Preparation and immunogenicity of vaccine Ac NFU₁ (S⁻) MRC towards the prevention of herpes genitalis

G R B SKINNER,* C B J WOODMAN,* C E HARTLEY,* A BUCHAN,* A FULLER,* J DURHAM,* M SYNNOTT,* J C CLAY,† J MELLING,‡ C WIBLIN,‡ AND J WILKINS‡

From the *Department of Medical Microbiology, The Medical School, Birmingham; the †Special Clinic, General Hospital, Birmingham; and the ‡Centre for Applied Microbiological Research, Porton Down, Salisbury, Wiltshire

SUMMARY A subunit antigenoid vaccine, Ac NFU₁ (S⁻) MRC, was used to prevent primary herpes genitalis in 60 subjects considered to be at risk of this infection. There was no evidence of serious local or general side effects.

Neutralising antibody responses were detected in 59% and 90% of subjects receiving the low and high doses of vaccine respectively; immunoprecipitating antibody was detected at a lower frequency, namely in 23% and 43% of subjects receiving the low and high doses respectively. After a mean follow-up period of 18 months none of the vaccinated subjects contracted herpes genitalis after completing the vaccination course.

Introduction

Herpes genitalis is an acutely painful sexually transmitted disease (STD) whose prevalence is rapidly increasing throughout the United Kingdom¹; moreover, as patients will remain infectious during and to some extent between clinical recurrences the prevalence of this disease, unlike other STDs, will, if left unchecked, increase. Similar anxieties arise with the complications of this infection; for example, the management of the pregnant patient with herpes genitalis is now a regular problem in our maternity centre. Finally, the large body of molecular and both retrospective and prospective epidemiological evidence associating this infection with the later development of preinvasive and invasive cervical carcinoma²⁻⁸ emphasises the urgency of instituting measures for preventing this infection not only for the individual patient but for the community as a whole.

The feasibility of immunisation against herpes simplex infection was first shown in rabbits by Lipchut⁹ and more recently against primary type 2 herpes virus infection using subunit or inactivated

type 1 or type 2 vaccine preparations.¹⁰⁻¹⁵ Of particular importance are a number of studies reporting varying degrees of protection against latent type 2 ganglionic infection in mice immunised with live or inactivated type 2 virus vaccines¹⁶⁻¹⁸ or type 1 vaccine preparations.¹⁹⁻²¹

It is curious therefore how little effort has been directed towards the prevention of primary herpes simplex infections by vaccination of as yet uninfected subjects. The relative ease of autoreinoculation of human subjects with live herpes virus²²⁻²⁴ has been discouraging as was an unsuccessful attempt to immunise 7-11-month-old orphaned children against primary type 1 herpes infections.²⁵ There must, however, be reservations about the immunogenic potency of the vaccine preparations in this study and the criteria of assessment of vaccine efficacy.

The present study reports the preparation, immunological response, and clinical outcome after immunisation with vaccine Ac NFU₁ (S⁻) MRC of 34 seronegative and 26 seropositive subjects at risk of genital herpes virus infection.

Subjects and methods

The regular sexual consorts of 60 patients with recurrent genital herpes (index cases) were offered vaccination. The frequency, duration, severity, and

Address for reprints: Dr G R B Skinner, Department of Medical Microbiology, The Medical School, Birmingham B15 2TJ

Accepted for publication 3 June 1982

location of herpetic disease in the index cases, the frequency of sexual relations, mode of contraception, and duration of the consortship were recorded. Parallel information was obtained from a control group of consorts at risk, namely the regular sexual partners of 20 consecutive patients who attended our clinic with primary or recurrent herpes genitalis before our preventative vaccination programme was begun. There was no history of herpes genitalis in either the vaccinated or unvaccinated subjects.

The vaccinated group of consorts (29 men and 31 women) had a mean age of 23.1 years; the unvaccinated subjects (12 men and eight women) had a mean age of 24.2 years. The difference in the socioeconomic status of the vaccinated and unvaccinated consorts was 16%, 57%, and 27% in the high (I and II), middle (III), and low (IV and V) socioeconomic groups respectively compared with 45%, 40%, and 15%. The vaccinated subjects tended therefore toward a lower socioeconomic status.

