The silent suffering women

Jonsson et al.1 recently reported on a population-based study to assess the prevalence of lower genital tract symptoms and signs in young women and the association between reported symptoms and past or present signs of STDs.

The study found that the prevalence of leucocytosis was associated with reported discharge but not with pseudohyphae or clue cells. Vaginal pH was not reported. Definition of vaginal infections based on microscopy of wet smears is inadequate in this setting1 and, in the absence of vaginal fungal, aerobic and anaerobic culture, the opportunity to find other explanations for the association between discharge and leucocytosis has been missed. Other factors such as the use of chemical irritants, douching, self-medication and recent sexual activity do not appear to have been taken into account nor has participants’ stage of menstrual cycle or method of contraception been recorded; the latter is relevant as different methods affect the vaginal flora in different ways.4,5

We would also question the reliability of participants retrospective recall of symptoms during the preceding 6 months and the relevance of previously experienced symptoms to current examination and laboratory findings.

This population-based study may have confirmed the findings of previous authors but appears to have missed the opportunity to further elucidate associations between reported symptoms and the microbiology of the lower genital tract.
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Karlsson replies:
Craig and Talbot have in their letter pointed out that we have missed the opportunity to further elucidate associations between reported symptoms and the microbiology of the lower genital tract. This might well be the case, but it was not the main objective of this study. The aim was, as stated in the introduction, to determine “the prevalence of lower genital tract symptoms and the association with clinical findings” in a population-based study.

In our opinion, the most important result was the high prevalence of lower genital tract symptoms and signs in young women in a population-based study. As regards “the reliability of participants retrospective recall”, the women’s own remembered experience must be considered the “golden standard” with fewer “false positives” but certainly some “false negatives”, i.e. the actual prevalence could be higher than reported. We have in the article reported numerous clinical findings, regrettably not all with their association with past or present complaints, and we think that it is up to the reader to evaluate whether these findings are sufficient for a definition of “infection”.

R. KARLSSON

Syndromic management of genital ulcer disease—a reply

In a recent letter to the editor Dr Bushan Kumar et al. expressed concern about the practicability of the genital ulcer disease (GUD) flow chart proposed by WHO for the syndromic management of genital ulceration.6 A number of objections are raised which deserve scrutiny and a response. Before addressing the individual objections it is useful to reflect on the momentary prominence of the syndromic approach and on the flow charts which have been developed for the management of the individual syndromes.

The lack of specificity of signs and symptoms of the various sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) precludes a successful clinical aetiologic approach. The absence of simple and rapid laboratory diagnostic tests for most sexually transmitted infections keeps a laboratory confirmed aetiological diagnosis well out of reach of the majority of STD patients, especially in developing countries, where rates of incidence and prevalence are much higher than in industrialised countries. Syndromic management of STD patients is based (1) on the recognition of relatively consistent combinations of signs and symptoms (syndromes) with STDs commonly present; (2) knowledge of the most common causative organisms of various syndromes; (3) knowledge of the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of these organisms; and (4) knowledge of behavioural and demographic characteristics of people with STD. Cure of STD patients is achieved through recognition of the appropriate syndrome, and provision of effective antibiotics against the most important causative organisms. This has proved to be a highly effective approach,4 which is also cost-effective, depending on the prevalence of the different STDs.6,8 An inherent component of patient management is education and counselling for prevention of future infections and the use of condom; to ensure compliance; and to ensure partner notification and management.2

Flow charts have been developed to facilitate training in the syndromic approach, and have served as a guide for the health care workers who see STD patients only infrequently. The following need to be kept in mind: (1) the flow charts developed by WHO are generic4, and may therefore require adaptation to the particular situation in a country or region; (2) flow charts are further developed as research and validation studies indicate ways to make the approach more sensitive and more specific. Thus, the flow chart for “vaginal discharge” contained in the above mentioned WHO publication has been adapted to include a “risk assessment” to increase the specificity of the approach.1

Implementation of the syndromic approach does indeed require (1) an individual assessment of each case; (2) supervision and guidance of staff; and (3) an appropriate referral mechanism for those requiring treatment. These issues are emphasised in the publication referred to earlier2 and in the STD Case Management Training Module, currently under final development in WHO.

The above should be kept in mind when considering the concerns raised in relation to the “GUD” flowchart.

1. The lack of specificity of signs and symptoms of the genital ulcerative STD is indeed one of the most compelling reasons for the use of the syndromic approach. As the authors point out, differentiation might be impossible and discharge would lead to the specialist. The importance of including all locally relevant causes has already been alluded to above.

