The human vagina: normal flora considered as an in situ tissue-associated, adherent biofilm
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Abstract

Objective—A method that would allow in situ comparison of the degrees of adherence to genital epithelia by the biofilms of the normal flora.

Subjects—Four healthy women.

Setting—Departments of Biological Sciences, University of Calgary, and of Medicine, University of British Columbia.

Methods—In situ, scraped specimens were taken from the vagina and ectocervix before and after vigorous vaginal washes, and colony counts of associated bacteria were compared. In vitro, cells from the vulva, vagina and ectocervix were vortexed, centrifuged and sonicated and remaining associated bacteria quantitated by light microscopy.

Results—Anaerobic lactobacilli were notably tissue-adherent as colony counts of postwash specimens were comparable to those of their paired prewash specimens, but crucially were higher than those of their paired wash specimens (p < 0·05, Wilcoxon signed rank test). However, vaginal and ectocervical coagulase-negative staphylococci and ectocervical Lancefield group B streptococci were loosely tissue-adherent, because counts in postwash specimens were lower than those in prewash or wash specimens (p < 0·05, Wilcoxon signed rank test). In vitro, only vulvar scrapings and vaginal postwash specimens showed a significant decrease in associated bacteria after shear stressing (p < 0·05, Wilcoxon signed rank test).

Conclusions—The normal flora of the female genitalia features both avidly and loosely tissue-adherent bacterial biofilm populations whose adherence can be influenced partly by their location. Our scraping/washing method can contribute to further characterisation of this phenomenon. The superior adherence of anaerobic lactobacilli may reflect a potential in maintaining or restoring normality.

Introduction

Our perception of the normal, human vaginal flora has evolved considerably from the 1892 concept advanced by Döderlein which was that the flora generally was homogenous and consisted mainly of the Gram-positive Döderlein’s bacillus, that is, lactobacillus species.1 2 Improved cultural methods and data interpretation have established that this flora is very diverse, reflecting a dynamic, polymicrobial ecosystem.3–5 This can be modulated by host and environmental factors such as the menstrual cycle, contraceptive methods, menstrual products, and sexually transmitted diseases.6–11 The properties unique to specific bacteria inevitably influence the balance of this ecosystem. It is accepted now that colonisation by either the normal flora or invading pathogens is an inevitable result of adhesion to mucosal surfaces thereby allowing synergistic and antagonistic associations.12–15 As has been pointed out, this tissue tropism is due not only to specific adsensins but can be mediated rapidly by less specific but effective mechanisms.13 14 16 Our recent visual study of the human vagina emphasised this. The normal flora were seen to exist as bacterial biofilms that consisted of the same or mixed morphotypes growing as microcolonies within particular ecological niches. It was evident that the cells within such biofilms exhibited a tenacious, intimate association with the genital epithelium as well as with each other, by the aid of their fibrous glyocalcyes, that is, exopolysacharride constituents.17

In view of this strong adhesive factor, previous
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studies of vaginal flora may have been limited to examining cell-free bacteria or loosely tissue-adherent bacteria because of sampling by loops, swabs or washings. In the preliminary study reported here, a novel scraping/washing technique was used to address the question of site-specific or species-specific degrees of adhesion and to quantify the flora of the vulva, vagina and ectocervix through the menstrual cycle of three healthy volunteers. The tissue-associated normal flora was investigated in situ by comparing the composition and bacterial counts of scraped specimens from the vagina and ectocervix before and after vigorous washing. In a fourth volunteer, the avidity of bacterial adherence was estimated by visual quantification of bacteria associated to genital epithelial cells before and after in vitro exposure to considerable shear forces.

(Presented in part at the 89th Annual Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology 1989, and the 39th Annual Meeting of the Canadian Society for Microbiology 1989.)