The sociosexual inter-relationships between the vaccinated and unvaccinated groups seemed comparable although in a study of this size it was not feasible to compare precisely certain sex-related variables—for example, frequency, duration, and mode of sexual relations in a given index-consort coupling. In both vaccinated and unvaccinated groups about 30% of consorts were the marital partners and the remaining 70% were the regular sexual partners of the index case; similarly the risk of exposure to herpes infection from the index case was comparable where the mean frequency of recurrences was 6.6 per year in the vaccinated and 6.0 per year in the unvaccinated consort group. There were no detectable differences in contraceptive practice or precautionary measures during herpetic recurrences between the vaccinated and unvaccinated subjects.

The subjects were followed-up for a mean of 18 months (range 4–26 months) with monthly assessment during the first three months, a further assessment at six months, and assessment at six-monthly intervals thereafter. A history of clinical features of herpes genitalis was sought at each visit; subjects were also asked to record any signs or symptoms which might be related to herpes genitalis and to report them to the responsible medical practitioner. As the principal aim of this study was to investigate the prevention of clinically overt herpes genitalis, vaginal material for virological examination was not taken unless there was clinical suspicion of herpes genitalis.

VACCINATION PROCEDURE

Two subcutaneous vaccinations were given at monthly intervals in the deltoid muscle. For the first 50 vaccinations, a test dose of 0.05 ml was administered; as no untoward reactions were observed, this

precaution was omitted unless there were particular indications—for example, a history of allergic reactions, anaphylaxis, or penicillin hypersensitivity.

Two doses of vaccine were used. Thirty-nine consorts were immunised with the lower dose of 2×10^7 cell equivalents; as experience with the vaccine increased 21 consorts, identified as seronegative for neutralising antibody to type 1 or type 2 herpes simplex virus, were immunised with the higher dose of 10^8 cell equivalents.

Serum samples were obtained before vaccination and one month after the last vaccination.

Cells

MRC 5 cells, a human embryonic lung cell line, obtained from the National Institute for Biological Standards and Controls, London, were used for vaccine production and for the isolation, cloning, and preparation of virus stocks for vaccine production.

BHK 21, a stable line of baby hamster kidney cells,²⁶ were used for virus titration.

Virus strains

Type 1 strain (troisbell) was used for vaccine preparation. The strain was isolated and cloned three times in MRC 5 cells. Virus stocks were stored in serum-free medium. Type identification of virus strains was confirmed by neutralisation kinetics and Ouchterlony gel diffusion tests.²⁷

Strains HFEM and strains 3345 were used respectively as prototype type 1 and type 2 strains for virus antigen preparation and for neutralisation tests.

Virus antigen

Type 1 and type 2 herpes simplex virus antigens for Ouchterlony immunodiffusion tests were prepared by high multiplicity infection of BHK 21 cells.²⁸

VACCINE PREPARATION

Vaccine was prepared as previously described.^{28a} MRC 5 human embryo lung cells were infected with strain troisbell of herpes simplex virus. Cell nuclei were removed by low-speed centrifugation after treatment of the cells with Nonidet NP40, which also strips important virus antigens from the envelope of the virus particles; formaldehyde was added to inactivate viral and host cell DNA and to stabilise virus antigens during subsequent preparative procedures. Virus particles, which although inactivated might contain biologically active DNA, were then removed by ultracentrifugation over 20% sucrose at $85\,000 \times g$ for five hours. The protein constituents of the vaccine preparation were precipitated by cold acetone and the precipitate washed in acetone, which was then removed by

evaporation. The dried pellet was stored at -70°C in a dehydrated container or for short-term storage at 4°C .

The safety, immunogenicity, and protective efficacy of vaccine preparations has been previously reported.^{11-13 29 30} Before inoculation into human subjects vaccine batches were tested by subcutaneous inoculation of 0.05 ml (5×10^6 cell equivalents) into the dorsal skin of newborn mice.

