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ABSTRACT

Objective Documenting the implementation of a public health programme as per its design is critical to interpretation of results from survey-led outcome and impact evaluation activities, the authors describe the scale-up and coverage of large-scale HIV-prevention services provided to female sex workers (FSWs) and high-risk men who have sex with men (HR-MSM) during the first 5 years of the Avahan programme in India.

Methods Implementing NGO partner-generated denominator estimates from 70 districts were used to estimate the programme’s intended coverage. Routine programme-monitoring data until December 2008 were used to describe the service and commodity availability, service utilisation to generate internal estimates of coverage. Coverage was validated in few districts using data from a cross-sectional survey.

Results In December 2008, the estimated denominators for intended services were about 217 000 FSWs and 80 000 HR-MSM. By January 2007, 79% of eventual total clinics and 75% drop-in centres were established, and 83% of eventual peer educators were active. By month 48, sufficient condoms to cover estimated FSW commercial sex acts were distributed free. By month 60, 75% of the estimated denominator intended to be covered was met monthly. 86% of FSWs and 67% of HR-MSM ever contacted had used sexually transmitted infections services at least once. Cross-sectional surveys generated coverage results suggest that programme-monitoring data provide a proxy to coverage of services.

Conclusion Avahan’s monitoring data show that Avahan achieved infrastructure scale by year 3 and high contact coverage through peers and with commodities by year 5 of implementation as per the design.

INTRODUCTION

Experts believe that the global HIV epidemic can be contained by scaling up comprehensive HIV-prevention programmes complemented by high levels of coverage and quality, and of sufficient duration (for most at-risk populations relevant to local transmission dynamics).1 With an estimated 2.31 million people living with HIV, India has a concentrated HIV epidemic.2–4 High-risk groups (HRGs) in India include female sex workers (FSWs), men having sex with men (MSM) and injecting-drug users (IDU) as well as bridge group populations such as male clients of sex workers.3,4 In late 2003, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation funded the Avahan India AIDS Initiative, an HIV-prevention programme for HRGs in the four southern states of Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka and Maharashtra, and two north-eastern states of Manipur and Nagaland estimated to account for over 80% of country’s estimated HIV infections in 2002.4–6 The core Avahan package of HIV-prevention services included behaviour-change communication delivered through peer educators and outreach staff, programme-supported free clinical services to treat sexually transmitted infections (STI), commodity provision (free condom distribution, condom social marketing and needle exchange) and referral linkages to other services.5–7 In addition, stigma, violence and barriers to accessing entitlements were addressed through structural and community mobilisation interventions.8–9 In December 2007, Avahan was providing HIV-prevention services to over about 300 000 FSWs, high risk MSMs and IDUs through seven state-level lead implementing partners in 82 districts in the six states.5

One of Avahan’s goals is to impact the Indian HIV epidemic by achieving high coverage (over 80%) with effective HIV-prevention services to HRGs and bridge groups across the intervention areas.5,10 The current paper aims to epitomise and document the Avahan programme scale-up and coverage of FSW and HR-MSM populations in the four southern states.11,12 Coverage is examined using a framework which considers the availability, accessibility and acceptability of appropriate quality services that result in high levels of utilisation by the target population.13–15 This paper examines the availability, utilisation and coverage of HIV-prevention services for HRGs. Accessibility and acceptability indicators were not captured through routine monitoring data. However, accessibility was achieved by placing HIV-prevention services in proximity to mapped solicitation points in consultation with FSWs and HR-MSM. Acceptability of services was maximised by involving FSWs and HR-MSM as part of the outreach and facilities (drop-in centre (DIC) and STI clinics) management teams.5,7,9 Companion papers in this supplement address the question of quality of Avahan’s STI clinical services and condom social marketing.15,16
Programme description
Between December 2003 and March 2004, grants were awarded to six lead implementing partners in the four southern states (22 districts in Andhra Pradesh, 17 districts in Karnataka, 13 districts each in Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu). The lead implementing partners in turn awarded subgrants to local NGO (implementing partners). In August 2005, a final grant was awarded to support interventions in four additional districts in Karnataka and Maharashtra. In late 2007, a few districts were reallocated in consultation with the government of India bringing 70 districts under Avahan Programme in 2008. In December 2003 and March 2004, separate grants were awarded for programmes with male clients, for condom social marketing and franchised STI clinical services, and for interventions with long distance truckers. Districts were chosen to complement existing government or other donor-supported targeted intervention programming so as to collectively achieve high coverage of the HRG population in the four states. In December 2008 Avahan was the sole implementer of HIV-prevention services in 43 districts (solo districts) and provided services in parts of the remaining districts along with government of India or other donors (major or minor districts). The major activities at the district level included (1) recruitment and training of outreach and clinic staff; (2) validation of estimated numbers of HRGs and mapping of solicitation points; (3) setting up a supply-chain system for free condoms in consultation with State AIDS Control Societies; (4) setting up monitoring and reporting systems; and (5) determining locations and establishing DICs and programme-owned STIs clinics in consultation with HRGs. The initial focus of the programme in the four southern states was mainly FSWs except for two lead implementing partners (Tamil Nadu and Maharashtra) who included HR-MSM from the beginning. By mid-2005, all other lead implementing partners working in the states had initiated interventions for HR-MSM.

