

**Background** HIV acquisition is fueled by infection with HSV-2 and HPV. Microbicides that target all three STIs may more effectively limit HIV incidence. We previously showed that a gel containing the NNRTI MIV-150, zinc acetate (Z) and carrageenan (C, MZC) significantly protected macaques from vaginal SHIV-RT challenge, while ZC also protected mice against HSV-2 vaginally and rectally. Here we evaluate a new formulation of MZC optimised for clinical use against SHIV-RT, HSV-2, and HPV.

**Methods** Toxicity was measured using the HSV-2 increased susceptibility model in mice. Macaques received gels vaginally every day for 14d followed by SHIV-RT ( $10^8$  TCID<sub>50</sub>) 8 or 24h post-last gel or SHIV-RT plus HSV-2 ( $2 \times 10^8$  pfu) 8h post-last gel. Rectally, gels were applied 1h before SHIV-RT. Anti-HSV-2 and anti-HPV16 PsV activities were assessed by vaginally or rectally challenging mice with different viral doses 24h before to 8h after single gel application. Significance was determined by Fisher's exact or Mann Whitney U tests ( $P < 0.05$ ).

**Results** MZC pretreatment did not enhance HSV-2 infection of mice. MZC protected macaques against vaginal SHIV-RT infection (in the presence or absence of HSV-2) for up to 8h ( $p < 0.0001$  vs. C) and rectal SHIV-RT infection (0/5 MZC infected vs. 1/4 C; C barrier effect). While MZC only reduced vaginal HSV-2 infection of macaques by 27% after challenge with  $2 \times 10^8$  pfu, MZC significantly reduced vaginal ( $p < 0.0001$ ) and rectal ( $p = 0.0187$ ) HSV-2 infection of mice when  $10^6$  pfu were applied immediately and also when  $5 \times 10^8$  pfu were applied between 8h before and 2h after vaginal challenge ( $p < 0.0021$ ). Protection of mice against HPV16 PsV was significant ( $p < 0.0001$  vs. HEC) for MZC applied up to 24h before and 2h after challenge.

**Conclusion** MZC provides a durable window of protection against SHIV-RT, HSV-2, and HPV *in vivo*, making MZC an excellent candidate microbicide for clinical use.

## 0.11 - HIV prevention and lessons learned from trials of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis

### 011.1 HERPES SIMPLEX VIRUS (HSV) INFECTION IN THE VOICE (MTN 003) STUDY: PRE-EXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS (PREP) FOR HIV WITH DAILY USE OF ORAL TENOFOVIR, ORAL TENOFOVIR-EMTRICITABINE, OR VAGINAL TENOFOVIR GEL

doi:10.1136/sextans-2013-051184.0143

<sup>1</sup>J Marrazzo, <sup>2</sup>L Rabe, <sup>3</sup>C Kelly, <sup>4</sup>T Livant, <sup>5</sup>M Chirenje, <sup>6</sup>B Richardson, <sup>7</sup>C Deal, <sup>8</sup>J Piper, <sup>9</sup>S Hillier. <sup>1</sup>University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States; <sup>2</sup>Magee Womens Research Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, United States; <sup>3</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, United States; <sup>4</sup>University of Harare, Harare, Zimbabwe; <sup>5</sup>National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States

**Background** In subSaharan Africa, HSV-2 infection is common, and increases risk of HIV transmission and acquisition. Tenofovir gel applied before and after vaginal intercourse provided partial protection from HSV-2 acquisition in the CAPRISA 004 study. We enrolled women in a 5-arm, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial assessing the safety and efficacy of daily use of oral tenofovir, oral tenofovir-emtricitabine, and 1% vaginal tenofovir gel as HIV PrEP, and assessed characteristics of women with baseline HSV and risk of HSV seroincidence during the study.

**Methods** From September 2009-June 2011, 12,379 women were screened at 15 sites in South Africa, Uganda, and Zimbabwe. Eligibility criteria included normal renal, hematologic and hepatic function, report of vaginal intercourse in prior 3 months, negative pregnancy test, and willingness to use effective contraception throughout. Testing for HSV-2 type-specific antibody (Focus HerpeSelect EIA) was performed on plasma from enrollment and study exit.

