Correspondence

Department of Obstetrics and
Gynaecology,
Luton and Dunstable Hospital,
Luton, Bedfordshire

References

- 1 Ahmed-Jushuf IH, Murray AE, McKeown J. Managing trichomonal vaginitis refractory to conventional treatment with metronidazole. *Genitourin Med* 1988;64:25-9.
- 2 Lumsden WHR, Robertson DHH, Heyworth R, Harrison C. Treatment failure in *Trichomonas vaginalis* vaginitis. *Genitourin Med* 1988;64:217-8.
- 3 Sprott MS, Kearns AM, Pattman RS. Trichomonal vaginitis refractory to treatment: case report. *Genitourin Med* 1988;64:369-72.
- 4 Lossick JG, Müller M, Gorrell TE. In vitro drug susceptibility and doses of metronidazole required for cure in cases of refractory vaginal trichomoniasis. *J Infect Dis* 1986;153:948-55.
- 5 Lumsden WHR, Harrison C, Robertson DHH. Treatment failure in *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection in females. 2. In vitro estimation of the sensitivity of the organism to metronidazole. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1988;21:555-64.
- 6 Milovanović R, Grčić R, Stojković L. Serological study with SolcoTrichovac, a vaccine against *Trichomonas vaginalis* in women. *Gynäkol Rundsch* 1982;22suppl 2:39-45.
- 7 Seligman SA, Stannard AJ, Willis AT. Vaginal lactobacilli. *J Obstet Gynaecol* 1986;6suppl 1:47-9.

TO THE EDITOR, *Genitourinary Medicine*

Mystery of the holey prepuce: delayed podophyllin skin damage?

Sir,
A West Indian man aged 24 attended the genitourinary medicine clinic at the Manchester Royal Infirmary in July 1988 with a recurrence of penile warts. He had been treated at the clinic once before, from December 1985 to August 1986, for genital warts and non-gonococcal urethritis. In 1986, while being treated for warts with 25% podophyllin in glycerol, he had developed a local reaction to podophyllin which had resulted in skin erosions on the foreskin. Podophyllin treatment had been discontinued immediately, and the skin erosions had been treated by using saline baths and giving co-trimoxazole tablets for five days. The skin had healed satisfactorily and the warts had disappeared before he was discharged in August 1986.

When he attended again in July 1988, he was found to have a large hyperkeratotic wart on the foreskin and a large (18 mm in diameter) well healed circular hole on the

Figure Circular hole (18 mm in diameter) in dorsal aspect of foreskin.

dorsal aspect of the foreskin—like a round window (fig). No evidence of any other sexually transmitted disease was found. When questioned about the hole in the foreskin, he replied that it had developed seven to eight months after the podophyllin reaction that he had experienced in August 1986. He said that he had not treated himself in any way or received treatment elsewhere during the intervening two years. He had abstained from sexual intercourse for the previous 12 months. His wart was removed with cryotherapy, and he was referred to a surgeon for circumcision for cosmetic reasons.

The interesting features that attracted our attention to this case were, firstly, the patient's lack of concern about the obvious foreskin deformity, and, secondly, the long interval (seven to eight months) between the recorded podophyllin reaction in August 1986 and the appearance of the hole in the foreskin, especially as he had had no ulceration and the skin had healed satisfactorily when he had been discharged from the clinic in 1986. His lack of concern about the obvious deformity of the foreskin made us think that this possibly could have been the result of either self treatment or treatment he had received elsewhere, which is of course difficult to prove.

We were unable to find any record of delayed podophyllin damage, although local

immediate reactions are common.¹⁻³ The hole in the prepuce remains a mystery to us, and we would be interested to know if anyone else has experienced this type of delayed reaction to podophyllin.

Yours faithfully,

H Maiti

K R Haye

Department of Genitourinary Medicine,
Manchester Royal Infirmary, M13 9WL

References

- 1 Sullivan M, King L. Effects of resin of podophyllin on normal skin, condylomata acuminata and verrucae vulgaris. *Archives of Dermatology and Syphilology* 1947;56:30-47.
- 2 Adler MW. *ABC of sexually transmitted diseases*. 2nd ed. London: BMJ, 1985:30.
- 3 Maiti H, Haye KR. Self-treatment of condylomata acuminata with podophyllin resin. *Practitioner* 1985;229:37-9.

TO THE EDITOR, *Genitourinary Medicine*

Econazole nitrate (150 mg) single dose vaginal pessary compared with clotrimazole (10%) single dose vaginal cream to treat women with vaginal candidiasis

Sir,
We undertook an open study to assess the efficacy and acceptability to patients of two imidazole antifungal compounds used vaginally in vulvovaginal candidiasis. We studied 120 women patients with symptoms of vaginal discharge or itching, or both, who yielded *Candida* spp from high vaginal swabs. We excluded patients with concomitant gonorrhoea, trichomoniasis, or bacterial vaginosis or who required vulval topical treatments. We recorded the presence and duration of symptoms and signs before and two and four weeks after treatment.

Table Clinical and mycological efficacy* of econazole and clotrimazole to treat 82 women with vulvovaginal candidiasis (figures are numbers (percentages) of women)

	Econazole	Clotrimazole
Short term efficacy: (n = 43)		(n = 39)
Clinical	31 (72)	29 (74)
Mycological	32 (74)	29 (74)
Long term efficacy: (n = 31)		(n = 27)
Clinical	24 (77)	17 (63)
Mycological	26 (84)	18 (67)

*Clinical efficacy = resolution of symptoms and signs, mycological efficacy = no growth of *Candida* spp.