METHODS
Six broad areas of enquiry formed the basis of the analysis in this paper: (1) denominator of the populations intended to be reached; (2) need for commodities provision (condoms); (3) availability of HIV-prevention services and condoms over time; (4) utilisation of services over time by HRGs; (5) internal estimates of service coverage over time using denominator and programme-generated utilisation data; and (6) external validation or ‘evaluated coverage’ of HRGs by programme services through survey data at specific time points.

As many NGOs and service sites served both FSWs and HR-MSM, availability of service sites over time is not presented separately, whereas utilisation and coverage data are presented separately for the two HRGs. The sections below describe in more detail the data sources and analytical approaches.

Data sources and assumptions
Data sources include: (1) size estimate data to establish the denominators for HRGs; (2) programme-monitoring data and (3) a first round of cross-sectional survey among core and bridge populations through the Integrated Behavioural and Biologic Assessment (IBBA) conducted over 2006–2007 in a subset of districts which provides external validation of population level coverage. Denominators and HRG intended coverage

Intended coverage was defined as the number of HRG individuals estimated to be in the geographic area where the local implementing NGO had been contracted to establish services by Avahan, government of India or other donors. The HRG denominator estimation process is described in table 1, and state-level data are listed in Web table 1. For the purpose of this analysis, the denominator determined in December 2008 is assumed to be the intended denominator of coverage for the entire time period examined. This is reasonable, as there have been no major natural disasters, economic dislocations or civil strife that might be associated with sudden changed numbers of FSWs except for the closing of the dance bars in Mumbai. However, evidence suggests that efforts by police did not lead to a decrease in the number of sex workers but only leads to shifts in the solicitation venues.

Programme-monitoring data
The Avahan programme monitoring information system (MIS) evolved over the first 3 years of programme implementation. At the start of the project, each lead implementing partner defined their indicators and established data-collection mechanisms. Between late 2004 and 2005, with the support of an Avahan-wide monitoring and evaluation grantees (Family Health International) a standard set of core indicators was established, and by late 2005, reports were generated on the core set of indicators by the NGOs. Between July and August 2006, the core set of indicators were expanded. The analysis here uses information from January 2005 and assumes all values to be zero in January 2004; linear interpolation was used to impute the midyear values for the indicators for 2004. Integrity of data was ensured by the lead implementing partners.

IBBA
The IBBA was designed to measure changes in key behavioural and biological indicators among HRGs. The first round of the IBBA covered 29 of the 83 districts where Avahan is being implemented and covered 25,162 respondents, including FSW in 25 districts, HR-MSM in 11 districts, IDU in five districts, male clients of sex workers in 12 districts, and long-distance truck drivers on four national highway segments or route categories.

In this analysis, IBBA data on exposure to outreach services, STI-clinic utilisation, and mean and median number of partners for commercial sex by typology of FSW have been used.