NEUTRALISATION TESTS

Sera were tested by neutralisation kinetics at a final 1/10 dilution in PBS. K values were calculated for each serum as previously described.⁴

IMMUNODIFFUSION TESTS

Vaccine, antigen preparations, and human sera were tested in Ouchterlony immunodiffusion gels as previously described.²⁸

POLYACRYLAMIDE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS

Vaccine preparations were analysed on 18×11 cm SDS-polyacrylamide slab gels cross-linked with NN' diallyl tartar-diamide. Procedures for fixing, staining, autoradiography, and calibration with molecular weight standards have been described.³¹

DETECTION OF POLYPEPTIDES BY REACTION

WITH ANTISERUM AND IODINATED PROTEIN A. After thorough washing gels were incubated in appropriate dilutions of antiserum. Unreacted serum was removed by extensive washing and bound immunoglobulin detected by incubation with iodinated protein A. Radioactive proteins were detected by autoradiography.

Results

EFFICACY OF VACCINE

Polypeptide composition

The polypeptide composition at the three stages of preparation procedure is shown in the figure. The vaccine polypeptide profile is virtually indistinguishable from the profile of type 1 virus infected cell extract, with easy identification of the polypeptides whose molecular weights correspond to the polypeptides of the virus particle³¹; in particular, glycosylated polypeptides in the molecular weight range 118 000-132 000 and 55 000-63 000 were identified in every vaccine preparation. These are components of the virus envelope and have been shown to stimulate both type-specific and type-common neutralising antibodies in experimental rabbits.^{32 33} The antigenicity of virus polypeptides in

FIGURE Polypeptide composition of vaccine at three stages of preparation by reaction with antiserum and iodinated protein A. Track 1, initial virus-infected MRC 5 cell extract after treatment with Nonidet NP40 and formaldehyde; track 2, final vaccine; track 3, virus-infected baby hamster kidney cells; and track 4, uninfected baby hamster kidney cells. (The numbers indicate apparent molecular weights $\times 10^{-3}$.)

the vaccine has been confirmed by in-situ identification on polyacrylamide gels using hyperimmune rabbit antiserum to type 1 herpes virus infected cells.

Immunoprecipitating virus antigens

Vaccine preparations were routinely tested against hyperimmune type 1 antisera in Ouchterlony immunodiffusion. Under these circumstances one or two immunoprecipitins were detected; one of these immunoprecipitins was invariably band II antigen

(glycoprotein d), an antigen of major significance in virus neutralisation.³²

STUDIES IN HUMAN SUBJECTS

Neutralising antibody

In consorts receiving the low dose of vaccine there was a significant neutralising antibody response in 10 of 13 seronegative subjects and in 13 of 26 seropositive subjects; after the high dose 19 of 21 subjects developed neutralising antibody with a mean neutralisation rate constant of 0.37 against type 1 and 0.29 against type 2 virus (table).

Immunoprecipitating antibody

One immunoprecipitin line was identified in three of 13 and in nine of 21 sera from consorts receiving the low and high doses respectively. In five of these sera, two immunoprecipitin lines were detected. One of the immunoprecipitin lines was common to all sera that reacted and was identified as anti-band II. None of the sera reacted against uninfected baby hamster kidney cell extract.

Clinical efficacy

At the time of writing, 60 vaccinated patients have been followed up for 920 patient months with a mean length of consortship of 18 months (range of 4-26 months). After completing the vaccination course, none of the consorts has developed clinical evidence of herpes genitalis; it is also noteworthy that five female subjects have reported unprotected sexual intercourse during recurrence of vulvo-vaginal herpetic disease with five male consorts, three of whom had sero-converted after low-dose vaccination and two of whom had not developed neutralising antibody after low-dose vaccination; to date there has been no clinical or serological evidence of herpes genitalis in any of these male consorts at risk. The reciprocal situation—that is unprotected exposure of vaccinated female subjects to herpes genitalis—has not as yet been reported in our study.

