Bartholin's abscess complicating food poisoning with *Salmonella panama*: a case report

A J Cummins, W A Atia

Abstract

A patient is presented who developed an acute Bartholin's abscess four weeks after an attack of *Salmonella panama* enteritis. Aspirate from the abscess also grew *Salmonella panama*, indistinguishable from the gut isolate in serotype and antigenic structure (1 9, 12: 1, v: 1,5). Some aspects of the microbiology of Bartholin's abscess and its clinical management are discussed.

(Genitourin Med 1994;70:46-48)

Case report

A 29 year old woman developed a severe enteritis with transient fevers and diarrhoea with up to six bloody stools per day during the last day of a holiday in France. She consulted her general practitioner after her return to the UK, 48 hours later, who sent a stool sample for culture and treated her with oral fluid and electrolyte replacement. He also prescribed a five day course of erythromycin 250 mg qds to cover the possibility of infection with *Campylobacter* species. The stool sample, however, yielded a presumptive *Salmonella* species only. This isolate was sent to the Division of Enteric Pathogens, Central Public Health Laboratory, PHLS, Colindale, UK for further identification.

The patient's diarrhoeal symptoms resolved completely within seven days. Four weeks later she presented to the Genitourinary Medicine Clinic of the Royal Northern Hospital with a large (4cm × 3cm × 3cm) left-sided Bartholin's abscess.

Investigations

A standard clinical microbiological screen including microscopy and culture of specimens from the urethra and cervix for *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* was negative. A cervical swab for Chlamydia ELISA was reported as negative. A vaginal swab cultured only *Candida* sp. The contents of the Bartholin's abscess (10 mls of haemorrhagic pus) were aspirated under topical anaesthesia. Culture of the pus grew a *Salmonella* species identical in serotype, antigenic structure and antibiotic sensitivity pattern to the original stool isolate. Both isolates were identified as *Salmonella panama*. (1 9, 12: 1, v: 1,5). Neither gonococci nor *Chlamydia trachomatis* were isolated from the aspirated material.

The patient's regular partner of two years standing was invited to attend. Clinical exam-

ination and investigation including a urethral swab for microscopy and Chlamydia ELISA were negative. *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* was not found.

Clinical course and further management

The patient was initially prescribed a one week course of oral co-trimoxazole 960 mg bd and metronidazole 400 mg bd, pending culture results. On follow-up five days later the affected Bartholin's gland showed some slight residual swelling; however, a second aspiration was considered unnecessary.

The *Salmonella panama* was reported as sensitive to co-trimoxazole; however, the patient was changed to a two week course of oral ciprofloxacin 250 mgs tds. This agent possesses intracellular activity against *Salmonella* sp and was thought more likely to be effective in eradicating the organism fully from the abscess cavity, a potential reservoir for carriage.

On further follow up one week later the residual swelling had almost disappeared. Three further stool specimens were cultured at weekly intervals to exclude persistent carriage of *Salmonella panama* and these were reported as negative.

Discussion

We are unaware of any previous reports of a Bartholin's abscess arising as a complication of a bacterial enteritis. Also the isolation of a *Salmonella* sp from a Bartholin's abscess is most unusual.

The route of infection of the gland is rather conjectural. Contiguous spread by contamination of the perineal surfaces seems likely. It would be difficult to maintain adequate local hygiene in the face of repeated severe diarrhoea. However, salmonella is an invasive organism and thus haematogenous spread cannot be excluded.

A number of studies have been published on the microbiology of Bartholin's abscess.¹⁻⁵ The frequency with which specific organisms infect the Bartholin's gland is variously reported. Three of these studies¹⁻³ have given details of the bacterial species isolated from cultures of the contents of a total of 129 Bartholin's abscesses. The results are summarised in the table. The distribution of organisms suggests that the majority of the infections are caused by organisms of the perineal and vaginal flora. These may act as opportunistic pathogens.

Multiple isolates are found commonly;

Department of
Microbiology
Whittington Hospital,
London N19 5NF, UK
A J Cummins

Department of
Genitourinary
Medicine, Royal
Northern Hospital,
Holloway Road,
London N7 6LD, UK
W A Atia

Address correspondence to
Dr W A Atia

Accepted for publication
13 October 1993

Table Distribution of bacterial species recovered from pus in 129 cases of Bartholin's abscess

Author	Quentin	Wren	Brook
No. of specimens	73	28	28
Negative samples	11	3	2
<i>Bacteroides</i> sp	13	15	23
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	17	6	6
<i>Peptostreptococcus</i> sp	4	4	12
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>	8	1	
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	6		2
<i>Neisseria gonorrhoea</i>	4		4
Group B streptococcus	7	1	3
<i>Proteus</i> sp	4		
<i>Peptococcus</i> sp		5	
<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp			4
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	1		2
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	3		
<i>Veillonella</i> sp	1		2
Group A streptococcus	2		
<i>Citrobacter</i> sp			2
<i>Fusobacterium</i> sp			2
<i>Eubacterium</i> sp			2
<i>Acinetobacter</i> sp			1
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>			1
<i>Haemophilus parainfluenzae</i>	1		
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	1		
<i>Enterobacter</i> sp			1
<i>Mycoplasma</i> sp	0	NOT EVALUATED	
<i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i>	0	NOT EVALUATED	

Brook detected 2.8 different species of organism per specimen in his study. Interestingly *Haemophilus influenzae* was isolated from eight of 73 (11%) specimens in one series.³ None of these strains was capsulated, suggesting that possession of a capsule is not pre-requisite to invasion of the Bartholin's duct and gland.

