoassay (EIA) and direct immunofluorescence assay (DFA) were the most commonly employed techniques (see Table 1).

The timing and number of their TOC were reported by 163 clinics. One hundred and thirty nine (85%) performed a single TOC, 23 (14%) performed two and one clinic carried out three. Twenty seven clinics (17%) performed a TOC immediately after the completion of the treatment. Other clinics did their TOC at varying times up to 12 weeks later. The variation in the number of tests and in their timing resulted in a total of 29 reported methods of carrying out TOC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Number (%) of clinics using</th>
<th>Maximum regime</th>
<th>Minimum regime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxytetracycline</td>
<td>74 (35.7)</td>
<td>2 g/day for 2 weeks</td>
<td>0.5 g/day for 1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deteclo</td>
<td>53 (25.6)</td>
<td>4 tablets/day for 2 weeks</td>
<td>2 tablets/day for 1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>51 (24.6)</td>
<td>2 g/day for 10 days</td>
<td>1 g/day for 1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>21 (10.1)</td>
<td>400 mg/day for 10 days</td>
<td>200 mg stat, 100 mg/day for 6 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minocycline</td>
<td>4 (1.9)</td>
<td>200 mg/day for 10 days</td>
<td>200 mg/day for 1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>4 (1.9)</td>
<td>2 g/day for 1 week</td>
<td>1.5 g/day for 1 week</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the results of this survey, even considering minocycline to be equivalent to doxycycline and oxytetracycline to be equivalent to tetracycline, only 97 (47%) of the 207 clinics who supplied information on treatment used an antibiotic regimen compatible with the advice of the WHO and CDC. Of these clinics 176 (85%) prescribed antibiotics in larger doses and/or for longer durations than those known to be effective in published reports.

There is equally wide variation in the costs of the therapies used. The cheapest regimen costs the National Health Service £20.90 per patient (oxytetracycline 0.5 g/day for seven days) and the most expensive costs £20.90 per patient (doxycycline 400 mg/day for ten days). Of the treatments recommended by the WHO and CDC the cheapest costs £20.90 (oxytetracycline 2 g/day for seven days) and the most expensive costs £7.32 per patient (doxycycline 200 mg/day for seven days). The prices quoted are for the generic drugs alone and make no allowance for the cost of packaging and Value Added Tax, or for locally-negotiated pricing agreements.

Eighty six percent of clinics routinely perform a TOC but both the WHO and CDC advise that this is unnecessary. This recommendation is supported by the following laboratory and clinical evidence: (a) naturally occurring resistance of C trachomatis to the tetracyclines and erythromycin has never been described, (b) in the largest series of women followed after treatment for cervical chlamydial infection, C trachomatis was reisolated in only 10%. Reinfection and not treatment failure was the probable explanation in all cases. As many clinics perform more than one TOC and/or utilise more than one microbiological method, there would be considerable savings in time, money and discomfort to the patient if this advice was followed.

Apparent treatment failure may result from non-compliance or reinfection through further unprotected intercourse. If the clinician believes a TOC to be necessary it is advisable to repeat microbiological tests immediately after finishing treatment. Antigen detection tests such as EIA and DFA may give misleading positive results in this situation due to persisting antigens from non-viable chlamydia. Culture may fail to detect chlamydial due to the suppressive action of antibiotics. In this survey 16% of clinics incorporated an immediate TOC in their follow-up.
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The results of this survey show that there is a need to reappraise critically the management of chlamydial infection in female patients. Treatment and follow-up should be standardised. It would be possible to save time and resources, and to reduce discomfort and inconvenience to patients, by avoiding unnecessary treatments and investigations. This is particularly relevant at the present time in view of the increasing importance being attached to medical audit.
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