One consort, who had received her first vaccination one week previously, reported an episode which resembled a mild attack of herpes genitalis; there was a history of recent unprotected exposure to penile herpes from her marital partner. The patient did not attend for a further two weeks, at which time there was no clinical evidence of herpes genitalis although herpes simplex virus was isolated from the genital tract. While the features of this episode are not typical of primary herpes genitalis, and it is of course possible that herpes simplex virus was isolated after postcoital deposition and extracellular survival of virus in the genital tract, we feel obliged to record the episode as primary herpes genitalis occurring one week after first vaccination.

In 20 unvaccinated consorts, eight contracted primary herpes genitalis within one year of consortship, although three of the consorts contracted their infection from the same index case. Unfortunately, serological data were not obtained routinely from this unvaccinated group of consorts.

Side effects of vaccination

To date, in approximately 900 vaccinations including patients (not computed in this study) who received vaccination to modify recurrent herpetic disease^{28a, 35} there have been no significant local or general side effects. Immediately after vaccination, most patients complained of a local "stinging sensation" lasting for 30-60 seconds and about 75% of patients had an erythematous reaction with swelling at the vaccination site for 24-72 hours; six vaccinations were followed by a transient "flu-like" syndrome which was at least temporally related to vaccination. Two seronegative and three seropositive consorts were vaccinated in early pregnancy without ill effect to mother or infant.

Discussion

A group of 60 consorts at risk were immunised with antigenoid vaccine Ac NFU₁ (S⁻) MRC to prevent

TABLE Details of vaccination response in 60 sexual consorts of patients with recurrent herpes genitalis

Vaccine	No in group	No with neutralising antibody response*	Mean k value				
			Before vaccination		After vaccination		No with immunoprecipitating antibody
			HSV type 1	HSV type 2	HSV type 1	HSV type 2	
High-dose Seronegative	21	19	0	0	0·37	0·29	9
Low-dose Seronegative	13	10	0	0	0·18	0·13	3
Seropositive	26	13	0·49	0·20	0·69	0·39	ND

*Neutralising antibody responses were recorded as positive when there was a significant increase in k value against both type 1 and type 2 herpes virus
ND = not detected

their contracting herpes genitalis. The vaccine contained polypeptides and glycoproteins whose antigenicity had clearly survived the preparative procedure as judged by analyses on polyacrylamide gels and Ouchterlony gel diffusion.

Neutralising antibody responses were obtained in a high proportion of subjects receiving the high dose of vaccine and in about one half of subjects receiving the lower dose. The detection of immunoprecipitating antibody albeit in a lower proportion of post-vaccination sera—which accords with our general experience of the relative prevalence of neutralising and immunoprecipitating antibody in the sera of the general population^{28 36}—was encouraging and may provide a simple and convenient initial screening test for seroconversion in large-scale trials of vaccine efficacy.

The rationale of vaccination in seropositive consorts is an open question; however, as we have previously observed that vaccinated mice were significantly better protected than mice who had survived in a live infection¹² and, as in this study, there was a significant neutralising antibody response after vaccination in 13 of 26 subjects with pre-existing antibody (table), it does seem that vaccination offers a quantitatively or qualitatively different immunogenic stimulus. It is possible, for example, that treatment with Nonidet, formaldehyde, or acetone might impart adjuvant-like properties to vaccine polypeptides in terms of their in-vivo stability or immunogenic presentation.

The preventative efficacy of our vaccination programme has been evaluated by comparison with the rate of consort transmission computed prospectively from our experience during prevaccination years. This is not ideal but in some measure confounds the preventative influence of our advice and counselling towards minimisation of the risk of virus transmission between sexual consorts. Vaccinated and unvaccinated groups seemed generally comparable, except that the former were distributed towards a lower socioeconomic status which, if anything, might favour a higher rate of index to consort transmission. Some may have developed a subclinical virus infection but if this did occur, the absence of any clinical recurrences within 18 months is surprising and at worst a welcome modification of the usual clinical outcome.

