Methods Case-control study where treatment error cases were defined as any patient 15–65 years of age, diagnosed with gonorrhoea in 2010, who did not receive ceftriaxone 125 mg or 250 mg IM or other approved cephalosporin regimen, or azithromycin 2 g PO. Two controls were randomly selected from patients who received correct treatment, matched to cases in regard to age, sex, and month of diagnosis. Data regarding exposures to various provider characteristics were collected from case report cards, provider licensing databases, and direct provider phone calls. Proportions of cases and controls were compared on the basis of provider training, years in practice, specialty, and practice type by χ^2 analysis or Fisher's exact test.

Results 76 cases were matched to 152 controls. In preliminary analysis, no differences were identified with respect to provider degree (MD/DO or NP/PA; p>0.25). More treatment errors occurred in private practice/health maintenance organisations compared to STD or family planning clinics (p<0.0001), emergency departments (p<0.0001), or community health centers/hospital clinics (p=0.0004). Among physicians, no differences were identified with respect to years since residency graduation (p>0.25). More treatment errors occurred with family medicine physicians compared to OB/GYN (p=0.0225) and emergency medicine physicians (p=0.0101), but not compared to paediatricians or internists see Abstract P5-S6.34 table 1.

Conclusions Although gonorrhoea treatment errors were rare, specific practice locations and physician specialties were significantly associated with gonorrhoea treatment errors, suggesting important opportunities for educational intervention. Further studies may determine reasons for errors, relative importance of provider factors, and what systems support accurate treatment.

Abstract P5-S6.34 Table 1 Analysis to date

	Controls (n, %)	Cases (n, %t)	p Value
Provider degree			
NP/PA	49 (45%)	30 (41%)	NS
MD/DO	59 (55%)	43 (59%)	
Practice location			
Private practices/HMOs	22 (18%)	41 (56%)	Reference
STD clinics	15 (13%)	0	<0.0001
Emergency departments	40 (33%)	11 (15%)	<0.0001
Community health centers/hospital clinics	43 (36%)	21 (29%)	0.0004
Residency graduation year			
After 2000	27 (47%)	15 (39%)	NS
1990s	16 (28%)	13 (33%)	
Before 1990	14 (25%)	11 (28%)	
Physician specialty			
Family Medicine	5 (9%)	11 (24%)	Reference
Paediatrics	3 (5%)	5 (11%)	NS
Internal Medicine	10 (18%)	10 (22%)	NS
OB/GYN	18 (32%)	7 (16%)	0.0225
Emergency Medicine	20 (36%)	7 (16%)	0.0101

P5-S6.35 EFFECTIVENESS OF MOBILISING MEDICAL FACILITIES TO PARTICIPATE IN STD/HIV CONTROL ACTIVITIES

doi:10.1136/sextrans-2011-050108.591

G Liang. *National Center for STD Control, China CDC, Nanjing, China*

Since 2000, the STD clinics were brought into intervention service aimed at high-risk population by some projects, such as Health Project, Comprehensive Demonstration Area Project and the Global Fund to Fight AIDS Project. The ability of providing standardisation service in STD clinics was improved through the project activities. The outreach service increased awareness of STD clinics and attracted or made referral patients seeking service at standard clinic, and that strengthened the role of medical sites in preventing STD/AIDS.

Method Two jobs improved the intervention service aimed at STD/AIDS at clinics. 1. The intervention service aimed at outpatient. Including the following service: (1) setting heath education bulletin and publicity photographs at STD clinics to spread information about prevention STD/AIDS (2) providing Health education prescription about prevention STD/AIDS freely (3) the medical staff provided health education and consultation after diagnosis and treatment and extended the use of condom (4) to advise patient to inform their partner of examination at clinic (5) the medical staff mobilised outpatients to accept HIV-test forwardly 2. The medical staff provided field service aimed at high-risk population (CSW, MSM).

Result Several projects evaluated prevention service effect at STD clinics. The rate of medical staff accepting training on STD standardisation service increased from 9.21 to 21.56% to 68.99–89.7%. The rate of object population knew information about STD/AIDS prevention increased from 31.02–45.52% to 72.4–87.86%. The rate of outpatients accepting HIV test increased from 3.45% to 44.25%. While STD clinic staff provided field service for high-risk population, they advised people who needed further service to seek help at STD clinic. From 2006 to 2009, the proportion of high-risk in outpatient was increasing yearly. The evaluation result from three STD clinics showed the proportion of MSM in outpatient was increasing from 0.97% to 8.15%, from 2006 to 2009. And the evaluation result from 7 STD clinics showed the proportion of CSW in outpatient was increasing from 1.57% to 9.74%, from 2006 to 2009. The outpatient at STD clinic is high-risk population for STD/AIDS infection and spread, and most male outpatient especially had high-risk act, but the intervention in China touched on that. The prevention service at STD clinic smoothed over routine prevention action and expanded the range that STD medical service covered, so this prevention controlled the spread of STD/AIDS furtherly.

P5-S6.36 FACTORS AFFECTING QUALITY OF LIFE OF PEOPLE LIVING WITH HIV IN KARNATAKA, INDIA

doi:10.1136/sextrans-2011-050108.592

[1]P Banandur, [2]M L Becker, [3]L Garady, [3]A Yallappa, [3]S Isaac, [3]R S Potty, [3]R G Washington, [2]J F Blanchard, [2]S Moses, [3]R M Banadakoppa. *[1]Rajarajeswari Medical College and Hospital, Bangalore, India; [2]University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada; [3]Karnataka Health Promotion Trust, Bangalore, India*

Background In India, stigma and discrimination in healthcare settings, poor linkages between services and lack of trained personnel affect the quality and accessibility of HIV services. In effort to both scale up and strengthen the quality and coordination of HIV care and support services in the state of Karnataka, the Samastha Project was developed. This enhanced care model uses a district based approach which integrates government services with project-based care and support services. Quality of life (QOL) is a critical outcome of HIV intervention. There is little data on the effect of HIV care and support services on QOL. We used baseline data from a 2-year prospective cohort study (QOL-Cohort study) of people living with HIV (PLHIV) in the Samastha program to identify factors affecting QOL among PLHIV.

Methods We conducted Factorial analysis using a set of key variables assumed to be associated with QOL to develop a factor score from the data collected by a face-to-face interview using a standardised questionnaire from QOL cohort study. Multivariate linear regression analysis was conducted using the factor score as dependent variable. High factor score indicated high QOL. Age, gender, locality and intensity of exposure to Samastha program were considered a priori