**Results** Of 5,029 participants, baseline HSV serology was available for 4996 (99.3%). Most were from Durban (62%), followed

by Johannesburg (14%), Zimbabwe (13%), Uganda (6%), and Klerksdorp (5.2%). Mean age was 25.3 years; 79% were unmarried. Over follow-up of 5,511 person years, end-of-study retention was 91%. Using a cutoff index value of  $> 3.5$ , 46% of participants were HSV-2 and 95% HSV-1 seropositive at enrollment. Country- and age-specific HSV-2 seroprevalence ranged from 32% (Zimbabwe) to 63% (Uganda). Seroincidence of HSV-2 by arm will be presented.

**Conclusions** In this population of women at risk for HIV-1, seroprevalence of HSV-2 was high, with potentially important differences by age and site of enrollment.

### 011.2 SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR OF HETEROSEXUAL MEN AND WOMEN RECEIVING ANTIRETROVIRAL PRE-EXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS FOR HIV PREVENTION: POST-UNBLINDING ANALYSIS OF THE PARTNERS PREP STUDY

doi:10.1136/sextans-2013-051184.0144

<sup>1,2</sup>K K Mugwanya, <sup>3</sup>D Donnell, <sup>4</sup>C Celum, <sup>5</sup>N Mugo, <sup>6</sup>K K Thomas, <sup>7</sup>K N Ngunjiri, <sup>8</sup>P Ndase, <sup>9</sup>E Katabira, <sup>10</sup>J M Baeten, for the Partners PrEP Study Team. <sup>1</sup>University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States; <sup>2</sup>Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda; <sup>3</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, United States; <sup>4</sup>Kenya National Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya

**Background** Limited data are available to assess the potential for increased sexual risk-taking by persons using antiretroviral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for HIV prevention. In July 2011, the Partners PrEP Study, a randomised trial of daily oral tenofovir and emtricitabine/tenofovir PrEP among HIV-uninfected members of African heterosexual HIV serodiscordant couples, demonstrated efficacy of PrEP for HIV prevention and use of placebo was discontinued in the trial. Follow-up of study participants on active PrEP through December 2012 provided an opportunity to evaluate risk behaviour on PrEP after efficacy was announced.

**Methods** Among participants assigned to active PrEP pre-unblinding who continued follow-up after the placebo arm was stopped, we used zero-inflated negative binomial regression with robust standard errors and adjusted for baseline sexual behaviour, age, gender, and secular changes to compare the frequency of unprotected sex up to 12 months before versus after knowledge of PrEP efficacy.

**Results** We analysed 54,876 person-months (33,254 pre- versus 21,622 post-unblinding) from 3024 HIV-uninfected subjects (64% male). On average, the observed frequency of unprotected sex with the HIV-infected study partner was 58 per 100 person-months pre-unblinding versus 53 per 100 person-months post-unblinding, reflecting no immediate change or change in trend over time following unblinding ( $p = 0.734$  and  $0.264$ , respectively). The annual average total number of unprotected sex post-unblinding was 6 acts versus 5 that would have been expected in the counterfactual situation had unblinding not occurred. There was no significant increase in diagnoses of incident sexually transmitted infections or pregnancy post- versus pre-unblinding ( $p > 0.05$ ).

**Conclusion** The transition from a blinded, placebo-controlled trial to all participants aware they were receiving active PrEP in the Partners PrEP Study provided a "natural experiment" to evaluate behavioural risk compensation. PrEP, provided as part of a comprehensive prevention package, may not result in substantial changes in risk-taking sexual behaviour in HIV serodiscordant couples.

### 011.3 USING HCV INCIDENCE TRENDS TO DISENTANGLE THE LIKELY IMPACT OF HIV ANTI-RETROVIRAL TREATMENT ON DECREASING HIV INCIDENCE AMONGST INJECTING DRUG USERS: A MODELLING ANALYSIS

doi:10.1136/sextans-2013-051184.0145

<sup>1</sup>C Chiyaka, <sup>2</sup>N Martin, <sup>3</sup>M Hickman, <sup>4</sup>P Vickerman. <sup>1</sup>LSHTM, London, UK; <sup>2</sup>University of Bristol, Bristol, UK