Optimizing sexually transmitted infection screening in correctional facilities — San Francisco, 2003–2005
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Key Messages/Short Summary:

This analysis of the voluntary STI screening program in San Francisco corrections facilities (youth detention for persons aged less than 18 years and adult jails for persons aged more than 18 years) demonstrated varying test positivity rates by age and sex. On the basis of this report and national data, STI control programs with limited funds should prioritize screening females in youth detention first, women in adult jail aged ≤30 years second, and men in adult jail aged ≤25 years third. Because males in youth detention have the lowest prevalence of infection, they should be a lower priority population for screening than young adults in jails.
ABSTRACT

Objectives: Sexually transmitted infection (STI) screening in correctional facilities provides access to persons at high risk for STIs who might not be screened elsewhere. These screening programs are becoming more widespread, but with decreasing funding for STI control, maximizing screening impact has become increasingly important. We aimed to make recommendations about the impact of age- and sex-targeted screening in correctional facilities.

Methods: We compared the prevalence of chlamydia and gonorrhea for January 2003–July 2005 among different age groups of females and males screened in San Francisco correctional facilities; youth detention (12–17 years) and adult jail (18–35 years).

Results: A total of 16,975 chlamydia tests and 13,443 gonorrhea tests were performed. The age-specific chlamydia test positivity among females aged 12–17, 18–25, and 26–30 years, respectively, was 9.6% (105/1,092), 9.4% (196/2,088), and 6.3% (40/639), compared with 3.3% (100/3,065), 6.2% (400/6,470), and 3.9% (118/3,046) among males. The age-specific gonorrhea test positivity among females in these same age groups was 3.2% (34/1,062), 2.7% (57/2,082), and 2.4% (15/635), compared with 0.7% (7/1,026), 1.2% (67/5,507), and 1.0% (25/2,555) among males. Of the 1,198 STIs identified, 1,020 (85.1%) were treated.

Conclusions: On the basis of this report and national data, STI control programs with limited funds should prioritize screening females in youth detention first, women aged ≤30 years in adult jail second, and men aged ≤25 years in adult jail third. Males in youth detention should have a lower priority than young adults in jails.
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The prevalence of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) is high among persons entering correctional facilities. Screening in correctional facilities is a viable option for STI control programs and provides access to persons at high risk for STIs who might not test at other venues. Because the majority of persons detained in jails return to their communities within days or weeks of incarceration, age-specific STI screening and treatment of persons entering jails or youth detention centers is likely to be an effective strategy to reduce rates of STI transmission in the community. For those reasons, the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommends voluntary chlamydia screening for females in correctional facilities. In 2004, at least 34 U.S. states had STI screening programs in place in correctional facilities. Jurisdictions that have established screening programs according to CDC recommendations for females in youth detention (females aged ≤18 years) have expanded or might want to expand these programs to include screening of males. Other programs have expanded screening to adults in jails (generally persons aged >18 years). Varied prevalence of STIs by age and sex alters the costs of identifying each infection, and because limited funds are available, jurisdictions might seek ways to maximize the cost-effectiveness of such screening programs. The San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH) has offered voluntary gonorrhea and chlamydia screening to persons housed in youth detention and adult jail since 1997. To make recommendations about the impact of age- and sex-targeted screening in correctional facilities, we compared the prevalence of chlamydia and gonorrhea among different age groups of females and males screened in San Francisco correctional facilities.

METHODS

We analyzed the prevalence of gonorrhea and chlamydia among 16,960 males and females voluntarily screened in adult jails and youth detention facilities in San Francisco for January 2003–July 2005. In youth detention, females aged 12–17 years were eligible for both chlamydia and gonorrhea screening, whereas males in this age group were eligible only for chlamydia screening. (Before 2003, the prevalence of gonorrhea among adolescent males in detention was <1%.) However, males in youth detention were screened for gonorrhea during 2005, after we had observed an increase in gonorrhea among young heterosexuals in San Francisco. In adult jails, screening was offered to males aged 18–30 years and females aged 18–35 years at jail intake when a SFDPH staff person was present (approximately 40–80 hours per week). Screening was subsequently offered to persons in housing units not screened at intake. No criteria other than age and availability of staff were used to select persons for screening. During 2004, a total of 43% of persons eligible for screening in adult jails and >90% of persons eligible for screening in youth detention were tested for gonorrhea or chlamydia. During 2003–2004, the SFDPH laboratory tested urine for chlamydial and gonococcal DNA by using strand-displacement amplification (BDProbeTec®ET, BD, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA) and in 2005, switched to transcription mediated amplification (APTIMA Combo 2®, Gen-Probe, Inc., San Diego, California, USA). Patients for whom sex was not identified or who identified their sex as transgender were not included in this analysis (126 persons). In addition, we did not include unsatisfactory or indeterminate test results in the prevalence analysis (three gonorrhea and three chlamydia tests). When possible, correctional health services staff treated persons with positive tests before release. SFDPH staff attempted to locate and treat persons who tested positive but were released before results became available. A CDC human subjects review determined this analysis to be a non-research public health program evaluation; no institutional review board assessment was required.
RESULTS