While the duration of protection is traditionally less with inactivated vaccine preparations, it was encouraging that after immunisation with inactivated vaccines significant levels of protection were obtained for at least 18 months in mice and six months in rabbits and Rhesus monkeys.^{35 37} In humans, as there are no unequivocal humoral or cell-mediated immunological correlates of protection

against type 2 herpes virus infection, the long-term efficacy of vaccination must await the test of time. We intend to examine the temporal profile of various criteria of the humoral, local secretory, and cell-mediated immune response to varying vaccine dosages and immunisation schedules in the presence and absence of adjuvant and correlate this information with protective efficacy.

References

1. Anonymous. Epidemiology report. Sexually transmitted disease surveillance. *Br Med J* 1979;ii:1375-6.
2. Naib ZM, Nahmias AJ, Josey WE. Cytology and histopathology of cervical herpes simplex infection. *Cancer* 1966;19:1026-31.
3. Adam E, Kaufman RH, Melnick JL, Levy AH, Rawls WE. Seroepidemiological studies of herpes virus type 2 and carcinoma of the cervix IV. *Am J Epidemiol* 1974;98:77-87.
4. Skinner GRB. Transformation of primary hamster embryo fibroblasts by type 2 herpes simplex virus: evidence for a "hit and run" mechanism. *Br J Exper Pathol* 1976;57:361-76.
5. Skinner GRB, Whitney JE, Hartley C. Prevalence of type-specific antibody against type 1 and type 2 herpes simplex virus in women with abnormal cervical cytology; evidence towards pre-pubertal vaccination of sero-negative female subjects. *Arch Virol* 1977;54:211-21.
6. Eglin RP, MacLean AB, Sharp F, MacNab JCM, Clements JB, Wilkie NM. The detection of herpesvirus coded material in plastic cervical tissue. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Human Herpesviruses, Atlanta, Georgia, 1980*. New York: Elsevier, 1980.
7. Adelski B, Naib Z, Muther J, Nahmias AJ. Epidemiologic studies relating genital herpes simplex virus (HSV) infection with cervical neoplasia—an update. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Human Herpesviruses, Atlanta, Georgia, 1980*. New York: Elsevier, 1980.
8. Coleman DV, Morse A, Beckwith P, Anderson MC. Prognostic significance of HSV antibody status in women with dysplasia of uterine cervix (CIN 1 or 2). *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1982, in press.
9. Lipchutz B. Untersuchungen über die etiologie der Krankheiten der Herpesgruppe. *Arch Dermatol Syph* 1921;136:428-31.
10. Benda R, Bdaly V. Immunogenic properties of formolin herpes antigen prepared from cell cultures. *J Hyg Epidemiol Microbiol* 1973;17:237-49.
11. Skinner GRB, Williams DR, Buchan A, Whitney J, Harding M, Bodfish K. Preparation and efficacy of an inactivated subunit vaccine (NFU₁BHK) against type 2 herpes simplex virus infection. *Med Microbiol Immunol* 1978;166:119-32.
12. Skinner GRB, Williams DR, Moles AW, Sargent A. Prepubertal vaccination of mice against experimental infection of the genital tract with type 2 herpes simplex virus. *Arch Virol* 1980;169:39-51.
13. Skinner GRB, Buchan A, Hartley CE, Turner SP, Williams DR. The preparation, efficacy and safety of "antigenoid" vaccine NFU (S⁻L⁺) MRC toward prevention of herpes simplex virus infections in human subjects. *Med Microbiol Immunol* 1980;169:39-51.
14. Cappel R, de Cuyper F, de Brackeleer J. Benefit versus risk factors. International Symposium on Immunization. *Develop Biol Standard* 1979;43:381-6.
15. Kutinova L, Slichtova V, Rajcamy J, Vonka V. Immunogenicity of experimental subviral herpes simplex virus vaccine. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Human Herpesviruses, Atlanta, Georgia, 1980*. New York: Elsevier, 1980.
16. Walz MA, Price RW, Hayashi K, Katz BJ, Notkins AL. Effect of immunization on acute and latent infection of vaginal-uterine tissue with herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2. *J Infect Dis* 1977;135:744-52.

