Rapid decline in presentations of genital warts after the implementation of a national quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccination programme for young women
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ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aimed to determine if the Australian human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination programme has had a population impact on presentations of genital warts.

Methods: Retrospective study comparing the proportion of new clients with genital warts attending Melbourne Sexual Health Centre (MSHC) from January 2004 to December 2008. Australia provided free quadrivalent HPV vaccine to 12–18-year-old girls in a school-based programme from April 2007, and to women 26 years and younger through general practices from July 2007.

Results: 36 055 new clients attended MSHC between 2004 and 2008 and genital warts were diagnosed in 3826 (10.6%; 95% CI 10.3 to 10.9). The proportion of women under 28 years decreased by 5% (95% CI 0.5% to 3.4%) per quarter from the start of 2004 to the end of 2007 also in women under 28 years with warts diagnosed decreased by 10.6%; 95% CI 10.3 to 10.9. The proportion of women younger through general practices from July 2007.

Conclusions: There was no evidence of a difference in trend for the quarterly proportions before and after the end of 2007 for any other subgroup, and on only one occasion was there strong evidence of a trend different to zero, for heterosexual men in 2008 in whom the average quarterly change was a decrease of 5% (95% CI 0.5% to 9.4%; p = 0.031).

There are currently two vaccines for genital human papillomavirus (HPV) that have been licensed for use. One is the bivalent vaccine Cervarix (GlaxoSmithKline, Brentford, Middlesex, UK), which protects against HPV types 16 and 18, and the other is the quadrivalent vaccine Gardasil (Merck and Co Inc, West Point, Pennsylvania, USA), which protects against HPV types 6, 11, 16 and 18. HPV types 6 and 11 are associated with genital warts, whereas infection with types 16 and 18 is associated with high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions of the cervix and other anogenital sites. Clinical trials have shown that both vaccines are highly effective at preventing persistent HPV infections and HPV-related squamous intraepithelial lesions of the cervix, while the quadrivalent vaccine has also been found to be highly effective at preventing genital warts in women and men in clinical trials.

Governments around the world are currently deciding which vaccine should be included in immunisation programmes, and to do this robust data on the impact of the vaccine at a population level to demonstrate its effectiveness, rather than just efficacy, are required. The cost-effectiveness analyses being used by governments to inform these decisions currently rely on assumptions about the probable population impact of these vaccines because no population-level data are available.10 11 Policy decisions would be greatly strengthened by data from population-based vaccination programmes already in place.

Australia is in a unique position to provide such data. It was one of the first countries to implement HPV vaccination free of charge to women. It distributed the quadrivalent vaccine in April 2007 to girls in school, and in July 2007 to women less than 27 years of age outside of the school-based programme (http://www.health.gov.au/internet/immunise/publishing.nsf/content/immunise-hpv/). Because Australia has achieved a higher level of coverage than other countries for women outside the school-based programme, it is likely to be the first to see significant changes in presentations of genital warts if they were to occur. Our aim was to determine if the national HPV vaccination programme has had a measurable impact on clinical presentations of genital warts at a large sexual health service in the year following its implementation.

METHODS

We conducted a retrospective study investigating the proportion of new clients who were diagnosed with genital warts attending Melbourne Sexual Health Centre (MSHC) between 1 January 2004 and 31 December 2008. MSHC is the major public sexual health clinic serving the city of Melbourne (population 3.5 million), Australia. MSHC provides a walk-in, triage service that prioritises access to the centre for clients who belong to risk groups or who have symptoms.12 Referrals are not required and services are free of charge. Genital warts are not a notifiable infection in any state or territory of Australia. In 2008, MSHC diagnosed 7% of chlamydia cases, 21% of gonorrhoea cases and 27% of syphilis cases in the state of Victoria (population 5.5 million).