During January 2003–July 2005, a total of 16,975 chlamydia tests and 13,443 gonorrhea tests were performed. A total of 74% (12,581/16,975) of chlamydia testing and 68% (9,088/13,443) of gonorrhea testing was among males. This testing program identified 359 chlamydial infections among females (8.2% of those tested) and 618 chlamydial infections among males (4.9% of those tested). It also identified 113 gonococcal infections among females (2.6% of those tested) and 99 among males (1.1% of those tested). The highest prevalence for both diseases occurred among females aged 12–17 years (9.6% for chlamydia; 3.2% for gonorrhea) (Figure). However, women aged 18–25 years had approximately identical prevalence of infection as younger women, and 82% more (253 versus 139) chlamydial and gonococcal infections were detected among women aged 18–25 years than younger women. Among males, the highest prevalence occurred among those aged 18–25 years (6.2% for chlamydia; 1.2% for gonorrhea). The lowest prevalence among males occurred among those aged 12–17 years (3.3% for chlamydia; 0.7% for gonorrhea). Women aged 26–30 years had an approximately equivalent prevalence of chlamydia (p = .92) but double the prevalence of gonorrhea as men aged 18–25 years (p = .07). Because of both the higher prevalence of infection and the greater number of men aged 18–25 years available to be screened in jail than male adolescents aged 12–17 years in youth detention, four times more chlamydial infections were detected and treated among young men in jails than among males in youth detention.

Of the 1,198 STIs identified, 1,020 (85.1%) were treated. Among those treated, the proportion of infections treated was 91% in youth detention and 84% in adult jail (p = .003). A total of 851 (71.0%) infections were treated within 14 days of specimen collection. A total of 804 (78.8%) infections were treated while the detainee was in jail or youth detention; 120 (11.8%) were treated by SFDPH staff after the detainees' release from adult jail or youth detention; and 96 (9.4%) were treated when the detainee was elsewhere.

DISCUSSION

On the basis of this report and national data,6 STI control programs with limited funds should prioritize screening females in youth detention first (those aged 12–17 years), women in adult jail aged ≤30 years second, and men in adult jail aged ≤25 years third. Because males in youth detention have the lowest prevalence of infection, they should be a lower priority population for screening than young adults in jails. In adult jails, STI prevalence is highest among young women and men, and more infections potentially can be identified and treated among this population compared with youth detention, because of the higher prevalence of infection and the greater number of incarcerated young adults compared with adolescents.

Optimizing STI screening by implementing such a focused program might allow other jurisdictions to start or expand screening programs in correctional facilities. Expanding screening and treatment in correctional facilities is likely to be important for overall STI control. Similar to persons at high risk for STIs, persons detained in correctional facilities have a history of low education, drug and alcohol abuse, and of being aged <30 years.7 In addition, blacks and other racial or ethnic minorities are at increased risk for STIs and pelvic inflammatory disease6 and have a high rate of incarceration.7 The U.S. Bureau of Justice statistics demonstrate that >60% of detained persons are racial or ethnic minorities; 10% of black men in the United States aged 18–29 years are incarcerated; and 32% of all black men will enter state or federal prison during their lifetime.7 Other analyses of San Francisco data (not shown) has indicated that all racial/ethnic
groups of persons held in youth detention and adult jails have high STI positivity so further narrowing of screening criteria by race would likely result in substantial numbers of infections being missed.

Though this report focuses on screening in corrections in the United States, screening incarcerated populations is likely to be effective at identifying large numbers of infections in many other countries as well because incarcerated persons are likely to be at increased risk for STIs. In the United Kingdom, for example, a study showed that incarcerated women were at high risk for contracting STIs: 27% had a history of STI, 12% had 10 or more sex partners in the previous year, and 48% reported never using condoms. In fact, the United Kingdom’s National Chlamydia Screening Programme, which screens men and women aged <25 years, includes prisons as screening sites. This programme reported 12% positivity in 2005/2006 and plans to expand in the future.

However, because overall STI control funding is limited in the United States and throughout the world, implementation of screening programs should focus on the persons at highest risk in correctional facilities. Recent data indicate that STI screening programs in correctional facilities are often screening women who are in older age groups where STI risk is low. This pattern of STI screening would lower positivity rates and increase the cost per infection identified. Evidence exists that screening incarcerated older women at low risk might be widespread; published chlamydia positivity rates are higher in family planning clinics (which serve young women at lower risk) than in adult jails (which serve women at higher risk) for 11 of 20 U.S. states reporting this information in 2003. If only young persons (males aged ≤25 years and females aged ≤30 years) were screened in jails, only approximately 30% of the incarcerated population would be screened, requiring a dramatically reduced expenditure of resources.

The findings in this report are subject to limitations. First, although >90% of adolescents in youth detention were screened, only 40% of young adults in jail were screened. No differential selection criteria were used for who was screened at either type of facility. This difference in screening coverage was the result of limited staff available to offer screening in adult jail. Thus, the substantially greater number of infections identified and treated among jail detainees than youth detention might be even greater if we had the resources to screen 90% of young adults in jail. Second, San Francisco has moderate rates of gonorrhea and chlamydia among heterosexuals (San Francisco ranks 53rd for chlamydia and 58th for gonorrhea among women in 63 selected United States cities); therefore, the prevalence of infection by age and sex of persons in detention identified in San Francisco will not be generalizable to all jurisdictions. However, the age distribution of STI prevalence observed in San Francisco is similar to other jurisdictions with screening programs in corrections facilities. Jurisdictions with higher overall morbidity report higher prevalence of infection among males and females in youth detention than in San Francisco. However, if these jurisdictions began screening young adults in jails, they might find a higher prevalence of infection among males and more infections to treat among both males and females than is reported in youth detention.

STI control programs should consider focused chlamydia and gonorrhea screening and treatment of young adults in jails (males aged ≤25 years and females aged ≤30 years) and, depending upon the prevalence of infection, limit the screening of males in youth detention. Further studies on the impact of screening in corrections facilities on community STI rates are needed.
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