2. The frequent occurrence of mixed infections in genital ulcers is another reason for the syndromic approach in favour of a simple flow chart approach to GUD, where treatment is given for the most common causative organisms.

3. The more frequent occurrence of non–GUD related lymphogranuloma is also supported by the need in developing countries is of more relevance for the “inguinal swelling” flow chart than for the flow chart dealing with GUD.

4. It is important to keep in mind that the syndromic approach to GUD is different from the approach of the “GUD” flowchart. Where examination is not possible, such an intra-vaginal ulcer would obviously not be diagnosed, but it is not clear what the alternative is in such a situation. Management of partners, as indicated above, is part of the syndromic approach, and is mentioned in the flow chart under discussion.

5. As indicated above, a requirement for the application of the syndromic approach is knowledge of the locally relevant causative organisms for a syndrome. If in a particular area the most common causes of genital ulcer are not related to STD, then obviously the flowchart would have to be modified or a decision taken not to apply the syndromic approach. However, the potential for STDs to go untreated, with the concomitant risks of further transmission, long-term complications and increased HIV transmission should be kept in mind.

6. The psychological trauma incurred by diagnosing non–STD as STD should be weighed against the psychological and physical trauma incurred by the long-term complications and sequelae of not treating STD, or ignoring to manage the partners of STD patients. The latter is relatively common even where a confirmed diagnosis has been made.

7. The risk of false labelling of disease is obviously mostly a problem with the clinical-aetiological approach, such as practised by most specialists in the developing world.
Where the syndromic approach is used, reporting will obviously be of syndromes. Knowledge of the relative prevalence of causative organisms will allow a reasonably accurate estimate of the incidence or prevalence of these aetiologies, which could be confirmed by occasional microbiological studies.

There are clearly compelling arguments in favour of a syndromic approach to the management of symptomatic STD. These arguments do not differ substantially from those for similar approaches to other widely prevalent public health problems, such as for instance acute respiratory infections in children. This, however, does not mean that further progress is not possible. More research is needed to increase the sensitivity, specificity and cost-effectiveness of the syndromic approach, and especially in respect of cervical and vaginal infections. More research is also needed to develop simple and rapid diagnostic tests for sexually transmitted infections, which may allow for aetiological management of people with STDs. Till such tests are available there is no feasible alternative to the syndromic approach for STD case management in most parts of the world.
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BOOK REVIEW


Here is a book attempting, in its 120 pages, a great deal—a synopsis of all the issues relating to sexual health that could be useful to those working in primary care. It succeeds on one level merely by its existence; there is very little in print in this area. The book brings together psychosexual, ethical, contraceptive and GUM topics in the form of a handbook, and seems to be aimed at readers with little experience in these fields. As such, it is a useful beginning, if only to introduce and validate ideas.

Possibly the most useful section is that dealing with taking a sexual history. Professionals rarely receive training in this skill, and it is learned (or not, as the case may be) in practice. "It is the professional’s responsibility to enable the patient to be honest" is an admirable summary of what is necessary. Providing Condoms is another useful chapter, supplying both information and a model for project development, which could serve as a pattern for a variety of educational undertakings.

Unfortunately, the format makes this book difficult to read; it is composed of running text thickly interspersed with highlighted lists and boxed summaries. Thus, on nearly every page the reader is faced with an uneasy choice between reading on or considering the contents of a box. As a result, the book may be most useful as a reference—though unhappily, the index is poor.

Also disappointing are the omissions. There are no cases or anecdotes to flesh out the good advice; there is no humour, no acknowledgement that sex is a pleasure, and sometimes absurd. The subtly coded presentations of sexual distress (as in, "It’s the thrust again doctor, just let me have a prescription . . .") are missing, and the chapter on contraception would have benefited from a mention of the woman who finds no contraceptive method acceptable.

There are disappointing factual omissions as well; contact tracing is correctly noted to be mandatory in diagnosed chlamydial infection, but is not mentioned in relation to pelvic inflammatory disease. Similarly, bacterial vaginosis is well discussed, but not identified as the most common cause of vaginal discharge in primary care. And, regrettably, the professional’s own fears and guilts about sexuality, and how these affect the carer/patient relationship, are scarcely addressed. In no other area of health care are the patient and the professional so likely to have similar experiences and anxieties, and therefore the relationship is uniquely risky and rewarding. This volume, in spite of its usefulness, only touches obliquely on these matters, though they are central to any consideration of sexual health.
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