Materials and methods

Sampling process. After informed consent, specimens of cells and mucus were collected under direct visualisation by scraping with a sterile tongue depressor placed distal to a vaginal speculum, from four age-matched, healthy women. All were regular tampon users and none received antibiotics prior to or during the course of study. Concurrent sampling showed the absence of sexually transmitted disease and vaginosis. Three locations (vulva, posterior lateral third of the vagina, ectocervix) were sampled through three stages of the menstrual cycle (menstrual, day 3 ± 2; midcycle, day 15 ± 2; premenstrual, 25 ± 2) in the first three volunteers and through two menstrual cycles at midcycle (day 15 ± 2) in the fourth volunteer. In situ sampling was as follows. A first set of scraped specimens (Prewash specimens) was taken from each of three volunteers. Then the vaginal contents were washed out vigorously with 10 ml of phosphate buffered saline, PBS, pH 7.4 followed by repeated aspiration and injection twice (Wash specimens). Finally, a second set of scraped specimens (Postwash specimens) was taken from the “mirror sites” of the vaginal and ectocervical prewash locations. For convenience, mirror sites are the identical but opposite sites in a bilaterally symmetrical system. PBS was used because there is no difference between it and pre-reduced Carey Blair medium for the recovery of obligate anaerobes. Samples from the fourth volunteer were processed in vitro (see Shear Stressing below) to determine further the strength of host tissue-bacteria adhesion and then prepared for light microscopy. Specimens from all volunteers also were aliquoted for electron microscopic assessment.

Bacteriology. Pre- and postwash (scraped) specimens were collected into 10 ml PBS, and wash specimens were processed in an anaerobic cabinet (model 1024; Caltec Ltd, Calgary, Canada) and analysed quantitatively by serial dilution. Final dilutions were plated out in duplicate on to pre-reduced, replicate brain heart infusion (BHI; Difco Laboratories, Detroit, USA) supplemented with 1.5% (w/v) protease peptone number 3 agar (Difco) and on to Rogosa SL agar (Difco). Plates were incubated in air, in 10% CO₂ and anaerobically (80% N₂, 10% CO₂ and 10% H₂) at 37°C for a minimum 48 hours before initial examination. They were then re-incubated for a further 48 hours before final examination and identification to species or generic level by standard methods. Bacterial counts were expressed as log₁₀ cfu ml⁻¹ of diluent. Values were semi-quantitative, as in this pilot study the specimens were not weighed, but our procedure was still highly sensitive (lower limit of detection, 10² cfu ml⁻¹) and reproducible (correlation coefficient, 0.994 by duplicate sampling in three subjects).

Shear stressing prior to microscopy. This was studied in the fourth volunteer with the intention of providing more rigorous evidence of the strength of adhesion of the normal flora to host tissue. Prewash, wash and postwash specimens from all sites were vortexed (medium setting, 30 s; Vortex-Genie, Scientific Industries, New York, USA) and allowed to settle on the bench for 15 minutes. They were then centrifuged (300 rpm, 4°C, 15 minutes; Centra 7C, IEC, Needham Heights, USA). The resultant pellet was resuspended in 10 ml of PBS, and sonicated (50/60 Hz, 1-0 A, 5 minutes; Model B-220, Bransonic, Shelton, USA). Specimens were allowed to settle out as above before sonication was repeated. Finally, after settlement, the sediments were processed for light microscopy.

Light microscopy. Some sediments were examined by phase contrast while others were examined by an Alcian Blue/Safranin double stain. Bacteria adherent to a minimum 20 viable, squamous epithelial cells were counted. Untreated controls from the same specimen served as controls.

Statistical analyses. Bacterial counts were categorised according to anatomical site (vulva, vagina and ectocervix) and group comparisons were analysed using non-parametric techniques. Owing to the relatively small sample size, data from all cycle days were pooled for each volunteer at each anatomical site (that is, each data set for a specific site contained nine samples from three volunteers, each with three specimens from different stages of the menstrual cycle). For comparison of bacterial counts between groups, paired data (for example, postwash versus prewash or postwash versus wash) for each volunteer and anatomical site were analysed by the one-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test. Genital flora were considered to be avidly tissue-adherent if bacterial
counts in the postwash specimens were comparable to those in the paired prewash specimens but significantly greater ($p < 0.05$) than those of wash specimens. Conversely, genital flora were considered to be loosely tissue-adherent if the postwash counts were significantly lower than those in either prewash or wash specimens. The relative resistance of tissue-associated flora to dislodgement by the in vitro shear forces was assessed by comparison of mean counts of cell-associated bacteria between treated and untreated samples using the one-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test. Differences in viable or total (microscopy) counts between sites were analysed by the two-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test for paired data, or the two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test for independent groups, respectively. Finally, the association of viable counts between anatomical sites, and between prewash, postwash, and wash specimens was examined by the Spearman rank correlation test.

**Results**
This was intended as a pilot study to test feasibility and therefore only a small sample number was used. Accordingly, no statistical comparison in the qualitative or quantitative differences of isolates was determined between different stages of the menstrual cycle. Only predominant bacteria feature unless otherwise stated.