Vaginal smears were Gram stained and examined for *Candida* spp, and vulval and high vaginal swabs were transported in Amies' medium and cultured on Sabouraud's medium for *Candida* spp. Patients were randomly assigned to receive either clotrimazole vaginal cream or an econazole pessary, and details of ease of insertion, leakage, and irritation due to the medication were recorded. Data on 82 patients were evaluable, 43 of whom received econazole nitrate and 39 clotrimazole. No differences in symptoms, physical examination, oral contraceptive use, or previous episodes of vaginitis were seen between the two groups at the start of the study.

The table shows the results of treatment. Using the χ^2 and Fisher's exact test, no significant differences were seen between the two treatment groups for short or long term efficacy, mycological relapse, patient acceptability, or time to resolution of symptoms, and no side effects were reported for

either medication. Econazole 250 mg as a single pessary is therefore recommended as a suitable alternative to clotrimazole in the treatment of vulvovaginal candidiasis.

Candida spp were typed for patients with candidal infection that persisted at the second visit and patients cured mycologically at two weeks but who experienced subsequent relapse. Seven of 10 patients with persistent infection yielded a different strain of *C. albicans* than that found initially, which suggests that persistent infection may have been caused by exposure to another infection rather than treatment failure. Of four patients cured at two weeks who experienced subsequent relapse, three yielded isolates of the same strain. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of econazole and clotrimazole were measured for 29 isolates. The MICs of both compounds were similar, and no isolates were resistant. These results do not implicate drug resistance or species type as factors in recurrent or persistent

vulvovaginal candidiasis.

The paired vulval and vaginal swabs for *Candida* spp gave concordant results for treatment success and subsequent relapse, which casts doubt on the importance of the vulva as a source of vaginal reinfection. The need to prescribe routinely the more expensive combination treatment of vaginal and topical antifungal treatment should be questioned in the light of these data.

We thank Cilag Ltd for supporting this work.

Yours faithfully,
A J Robinson*
J D Wilson*
R S Spencer†
G R Kinghorn*

Departments of *Genitourinary Medicine and †Bacteriology,
Royal Hallamshire Hospital,
Glossop Road, Sheffield, S10 2JS

Book reviews

Unholy matrimony. By Liz Hodgkinson. (Pp 208; bibliography and index. £9.95). London: Columbus Books, 1988 (ISBN 0-86287-421-1).

Genitourinary doctors in Western countries have long recognised that entries in hospital case notes under "marital status" increasingly bear little relevance to the true state of affairs. Mrs Hodgkinson tells us why. She sees modern marriage as outdated, irrelevant, and inappropriate. Some of the dozen reasons she cites are dealt with in detail. Though she admits that the lot of women has shown improvements recently, she claims that marriage is still a conspiracy of questionable truths, a health hazard, or a tyranny of compulsions for too many. In particular she sees the "one flesh" concept and married women's submissive role as being inseparably associated with the high divorce rate of one marriage in three. (In counselling young people contemplating marriage I nowadays suggest considering the alternative of setting up in business, for which the failure rate is only one in 10.)

Mrs Hodgkinson concludes from the evidence that marriage should be abolished by law. In its place, and after a period of discussion and thoughtful objectivity, she suggests individually negotiated contracts of "coupledom". Adequate financial support for women in the form of financial benefits and pensions, as well as payments for housework, are seen as ensuring the indepen-

dence of women and putting an end to the miseries of the married state. (Who might be called upon to pay for board and lodging and under what conditions is not considered.) She ignores or gives scant attention to some aspects of marriage, such as why it occurred spontaneously long ago in many not apparently associated societies; the views of men concerning their role in marriage; what the various forms of marriage, such as those seen in Britain's minority ethnic groups, might contribute; and the strong biological urge for many women to procreate within legally binding and secure arrangements. Saddest of all the author's blind spot is her lack of appreciation that much of the companionable cohabitation that she recommends is the way many generations of couples in most parts of the world have lived contentedly together within the marriage bond. Times have changed!

The appearance of this book coincides with many men and women reviewing their attitudes to sex and marriage in the light of the threat of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. It is therefore a well timed contribution to the health education debate. Not least, it should prompt genitourinary physicians to be more frank, honest, and precise about case note entries under "marital status".

R S Morton

Diseases in the homosexual male. Edited by M W Adler. (Pp. 209; £29.50.) Berlin: Springer, 1988.

This is an excellent multiauthor textbook in

the Bloomsbury Series in Clinical Science, and most of the contributors are from the University College and Middlesex School of Medicine. Eight chapters, including two on aspects of AIDS and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection, describe a range of sexually transmitted diseases (STD) in homosexual men. Two further chapters deal with cultural and historical perspectives on homosexuality.

The overall standard of the contributions dealing with STD is high, and the authors have struck a balance between the general aspects of individual infections and the more specific aspects of homosexual transmission and clinical manifestations. I found the chapters on bacterial infections, hepatitis and the epidemiology and clinical aspects of AIDS particularly well written. This book does not set out to be a guide to treatment of STD in homosexual men, and though many aspects of treatment are well covered, some are not. There are surprising omissions of any reference to scabies and pediculosis, and non-gonococcal urethritis is mentioned only briefly. It is unfortunate that, since this book was written, more evidence has emerged concerning the interaction of infections with HIV and *Treponema pallidum*. The chapters concerning the cultural and historical perspectives of homosexuality are complementary and are placed at the beginning and end of the volume. They are an important feature of the book and give it a breadth of approach that is refreshing in this field.

I recommend this volume as useful and informative to all clinicians dealing with STD in homosexual men.

C J N Lacey