Analytical approach
Availability and utilisation of services
Availability of services was examined using time trend analysis of hard infrastructure (ie, proportion of total NGOs contracted, proportion of total STI clinics and DICs established) and soft infrastructure (ie, number and ratio to target population of active trained peer educators and outreach workers) (table 1). Utilisation of services was examined by analysing the number of unique individuals and percentage of HRG population contacted through outreach (monthly and ever contacted), accessing STI clinical services (monthly and ever visited) and distribution of commodities (condoms) provided by the programme (table 1).

Condom need
Adequacy of condom distribution was assessed using data on estimated condom need among HRGs. The overall estimated condom need for FSWs was calculated using the number of FSWs by typology point as recorded in the programme-monitoring data and the number of reported commercial sex partners by typology of FSWs in the IBBA (table 1). This was not calculated for HR-MSM, as the necessary information was not available.
Table 1 Indicators with definitions used in analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Data source/indicator(s)</th>
<th>Comments/description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denominator</td>
<td>Programme data: number of FSWs and HR-MSM in geographic area</td>
<td>Avahan HRG size estimates: at the start of an intervention in a district or subdistrict, NGOs conducted a formal external mapping and size estimation exercise. Some state-level lead implementing partners updated these numbers on a regular basis, every 12 to 18 months, using programme data; some did formal size estimation exercises; and some used programme data alone to estimate numbers through subtracting individuals currently in the programme (determined on a 3 to 6 month basis depending on the lead implementing partner) from individuals ever registered. Size estimates are separate for FSWs and HR-MSM. The size estimate used in this analysis is the number as of December 2008 District HRG size estimates: size estimates are available from the Project Implementation Plans for third National AIDS Control Programme. Data are based on previous mapping exercises conducted by the states and where applicable Avahan size data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>MIS</td>
<td>1. Some NGOs provided services uniquely to FSWs or HR-MSM, but the majority provided services to both. NGOs are not stratified by population served.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. DICs are places for HRG members to gather, meet and rest in comfortable, safe environment. DICs were established with community input regarding location, hours and available services, and managed by community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. In addition to providing static STI clinics (eg, clinics in a permanent location) which were chosen, staffed and operated with input from the community, other STI services delivery sites were provided. These sites included fixed-time, fixed-location mobile clinics, preferred private providers and health camps. These other STI service sites are not included in the infrastructure analysis because reporting was not standardised across lead implementing partners but are included in the STI utilisation indicator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Outreach contacts are made by peer educators and the outreach staff. The number of peer educators is available as FSWs and HR-MSM separately but the number of outreach staff is present as a total figure. The target ratio per programme guidelines of a peer to community members is 1:50.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5. Lead implementing partners distributed condoms free to the FSWs and HR-MSM through peer educators, outreach staff, clinics, depots and social marketing. In 2008, state-level lead implementing partners were instructed to ensure that enough condoms were distributed to meet the estimated condom needs of the individual FSWs and HR-MSM at the utilising site level. The method to estimate this need was determined at the state level leading implementing partner level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilisation</td>
<td>MIS</td>
<td>(1) and (3) All individuals at a site were given an identification number used at clinical services and for outreach. Ever contacted or came to the clinic services is determined by this number locally and reported in aggregate. (2) and (4) Individuals contacted during outreach or seen in clinic are aggregated at the site level on a monthly basis and reported. Number of unique individuals contacted is known only on a monthly basis. Number of times an individual contacted over time or the frequency of contacts cannot be determined from central MIS. Programme targets for monthly outreach contacts varied across partners but were at a minimum at least one contact per month. Programme targets for routine STI clinic consultations were once a quarter, resulting in a target of 33% per month attending the clinic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated condom need</td>
<td>MIS</td>
<td>For FSWs, IBBA reports commercial partner number by three FSW solicitation typologies—brothel-based, home-based, public-based. For the analysis of condom requirement, all sex work typologies recorded in the MIS that were not brothel-based or home-based were classified as public-based (street, tamba, lodge, bar based, private and others).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>number of contacts by typology of FSWs based on solicitation venue served during outreach</td>
<td>For FSWs, IBBA reports commercial partner number by three FSW solicitation typologies—brothel-based, home-based, public-based. For the analysis of condom requirement, all sex work typologies recorded in the MIS that were not brothel-based or home-based were classified as public-based (street, tamba, lodge, bar based, private and others).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBBA—mean number of reported commercial sex partners both regular and occasional for FSWs and mean number of male partners for HR-MSM</td>
<td>For FSWs, IBBA reports commercial partner number by three FSW solicitation typologies—brothel-based, home-based, public-based. For the analysis of condom requirement, all sex work typologies recorded in the MIS that were not brothel-based or home-based were classified as public-based (street, tamba, lodge, bar based, private and others).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validity of MIS data</td>
<td>MIS—(1) contacted this month, (2) ever visited the clinic</td>
<td>For FSWs, IBBA reports commercial partner number by three FSW solicitation typologies—brothel-based, home-based, public-based. For the analysis of condom requirement, all sex work typologies recorded in the MIS that were not brothel-based or home-based were classified as public-based (street, tamba, lodge, bar based, private and others).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBBA—(1) contacted by peer in the year, (2) visited a project STI clinic in last year (except Karnataka; see comments)</td>
<td>For FSWs, IBBA reports commercial partner number by three FSW solicitation typologies—brothel-based, home-based, public-based. For the analysis of condom requirement, all sex work typologies recorded in the MIS that were not brothel-based or home-based were classified as public-based (street, tamba, lodge, bar based, private and others).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DIC, drop-in centre; FSW, female sex worker; HRG, high-risk group; HR-MSM, high-risk men who have sex with men; IBBA, Integrated Behavioural and Biologic Assessment; MIS, monitoring information system.
Validity of programme monitoring coverage data