17. Salerno RD, Lehman ED, Conard PA, McGuire WR, Davies ME, Field AK. Development of nucleic acid free herpes glycoprotein subunit vaccines. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Human Herpesviruses, Atlanta, Georgia, 1980*. New York: Elsevier, 1980.
18. Hilleman RM, Larson VM, Lehman ED, Salerno RA, Conard PG, McLean AA. Subunit herpes simplex viruses vaccine. In: Nahmias AJ, Dowdle WR, Shinazi RF, eds. *The Human Herpesviruses*. New York: Elsevier, 1981:503-6.
19. Asher LVS, Walz MA, Notkins AB. Effect of immunization on the development of latent ganglionic infection in mice challenged intravaginally with herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1978; **131**:788-91.
20. Sturn B, Schneeweis K. Protective effect of an oral infection with herpes simplex virus type 1 against subsequent genital infection with herpes simplex virus type 2. *Med Microbiol Immunol* 1978; **165**:119-27.
21. McKendall RR. Efficacy of herpes simplex virus type 1 immunization in protecting against acute and latent infection by herpes simplex virus type 2 in mice. *Infect Immunol* 1977; **16**:717-19.
22. Lazar MP. Vaccination for recurrent herpes simplex infection. *Arch Dermatol* 1955; **73**:70-7.
23. Goldman L. Reaction of auto-inoculation for recurrent herpes simplex. *Arch Dermatol* 1961; **84**:1025-6.
24. Blank H, Haines GH. Experimental human reinfection with herpes simplex virus. *J Invest Dermatol* 1973; **61**:223-5.
25. Anderson S, Hamilton J, Williams S. Attempt to vaccinate against herpes. *Aust J Exp Biol Med Sci* 1950; **28**:579-84.
26. MacPherson I, Stoker M. Polyoma transformation of hamster cell clones—an investigation of genetic factors affecting cell competence. *Virology* 1962; **16**:147-51.
27. Thouless ME, Skinner GRB. Differences in the properties of thymidine kinase produced in cells infected with type 1 and type 2 herpes virus. *J Gen Virol* 1971; **12**:195-7.
28. Skinner GRB, Taylor J, Edwards J. Precipitating antibodies to herpes simplex virus in human sera; prevalence of antibody to common antigen (band II). *Intervirology* 1974; **4**:320-4.
- 28a. Skinner GRB, Woodman C, Hartley CE, et al. Early experience with antigenoid vaccine Ac NFU, (5) MRC towards prevention or modification of herpes genitalis. *Development of Biological Standardisation*. Basel, Switzerland: S Karger, 1982.
29. Skinner GRB. Pre-pubertal vaccination against herpes simplex virus infection towards prevention of cervical carcinoma. Blair Bell Memorial Lecture, Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, London, 1980.
30. Skinner GRB. Herpes simplex infection: the trivial and the terrible. Ninian Faulkner Memorial Lecture, Dublin, 1981.
31. Heine JW, Honess RW, Cassai E, Roizman B. Proteins specified by herpes simplex virus. XII The virion polypeptides of type 1 strains. *Virology* 1974; **14**:640-51.
32. Sim C, Watson DH. The role of type specific and cross-reacting structural antigens in the neutralisation of herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2. *J Gen Virol* 1973; **19**:217-33.
33. Powell KL, Buchan A, Sim C, Watson DH. Type-specific proteins in herpes simplex virus envelope react with neutralising antibody. *Nature* 1974; **249**:360-61.
35. Skinner GRB, Woodman K, Hartley CE, Buchan A. The prevention of herpes simplex virus induced cervical carcinoma. *Proceedings of the Ninth College Study Group on Preclinical Neoplasia of the Cervix*. Fourth World Congress for Cervical Pathology and Colposcopy. London: Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, 1982.
36. Babalola OG. An investigation of anti-herpes simplex virus antibodies in human sera by immunodiffusion, neutralisation and immunoprecipitation. *MSc Thesis*, University of Birmingham, 1981.
37. Carter CA, Hartley CE, Skinner GRB, Turner SP, Easty DL. Experimental ulcerative herpetic keratitis. IV Preliminary observations on the efficacy of a herpes simplex subunit vaccine. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1981; **65**:679-82.