Clinical and epidemiological data are routinely entered into the clinic electronic database by
clinicians during every client consultation. These data included the diagnosis and the number and gender of sexual partners in the previous 12 months. The quadrivalent HPV vaccine was made available in Australia free of charge from April 2007 to girls aged 12 and 13 years in a school-based programme and to a catch-up group of 13–18-year-old girls in a largely school-based programme. From July 2007 it became available free of charge through general practitioners and community immunisation clinics for young women, aged up to and including 26 years. There were no programmes targeting men, although boys aged 9–15 years could be prescribed the vaccine privately at an out-of-pocket cost of approximately US$300. Data on the school-based vaccination programme in Victoria was obtained from The Department of Human Services Victoria (www.dhs.vic.gov.au). At the end of 2007 between 69% and 75% of girls in years 7, 10, 11 and 12 (approximate ages of 12, 15, 16 and 17 years, respectively) had received three doses of the vaccine (Dr R Lester, personal communication). Coverage rates in women between 18 and 26 years of age are estimated by CSL Biotherapies to be 65–70% based on the number of doses of Gardasil distributed to general practitioners and community immunisation clinics in Victoria and the number of women in that age group living in Victoria (G Whiteside, CSL Biotherapies, personal communication).

### Statistical analysis

For each quarter the proportion of new clients with a genital wart diagnosis was calculated by dividing the number of genital wart diagnoses by the number of new clients seen. These proportions were modelled using logistic regression with separate linear trends (on the logit scale) fitted for two time periods, Q1 2004 to Q4 2007, and Q1 2008 to Q4 2008. The choice of change-point to define the two periods was based on the assumption that before 2008 there were very few people who had been fully immunised with three doses of the vaccine. For each time period the estimated increase in log odds of the proportion of new clients with genital warts was converted to a percentage change, which is presented with 95% CI. The estimated pre and post-Q4 2007 trends were compared using a Wald test. We examined the robustness of the assumption of linear trend by fitting models with an additional quadratic term in each time period and comparing this with the corresponding model with only a linear trend, also using a Wald test. Analyses were stratified by gender and age (for women), and for men they were further stratified by the sex of their partners in the past 12 months.

We calculated percentage change in the odds of genital warts from the fitted logistic regression models by exponentiating (anti-logging) the relevant estimated regression coefficient (giving an odds ratio for a unit change in exposure), submitting the null value 1.00 (corresponding to no change) and multiplying by 100. As the prevalence of genital warts is low (less than 10% in all subgroups) the percentage change in odds will be similar to the percentage change in proportion; we report the latter throughout the study.

All calculations were performed using Stata version 10.1. The study was approved by the Alfred Hospital Ethics Committee as involving “negligible risk” and did not require a formal ethics submission.

---

### TABLE 1

**Number (N) and percentage of clients diagnosed with genital warts by year and by risk group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>3156 (11.2)</td>
<td>777 (11.0)</td>
<td>784 (11.3)</td>
<td>806 (11.6)</td>
<td>789 (11.0)</td>
<td>670 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All women</td>
<td>1131 (9.9)</td>
<td>247 (8.7)</td>
<td>275 (9.7)</td>
<td>304 (11)</td>
<td>305 (10.4)</td>
<td>197 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women &lt; 28 years</td>
<td>850 (12.7)</td>
<td>177 (11.1)</td>
<td>205 (12.4)</td>
<td>242 (14.6)</td>
<td>226 (12.7)</td>
<td>130 (6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women &gt; 28 years</td>
<td>281 (6.3)</td>
<td>70 (5.6)</td>
<td>70 (6.0)</td>
<td>62 (5.6)</td>
<td>79 (6.8)</td>
<td>67 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All men</td>
<td>2024 (12.1)</td>
<td>529 (12.6)</td>
<td>509 (12.5)</td>
<td>502 (11.9)</td>
<td>484 (11.5)</td>
<td>473 (9.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men sex with men only*</td>
<td>265 (8.2)</td>
<td>62 (7.5)</td>
<td>77 (9.6)</td>
<td>69 (7.5)</td>
<td>77 (8.4)</td>
<td>83 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men sex with women only*</td>
<td>1525 (14.3)</td>
<td>411 (14.5)</td>
<td>382 (14.4)</td>
<td>375 (14.2)</td>
<td>357 (14.0)</td>
<td>333 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary genital herpes</td>
<td>501 (1.8%)</td>
<td>126 (1.8)</td>
<td>114 (1.6)</td>
<td>115 (1.7)</td>
<td>146 (2.2)</td>
<td>152 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of clients seen</td>
<td>28083</td>
<td>7051</td>
<td>6926</td>
<td>6968</td>
<td>7148</td>
<td>7962</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Refers to the gender of the sexual partners in the past 12 months.