For reported exposure from the IBBA, the comparison is limited to IBBA districts where Avahan was the sole implementer in the district and where there were no interventions prior to the Avahan programme. Exposure to outreach services and STI clinics reported during the IBBA survey period were compared with programme-monitoring data from the same time period (last month survey in the district) in the districts analysed (table 1).

RESULTS

Overall, of the total estimated denominator for HRG in the four southern states, the Avahan programme’s intended coverage was estimated to be 66% for FSW and 70% for HR-MSM. It varied between 55 and 79% for FSWs and between 50 and 87% for HR-MSM within the four southern states (Web table 1). Under Avahan, 116 local NGOs were contracted to implement programmes with HRGs, and 520 DICs and 302 programme-run STI clinics were established by December 2008 in the four southern states. About 85% of the total NGOs were contracted, and about 50% of static STI clinics and 75% of DICs were established by January 2007 (figure 1A).

The number of active (ie, currently working) peer educators and outreach workers rose from 385 to 5810 and 296 to 1272 respectively between January 2004 and December 2008 (figure 1B). By January 2007, the programme had above 85% of the eventual PEs (ie, peer educators working as of December 2008). In January 2007, the ratio of peer educator to HRG population was 1:55 for FSW and 1:76 for HR-MSM and decreased steadily to 1:50 for FSWs and 1:55 for HR-MSM in December 2008 (figure 1C).

Condom distribution (free and social marketed) by the state-level lead implementing partners rose steadily after January 2006. The estimated requirement for 34 condoms per month per FSW for commercial partners was met through direct distribution by January 2008 (figure 1D). In December 2008, condom distribution by state-level lead implementing partners was 41 condoms per month per FSW and 54 condoms per month per HR-MSM.

The number of individuals ever contacted through outreach and ever visited the clinic for STI services is shown in figure 2A. By December 2008, about 350 000 FSWs and 100 000 HR-MSM had been contacted through outreach activities. The proportion of the total denominator of HRG intended to be covered that were ever contacted through outreach and ever visited STI services was 160% and 139% respectively for FSWs and 122% and 82%, respectively, for HR-MSM (figure 2B). The proportion of the total denominator intended to be covered that were contacted monthly through outreach reached approximately 50% in January 2007 and was over 75% for both FSWs and HR-MSM by December 2008 (figure 2C). Out of those ever contacted by December 2008, 86% FSWs and 67% HR-MSM had visited the programme STI clinics at least once. The proportion of HRG members of the total denominator of intended coverage visiting