### Site-specific bacteriology
The predominant, normal flora of the vulva, vagina, and ectocervix in prewash specimens obtained during the menstrual cycle from the three volunteers are summarised in table 1. Strictly anaerobic lactobacilli and Lancefield group D streptococci were the predominant isolates from all volunteers from all sites and cycle days. Other bacterial groups were isolated variably from different days of the cycle. In general, facultative bacteria were more predominant in menstrual samples, while strict anaerobes were more predominant in premenstrual samples. The data from different cycle days of the same volunteer were then pooled to examine any quantitative differences in flora at various anatomical sites (table 1). Little difference between the vaginal and paired ectocervical samples was observed ($p > 0.05$, two-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test). However, counts of group D streptococci from the vulva were significantly higher than those of paired specimens from the ectocervix ($p < 0.05$, table 1). In general, there was good correlation between the recovery of specific isolates at the three sites sampled, particularly between vaginal and ectocervical isolates ($p < 0.05$, Spearman rank correlation, for all major bacterial groups except the anaerobic lactobacilli and group D streptococci, data not shown). There was less correlation between vulvar and vaginal isolates but only for facultative lactobacilli and pepto-

### Table 1
**The predominant microflora ($\log_{10}$ cfu ml$^{-1}$; mean (SEM)) in prewash specimens from three healthy volunteers during the menstrual cycle**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle day</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Facultative lactobacilli</th>
<th>Anaerobic lactobacilli</th>
<th>Group D streptococci</th>
<th>Group B streptococci</th>
<th>Coagulase-neg. staphylococci</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
<td>Vagina</td>
<td>5.7 (1)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.14) (3)</td>
<td>5.9 (1.39) (2)</td>
<td>6.0 (1.08) (3)</td>
<td>3.0 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
<td>Ectocervix</td>
<td>4.8 (0.50) (2)</td>
<td>6.4 (0.05) (3)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.02) (3)</td>
<td>5.9 (1.23) (3)</td>
<td>3.4 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vulva</td>
<td>4.7 (1)</td>
<td>6.1 (0.25) (3)</td>
<td>7.1 (0.46) (3)</td>
<td>7.2 (0.82) (2)</td>
<td>5.4 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled for group (n = 9)</td>
<td>Vagina</td>
<td>5.4 (1)</td>
<td>7.2 (0.29) (3)</td>
<td>6.8 (0.11) (2)</td>
<td>4.7 (1.00) (2)</td>
<td>5.1 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle day</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Peptostreptococci</th>
<th>Corynebacteria</th>
<th>Enterobacteriaceae</th>
<th>Total counts</th>
<th>Total aerobes</th>
<th>Total anaerobes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
<td>Vagina</td>
<td>6.5 (0.46) (2)</td>
<td>3.7 (1)</td>
<td>5.8 (1)</td>
<td>7.2 (0.40) (3)</td>
<td>6.9 (0.56) (3)</td>
<td>6.8 (0.21) (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ectocervix</td>
<td>7.0 (1)</td>
<td>3.1 (1)</td>
<td>5.9 (1)</td>
<td>7.2 (0.47) (3)</td>
<td>6.9 (0.58) (6)</td>
<td>6.6 (0.21) (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vulva</td>
<td>6.9 (0.56) (2)</td>
<td>5.4 (1)</td>
<td>4.0 (0.16) (2)</td>
<td>7.4 (0.45) (3)</td>
<td>7.2 (0.52) (3)</td>
<td>6.6 (0.52) (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 ± 2</td>
<td>Ectocervix</td>
<td>4.7 (1)</td>
<td>3.5 (1)</td>
<td>6.7 (1)</td>
<td>7.5 (0.15) (3)</td>
<td>6.4 (0.44) (3)</td>
<td>7.3 (0.14) (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vulva</td>
<td>7.2 (1)</td>
<td>4.8 (1)</td>
<td>7.5 (0.15) (3)</td>
<td>5.9 (0.78) (3)</td>
<td>7.4 (0.24) (3)</td>
<td>6.7 (0.52) (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( ) = Number of women with positive culture.

