

and treatment failure among men who have sex with men (MSM), heterosexual men and women, diagnosed with repeat chlamydia infection within 1–4 months after treatment with azithromycin.

**Methods** Participants completed an online survey capturing treatment and sexual behaviour data since initial diagnosis. Specimens from initial and repeat infections were included in the study. Chlamydia serovars were determined using quantitative PCR assays. When the same serovar was detected in both specimens for participants, MLST was used to further discriminate between genotypes. An algorithm based on genotype and sexual behaviour data was used to differentiate treatment failure from reinfection.

**Results** There were 600 participants (200 MSM, 200 heterosexual males, 200 females) diagnosed with chlamydia. Of 301/600 who retested between 1–4 months: 258/301 (85.7%) were cured (treated and negative on retest); 4/301 (1.3%) had a definite reinfection (positive retest and different genotype); 19/301 (6.3%) had probable reinfection (positive retest, same genotype and reported unprotected sex with the same or a different partner); 17/301 (5.6%) had possible treatment failure (positive retest, same genotype and reported not having sex or always using condoms); 1/301 (0.3%) had a persistent infection (positive retest, same genotype and no documented treatment); and 2/301 (0.7%) could not be categorised due to insufficient information. Possible treatment failures were more common in MSM (11.3%, 12/106) vs other groups (2.6%, 5/195;  $p < 0.01$ ). Among the possible treatment failures in MSM, 10/12 (83.3%) were initial rectal samples.

**Conclusion** Treatment failure was common in MSM with rectal chlamydia, suggesting the need for treatment efficacy trials.

**Disclosure of interest statement** No conflict of interest is declared.

#### 015.4 AZITHROMYCIN TREATMENT FAILURE IN WOMEN INFECTED WITH GENITAL CHLAMYDIA INFECTION

<sup>1</sup>JS Hocking\*, <sup>1</sup>L Vodstrcil, <sup>2</sup>W Huston, <sup>3</sup>P Timms, <sup>4</sup>M Chen, <sup>4</sup>C Bradshaw, <sup>4</sup>K Worthington, <sup>2</sup>A Lawrence, <sup>5</sup>R McIver, <sup>6</sup>S Phillips, <sup>6,7,8</sup>SN Tabrizi. <sup>1</sup>Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne; <sup>2</sup>Queensland University of Technology; <sup>3</sup>University of Sunshine Coast; <sup>4</sup>Melbourne Sexual Health Centre; <sup>5</sup>Sydney Sexual Health Centre; <sup>6</sup>Murdoch Children's Research Institute; <sup>7</sup>The Royal Children's and the Royal Women's Hospitals; <sup>8</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Melbourne

10.1136/sextrans-2015-052270.162

**Introduction** Repeat infections of chlamydia are very common and may represent a new infection, re-infection from an untreated partner or treatment failure. The aim of this cohort study is to estimate the proportion of women infected with chlamydia who experience failure after treatment with 1 gram azithromycin.

**Methods** Women diagnosed with chlamydia were followed for 8 weeks post treatment with 1 gram azithromycin and provided weekly genital specimens for further assay. The primary outcome was the proportion of women classified as having treatment failure at least 4 weeks after recruitment. Comprehensive sexual behaviour data collection and the detection of Y chromosome DNA in vaginal swabs and genome sequencing were used to differentiate between chlamydia re-infection and treatment failure. Chlamydia culture and MIC was also undertaken.

**Results** There were 305 women recruited with a response rate of 66%. A total of 36 women were diagnosed with repeat

chlamydia infection during follow up (11.8%; 95% CI: 8.4%, 16.0%). The median time till repeat infection was 7 weeks, with 25% of repeat infections diagnosed within 5 weeks. The risk of repeat infection increased with increasing organism load of initial infection (OR = 1.6; 95% CI: 1.2, 2.8 for each additional log increase in load). Of the 36 women with repeat infection, 16 (44.4%; 95% CI: 27.9%, 61.9%) were classified as treatment failure with an overall risk of treatment failure of 5.2% (95% CI: 3.0%, 8.4%). There was no detectable shift in MIC between initial and repeat infections with MIC within reported antimicrobial susceptibility ranges.

**Conclusion** Using a combination of advanced laboratory techniques and comprehensive sexual behaviour data, we estimate that about 1 in 20 women with chlamydia infection treated with 1 gram of azithromycin will fail treatment. Further laboratory investigation will determine whether there are any genomic characteristics of the infections associated with treatment failure in our cohort.

**Disclosure of interest statement** This study was funded by the NHMRC.

#### 015.5 THE NATURAL HISTORY OF *CHLAMYDIA TRACHOMATIS* INFECTION IN WOMEN: A MULTI-PARAMETER EVIDENCE SYNTHESIS

<sup>1</sup>MJ Price\*, <sup>2</sup>AE Ades\*, <sup>3</sup>K Soldan, <sup>2</sup>NJ Welton, <sup>2</sup>J Macleod, <sup>3</sup>I Simms, <sup>3,4</sup>D De Angelis, <sup>2</sup>KME Turner, <sup>2,5</sup>PJ Homer. <sup>1</sup>School of Health and Population Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK; <sup>4</sup>Medical Research Council Biostatistics Unit, Cambridge, UK; <sup>2</sup>School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; <sup>3</sup>Public Health England (Formerly Health Protection Agency), Colindale, London, UK; <sup>5</sup>Bristol Sexual Health Centre, University Hospital Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK

10.1136/sextrans-2015-052270.163

**Introduction** The National Chlamydia Screening Programme (NCSP) was initiated in England in 2003. However, the evidence base supporting it has been questioned repeatedly, with little consensus on modelling assumptions, parameter values, or evidence sources to be used in cost-effectiveness analyses.

**Methods** We reviewed all the available evidence on the *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) in the UK and its sequelae. Evidence was identified using “high yield” strategies. Bayesian Multi-Parameter Evidence Synthesis models were constructed for separate subparts of the clinical and population epidemiology of CT. Where possible different types of data were statistically combined to derive coherent estimates. Where evidence was inconsistent, evidence sources were re-interpreted and new estimates derived on a *post hoc* basis.

**Results** An internally coherent set of estimates was generated, consistent with a multi-faceted evidence base. Among the key findings were: the risk of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), both symptomatic and asymptomatic, following an untreated CT infection is 17% (6,29), and the risk of salpingitis is 7% (2,14). In women aged 16–24 screened at annual intervals, at best 61% (55,67) of CT-related PID and 22% (7,43) of all PID could be prevented. For women aged 16–44 in the UK, the proportions of PID, ectopic pregnancy and tubal factor infertility (TFI) that are attributable to CT are estimated to be 20% (6,38), 5% (1,12), and 29% (9,56) respectively.

**Conclusion** The study establishes a set of interpretations of the major studies and study designs, under which a coherent set of estimates can be generated for UK decision-makers. CT is a significant cause of PID and TFI. CT screening is of benefit to the individual, but detection and treatment of incident infection may