Figure 1  (A) Percentage of maximum number of NGOs contracted drop-in centres (DICs) and static STI clinical services established over time. (B) Total number of active outreach workers, female sex worker (FSW) peer educators and high-risk men who have sex with men peer educators. (C) Ratio of active peer educators to total target denominator intended to be covered for FSWs and high-risk men who have sex with men (HR-MSM). (D) Ratio of estimated condom distribution (free and social marketed) per FSW and HR-MSM per month by state-level lead implementing partners using total target denominator intended to be covered throughout.
STI programme clinics each month was about 25% for FSWs and over 15% for HR-MSM (figure 2D) by December 2008, compared with the programme target of 33% of HRG visits per month (one STI consultation or routine check-up per HRG every 3 months). Table 2 compares reported exposure data from IBBA for FSW and HR-MSM for solo Avahan districts against equivalent coverage as internally estimated for the same time period by programme-monitoring data. Survey measurement of coverage for FSWs and HR-MSM populations via peer outreach and visits to programme STI clinics is at least as high as coverage estimated using programme-monitoring data and denominators.

DISCUSSION

Based on this analysis of the programme-monitoring MIS, Avahan was implemented as designed and achieved hard (clinics, DIC) and soft (trained outreach staff including peer educators) infrastructure scale in 3 years after the programme start and was meeting three-quarters of the estimated denominator of intended coverage every month in 5 years after programme start. The reasons for the time lag were due to the time required to process grants to local NGOs; completion of local situation assessments to design projects locally; and to build rapport with the community.

Direct condom distribution to FSWs by the state-level lead implementing partners through free distribution or social marketing grew to cover the estimated needs for all commercial sex acts for FSWs estimated in the intended coverage in 4 years after programme start. The increase in the absolute number of condoms distributed was proportional to the increase in FSWs contacted by the outreach staff. This analysis reflects only those condoms provided directly to the FSWs from the NGOs but does not capture condoms available through the Avahan supported targeted condom social marketing programme, other socially marketed condoms or retail outlets in India. While condom sales are poor indicators of the prevalence of condom use, the wide condom availability in India suggests that condoms directly distributed to FSWs represent only part of the available condoms for commercial sex. The number of condoms distributed is based on the peer and NGO records, and dumping of condoms to achieve targets cannot be ruled out.

The Avahan programme resulted in strong outreach activities conducted at the field level as evidenced by the fact that a total of 70% of HRGs were contacted every month by outreach staff by the fourth year of the programme. The strength of outreach is probably due to the high ratios of the number of peer educators to project staff, which increased the ability of HIV-prevention interventions to identify, engage and follow-up HRGs, and motivate them to attend STI clinics and achieve the intended coverage. This analysis did not examine peer educator...
that 160% of the FSW population intended to be covered has trade are consistent with the MIS data from which we estimate these states. The mobility, and entrance and exit from the sex non-Avahan HIV-prevention interventions in some districts in FSWs in these districts and states, and presence of longstanding IBBA responses, or lack of clarity in the questions asked in the exposure data, suggesting that the programme monitoring may have been weak in these districts, social desirability bias in the programme-monitoring data was vastly lower than the IBBA was not yet stable. In some districts, exposure measured by be that the IBBA was conducted when the monitoring system reported contact by outreach staff than the MIS data with the showed a higher proportion of FSWs and HR-MSM who MIS. The IBBA data collected in late 2005 and early 2006 demonstrated the scale-up and coverage achievements for a large-scale HIV-prevention programme using routine monitoring and survey measures. Monitoring data are necessary for programme management and decision-making, and thereby contribute to good implementation. In measuring outcome and impact, good-quality monitoring trend data are critical to document that the programme was implemented as per its design without which evaluating programme effectiveness will not be meaningful. Scale-up of programme infrastructure and programme activities varied across states. Assessments of coverage presented here show that the programme was able to achieve high, consistent levels of coverage within 5 years of initiation of intervention. While the current analysis can be further enhanced by more in-depth analysis at district and regional level, there is sufficient evidence of scale-up and

**Key messages**

- Demonstrates the scale-up and coverage of Avahan Program among female sex workers and men who have sex with men in four southern Indian states.
- Provides information on the size of infrastructure as well as program indicators like condom distribution and STI consultations over time.
- Presents the trend on the outreach and clinical services provided to the High risk groups.