*Significant difference ($p < 0.05$) by 2-tail Wilcoxon signed rank test.
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Table 2  Paired comparison of bacterial counts (log_{10} cfu ml⁻¹; mean (SEM)) in prewash, postwash and wash specimens from three healthy volunteers on three separate cycle days (n = 9)

| Predominant isolate | Vagina | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | number of bacteria associated with viable epithelial cells recovered from the three sites in the fourth

volunteer, prior to and after shearing are shown in table 3 and the figure. The stresses applied caused a significant reduction in tissue-associated bacteria only for vulvar specimens and vaginal postwash specimens (p < 0.05, one-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test). There was no statistically significant difference between treated and untreated specimens for vaginal or ectocervical prewash specimens, nor from ectocervical postwash or vaginal wash specimens. Similar to the observation from quantitative bacteriology, the mean counts of cell-associated bacteria in postwash specimens from the ectocervix were also significantly lower than those from paired ectocervical postwash or wash specimens (p < 0.05, one-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test). Finally, mean counts of cell-associated bacteria in untreated specimens from the vulva were significantly lower than paired ectocervical prewash specimens (p < 0.05, two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test).

**Discussion**

Our aim was to use a pilot study to develop experimental methods that could help characterise the in situ tissue-associated normal flora of the lower female
could be due to sample variability rather than actual change in bacterial counts, this is unlikely due to: (a) demonstrated reproducibility of the quantitative method by replicate sampling; (b) consistency in the direction of change in the prewash, postwash and wash specimens for all isolates; and (c) validation of the quantitative data by direct visualisation under light and electron microscopy. The data presented here provide strong evidence of the need for quantitative sampling methods that take into account the in situ tissue-associated bacterial populations in studies of the normal flora of the human female genital tract. Conventional culture techniques which use mucosal loops or swabs, or vaginal washings are likely to yield data that is highly skewed towards the loosely tissue-adherent populations or towards cell-free bacteria present in mucus secretions or associated with sloughed and non-viable epithelial cells. Additionally, vaginal secretions are a mixture of fluids from various sites, such as the cervix and glands, the cervical mucosa and the vagina itself, so the pattern of the flora that is typical of each site may become blurred by such sampling methods. We believe that our scraping/washing technique, used in conjunction with light or electron microscopy, has provided additional information not available from conventional studies of the cervico-vaginal flora.

There have been a multitude of previous studies to examine the qualitative and quantitative flora of the normal human vagina, cervix and vulva. Evaluation of such studies and interpretation of data have been fraught with difficulty, mainly because of a lack of standardisation of sampling methods and culture techniques, the non-normal distribution of the indigenous flora due to their attachment to heterogenous epithelial cell populations, and confounding host factors such as age, contraceptive practices, stage of the menstrual cycle, usage of menstrual products, and existence of underlying conditions. Enumeration of bacteria adherent to epithelial cells is also not straightforward because of the technical considerations. We concur with Rosenstein et al., that assessment of the tissue-associated flora by mean bacterial counts per cell can be inaccurate due to non-normal distributions; and with Bibel et al., that a more reliable measurement of adherence may be the quantitation of bacterial counts per unit surface area of the cell, that is, the density of bacterial adherence. Our microscopic studies indicate that significantly fewer bacteria were associated with epithelial cells from the vulva compared to paired specimens from the ectocervix in the same individual (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon rank sum test, 2 tail; table 3). Furthermore, bacteria adherent to vaginal and ectocervical cells resisted shear forces more effectively than bacteria adherent to vulval epithelial cells (p < 0.05). These data support the observations by Bibel et al., that the complex...
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ecology of the vulva is also strongly influenced by factors that relate to microbial adherence.

There is still considerable debate as to the nature and underlying mechanism which account for the cyclic variation of the normal vaginal flora associated with menses. Our quantitative studies reported here and previously, suggest that while the overall bacterial counts remain relatively constant throughout the menstrual cycle, the proportion of obligate anaerobes increases while that of facultative anaerobes decreases in premenstrual samples compared to menstrual or midcycle specimens in the same individuals. Our finding that anaerobic lactobacilli, as well as group D and group B streptococci and peptostreptococci, were the predominant cervico-vaginal isolates throughout the menstrual cycle are in agreement with several recent reports by other investigators. Our observation of the high degree of in situ tissue-adherence by anaerobic lactobacilli is also consistent with in vitro studies of adherence by lactobacilli to human vaginal epithelial cells. This avidity of tissue-adherence may account for the putative, protective role of lactobacilli generally, especially the anaerobic lactobacilli in our opinion, in host resistance to genital infections. Closer attention to the phenomenon of in situ colonization of the female lower genital tract. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1986;154:120-6.
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