Objective: To investigate the provision of diagnostic services for genital herpes simplex virus (HSV) infection in the United Kingdom.

Methods: National survey of laboratories providing diagnostic services for genital herpes.

Results: Completed questionnaires were returned from 25/32 (78%) laboratories participating in the Clinical Virology Network, including seven in London, 12 in the rest of England, one in Wales, four in Scotland, and one in Northern Ireland. Virus culture was the diagnostic method of choice in 20/25 (80%) laboratories; 5/25 (20%) routinely used HSV DNA detection by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). HSV PCR for DNA detection in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was available in 17/25 (68%) laboratories. Typing of isolates (HSV-1 or HSV-2) was performed routinely in 22/25 (88%) laboratories. Only 2/25 (8%) laboratories offered HSV type specific serology, although an additional 12/25 (48%) referred requests elsewhere. Consistent with this finding, the number of HSV type specific antibody tests referred to the Health Protection Agency increased by nearly fivefold between 1997 and 2003.

Conclusions: Virus culture remains the preferred diagnostic method for genital herpes, despite evidence indicating that its sensitivity is suboptimal compared to PCR. As HSV PCR is widely available for testing of CSF, it is recommended that clinicians and virologists discuss ways to implement PCR testing of genital swabs, thus enabling greater diagnostic accuracy. A call is made for studies to assess the use of HSV type specific serology in genitourinary medicine (GUM) settings, now that rapid and validated assays have become available and guidelines have been issues to provide recommendations on their use.

METHODS
In June 2003 a questionnaire was distributed via the UK Clinical Virology Network to 20 specialist virology centres and 12 specialist virology units. The questionnaire inquired about the routine diagnostic method employed for genital swabs; typing into HSV-1 and HSV-2; availability of HSV PCR for testing of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or other specimens; number of mucocutaneous swabs processed per year; availability of HSV type specific antibody assays; and trends in requests for HSV type specific serology over the previous 12 months. Where further clarification was required, the questionnaire was followed by a telephone interview of the respondents.

Completed questionnaires were returned by 25/32 (78%) laboratories, comprising seven in London, 12 in the rest of England, one in Wales, four in Scotland, and one in Northern Ireland; together they processed over 60 000 mucocutaneous swabs per year.

Virus detection
The routine diagnostic method was virus culture in 20/25 (80%) laboratories and PCR in 5/25 (20%). Of the laboratories using virus culture, 12/20 (60%) provided HSV PCR for CSF samples. Each of the five laboratories using PCR for genital swabs reported processing over 1000 (1056 to 1869) mucocutaneous specimens per year. Two of the five laboratories employed standard gel based PCR and three employed real time PCR assays. All PCR assays followed in-house protocols adapted from published methods. HSV typing into HSV-1 and HSV-2 was provided by 22/25 (88%) laboratories, including 18/20 (90%) laboratories using virus culture and 4/5 (80%) laboratories using PCR.

HSV type specific serology
HSV type specific serology was provided by two laboratories using commercially available enzyme immunoassay and immunoblot kits. There was reluctance to adopt the new commercial assays because of low demand and lack of
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familiarity with the assay performance and result interpretation. Twelve laboratories (48%) referred requests elsewhere, predominantly to the Specialist and Reference Microbiology Division of the Health Protection Agency (previously Central Public Health Laboratory), where both an in-house and commercial assays were employed. Overall, access to HSV type specific serology was provided by 14/25 (56%) laboratories, of which 6/14 (43%) reported that requests had been increasing in the previous 12 months. These trends were reflected in the number of requests for HSV type specific serology referred to the Health Protection Agency, which showed a nearly fivefold increase between 1997 and 2003 (fig 1).

DISCUSSION

This survey was designed to investigate current diagnostic tests for HSV in laboratories that participate in the Clinical Virology Network. The primary aim of the network is to facilitate the provision of a modern and comprehensive virology service across the United Kingdom and the establishment of best laboratory practice. Results showed that virus culture remains the most common diagnostic method for genital herpes, thus confirming the findings of the survey conducted in 1997. Over the last 8 years, there have been at least 14 large studies comparing virus culture with PCR for HSV detection in mucocutaneous swabs, together comprising data from over 3500 patients. Without exception, these studies demonstrated that the sensitivity of virus culture was suboptimal compared to PCR, averaging 70% and ranging between 25% and 89%. Despite the widespread use of HSV PCR for testing for CSF, only a minority of laboratories have adopted PCR for the processing of genital swabs. This implies that approximately one third of symptomatic patients receive a false negative result, preventing appropriate counselling and often triggering additional clinic visits and investigations. The available data provide solid evidence on which to base the recommendation that PCR should replace virus culture as the diagnostic method of choice for genital herpes.

HSV typing was provided by the majority of respondents. There remains room for improvement. Differentiating between HSV types provides important prognostic information in genital herpes and should form an essential component of the initial assessment of all patients with newly diagnosed infection. Laboratories currently planning the adoption of PCR based assays should ensure that the protocol chosen allows for HSV typing.

HSV type specific serology has a modest diagnostic role, although its use has been increasing substantially over the last 6 years. Whereas widespread screening of asymptomatic patients for HSV type specific antibodies is not recommended, current guidelines indicate that testing can be used successfully to diagnose and manage HSV infection in certain groups. These include people with recurrent genital symptoms of unknown aetiology, people with first episode disease including pregnant women to distinguish between newly acquired and recurrent infections, and sexual partners of people with genital herpes where there is a concern about transmission.

In recent years that have been important advances in diagnostic methods for HSV. We hope that the information presented will encourage the implementation of the new techniques, thereby increasing diagnostic accuracy and assisting with effective management.
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