---

**Table 2** Comparison of monitoring information system (MIS) and Integrated Behavioural and Biologic Assessment (IBBA) coverage data in districts where Avahan was the first and sole implementer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey district, state</th>
<th>Earliest date of any intervention start</th>
<th>Duration of interventions before IBBA (months)</th>
<th>IBBA survey date</th>
<th>IBBA sample size</th>
<th>Exposure to outreach contacts</th>
<th>Exposure to STI clinics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of target contacted through outreach in the last month (MIS)*</td>
<td>Percentage reporting exposure to peer educators in past 1 year (IBBA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex workers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellary, Karnataka†</td>
<td>November 2004</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>November to December 2005</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>86†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dharmpuri, Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>July 2004</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>April to May 2006</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salem, Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>July 2004</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>March to May 2006</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karimnagar, Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>April 2004</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>November to December 2005</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yavatmal, Maharashtra</td>
<td>February 2005</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>April to May 2006</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimoga, Karnataka</td>
<td>April 2004</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>August to September 2005</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>31†</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-risk men who have sex with men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>October 2005</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>April 2006</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guntur, Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>January 2006</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>May 2006</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vishakhapatnamnam, Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>April 2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>May 2006</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>83**</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madurai, Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>July 2004</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>March to May 2006</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salem, Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>July 2004</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>March to May 2006</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*December 2008 district denominator used for calculation.
†IBBA questionnaire for Karnataka is slightly different from the other states. The period is 6 months instead of a year.
‡The first available data are from October 2006, indicated here.
**The first available data are from December 2006 indicated here.

The IBBA presented an opportunity to validate the coverage data estimated from the programme-monitoring data in the MIS. The IBBA data collected in late 2005 and early 2006 showed a higher proportion of FSWs and HR-MSM who reported contact by outreach staff than the MIS data with the sole exception of one district. The reason for the exception could be that the IBBA was conducted when the monitoring system was not yet stable. In some districts, exposure measured by programme-monitoring data was vastly lower than the IBBA exposure data, suggesting that the programme monitoring may have been weak in these districts, social desirability bias in the IBBA responses, or lack of clarity in the questions asked in the IBBA survey. It also may reflect the highly mobile nature of the FSWs in these districts and states, and presence of longstanding non-Avahan HIV-prevention interventions in some districts in these states. The mobility, and entrance and exit from the sex trade are consistent with the MIS data from which we estimate that 160% of the FSW population intended to be covered has been contacted by an outreach worker at least once. Over 100% results for the ever contacted FSW through outreach and STI services appears to account for the high mobility of FSW. This also accounts for some of the difference given the relatively close estimate of coverage figures in the MIS and IBBA for HR-MSM where interventions prior to Avahan were almost non-existent. The programme-monitoring data do not appear to overstate coverage and are a reasonable proxy for coverage based on this MIS analysis, as the programme was not fully scaled up during the first round of IBBA data collection. A key limitation of this analysis is its reliance on size estimates that cannot be validated externally. Size estimation of HRGs (through direct or indirect methods) is a difficult exercise due to the mobile, hidden and hard-to-reach nature of these population groups. Size estimates represent a number at one point in time and do not capture the likely variations in local numbers that occur over the programme period. Recent data from India suggest that there is a high degree of mobility; about 70% of FSWs in Andhra Pradesh report having moved across districts in the previous 2 years.

The Avahan programme MIS and IBBA presented a unique opportunity to understand scale-up and coverage achievements for a large-scale HIV-prevention programme using routine monitoring and survey measures. Monitoring data are necessary for programme management and decision-making, and thereby contribute to good implementation. In measuring outcome and impact, good-quality monitoring trend data are critical to document that the programme was implemented as per its design without which evaluating programme effectiveness will not be meaningful. Scale-up of programme infrastructure and programme activities varied across states. Assessments of coverage presented here show that the programme was able to achieve high, consistent levels of coverage within 5 years of initiation of intervention. While the current analysis can be further enhanced by more in-depth analysis at district and regional level, there is sufficient evidence of scale-up and
population coverage by the programme to ensure subsequent outcome and evaluation results will be plausible.
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