---

### TABLE 2

**Average percentage change per quarter in the proportion of patients presenting with genital warts (with 95% CI) for two time periods, Q1 2004 to Q4 2007 and Q1 2008 to Q4 2008**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change per quarter before end 2007 (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Change per quarter after end 2007 (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>p Value comparing change per quarter before and after end 2007</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women &lt; 28 years</td>
<td>+1.8% (+0.2% to +3.4%)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>−25.1% (−30.5% to −19.3%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−25.1% (−30.5% to −19.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women ≥28 years</td>
<td>+1.0% (−1.5% to +3.7%)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>−4.7% (−13.9% to +5.4%)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>−4.7% (−13.9% to +5.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men sex with men only*</td>
<td>+0.5% (−2.1% to +3.1%)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>−5.9% (−14.6% to +3.5%)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>−5.9% (−14.6% to +3.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men sex with women only*</td>
<td>−0.6% (−1.8% to +0.6%)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>−5.0% (−9.4% to −0.5%)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>−5.0% (−9.4% to −0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary genital herpes</td>
<td>+1.8% (−0.1% to +3.8%)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>−3.4% (−9.9% to +3.4%)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>−3.4% (−9.9% to +3.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A positive percentage indicates a quarter-to-quarter increase, a negative percentage indicates a quarter-to-quarter decrease. p Values are presented for the null hypotheses of no quarterly change within each period and for the null hypothesis that the quarterly change before and after end-2007 is the same. *Refers to the gender of the sexual partners in the past 12 months.
this group (women <28 years of age) there was some curvature in the relationship between the log odds of diagnosis with genital warts (in the logistic regression model, the linear coefficient for time period 1 was −0.0612, 95% CI −0.1203 to −0.0022 and the quadratic coefficient was −0.0057, 95% CI −0.0098 to −0.0016, with the time variable taking value 1 in quarter 1, 2004). The degree of curvature after accounting for the linear trend was, however, small and therefore the resulting trend was captured adequately using a linear term in the model.

There was no evidence of a difference in trend for the quarterly proportions before and after the end of 2007 for any other subgroup, and for only one subgroup was there strong evidence of a trend different to zero, for heterosexual men in 2008 when the average quarterly change was a decrease of 5% (95% CI 0.5% to 9.4%; p = 0.051).

Of note, the number of male sexual partners among women less than 28 years of age in 2004–7 and 2008 did not differ (mean of 2.5 and 2.4, respectively; p = 0.19), and the proportion of clients attending MSHC diagnosed with herpes for the first time was stable during the study period (table 1, fig 1).

**DISCUSSION**

We saw a marked reduction in clinical presentations for genital warts among women in the target age group for HPV vaccination in the year following the implementation of a national HPV vaccination programme; a fall that clearly diverged from previous trends in clinical diagnoses. The only other subgroup to have a modest fall in presentations for genital warts was heterosexual men in 2008. Notably, there were no changes in clinic policy either relating to the selection of clients attending the centre or clinical management and diagnosis, which would account for the observed reductions in genital warts. Comprehensive data on the prevalence of cervical dysplasia in women attending this clinical service over the study period was not available for analysis.

We did not have data on whether individuals attending the centre had or had not received the HPV vaccine. However, the aims of our study were to assess the population-level effects of vaccination rather than the efficacy of the vaccine, which has been well established in randomised clinical trials.

Our data suggest that a relatively rapid and marked reduction in the population prevalence of genital warts among vaccinated women may be achievable through an HPV vaccination programme targeting women. Our data also supports some potential benefit being conferred to men. The reduction in genital wart diagnoses in 2008 among heterosexual but not among homosexual men may be consistent with reduced heterosexual transmission of HPV as a result of female vaccination. These data should be of value to governments making decisions about whether to implement HPV vaccination in women and may assist in informing the choice of vaccine. Surveillance of genital warts should be compared in settings and countries where HPV vaccination has been implemented using bivalent and quadrivalent vaccines to determine the degree to which the quadrivalent vaccine reduces the prevalence of genital warts at a community level.
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