The role of sexually transmitted organisms in the aetiology of Bartholin's abscess may vary according to the population studied. Rees⁶ was able to recover *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* from the Bartholin's gland of 28% of women with gonorrhoea, most of whom were asymptomatic. However, the frequency of isolation of this organism from abscess specimens collected by percutaneous aspiration has not exceeded 15% in most recent studies.

The presence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in a Bartholin's abscess was demonstrated for the first time by Saul⁷ in 1988. In a study by Blecker *et al*⁸ of 63 consecutive cases of Bartholin's abscess only one abscess was found to contain *Chlamydia trachomatis*. In four other cases *Chlamydia trachomatis* was isolated from the uterine cervix alone but not the abscess. However, the role of this organism in the pathogenesis of this condition may be indirect, for example by causing inflammation and blockage of the duct. In a selected series⁹ *Chlamydia trachomatis* has been isolated from the exudate of Bartholin's ducts from nine patients out of 30. *Mycoplasma* species have not been a significant finding in Bartholin's abscess in any of the studies reported.

The source of this patient's *Salmonella panama* was suspected by the Environmental Health Officer to have been a chicken meal consumed in a restaurant in France 24 hours before the onset of symptoms. *Salmonella panama* was the second most prevalent *salmonella* serotype in human infection in France until 1983, but in 1991 accounted for only 1.6% of human *salmonella* isolates sent to the national reference centre (Centre National

des *Salmonella* et *Shigella*, Unité des Enterobactéries, Institut Pasteur) for identification.

We are aware of one other case report of *Salmonella panama* causing gynaecological pathology. The organism was cultured from pus obtained by culdocentesis from a 44 year old woman with acute salpingo-oophoritis¹⁰. Tubo-ovarian infections have also been associated with other species of *salmonella*, for example *S paratyphi A*,¹¹ *S brandenburg*¹² and *S stanley*.¹³ Saltzman¹⁴ reported a pelvic abscess caused by *S enteritidis* in a patient with sickle cell trait who required extensive surgery.

There is no consensus as to the best way to manage Bartholin's abscesses. A range of methods, including aspiration, incision and drainage, marsupialisation, laser surgery and total excision have all been used. In this case the patient responded satisfactorily to a single percutaneous aspiration followed by antibiotic therapy. Aspiration may be performed using a wide bore needle (19 G x 2"). Preliminary topical anaesthesia is provided by the use of lignocaine/prilocaine cream (EMLA (R)) followed by local infiltration with a minute needle.

An advantage of aspiration is that it provides an adequate specimen for microbiological investigation as well as offering immediate symptomatic relief. The culture and sensitivity results may be used to modify initial antibiotic therapy. Cheetham¹⁵ has reported a success rate of 85% in the resolution of abscesses and cysts by aspiration together with antibiotic treatment with metronidazole and penicillin (or erythromycin).

The optimal choice of antibiotic therapy for Bartholin's abscess on initial presentation will depend on clinical assessment. If there is an indication that the abscess may be gonococcal from a history of contact or findings from microscopy of genital specimens or the aspirated pus, then an appropriate antibiotic may be chosen such as amoxycillin plus probenidicid or ciprofloxacin or spectinomycin. As *chlamydia* is frequently associated, doxycycline or erythromycin may be added.

If there is no indication as to the causative organism before culture and sensitivity results are available we suggest that a suitable broad-spectrum combination might be either cotrimoxazole plus metronidazole or amoxycillin plus metronidazole.

In conclusion, thorough microbiological investigation of patients with Bartholin's abscesses makes an important contribution to the proper management of this distressing condition.

We thank Mr John Livermore, Chief MLSO, Microbiology Laboratory, Whittington Hospital for his help in identifying an unexpected organism.

1 Wren MWD. Bacteriological findings in cultures of clinical material from Bartholin's abscess. *J Clin Pathol* 1977;30:1025-7.
2 Brook I. Aerobic and anaerobic microbiology of Bartholin's abscess. *Surg Gynecol Obst* 1989;169:23-4.
3 Quentin R, Pierre F, Dubois M, Soutoul JH, Goudeau A.

- Frequent isolation of capnophilic bacteria in aspirate from Bartholin's gland abscesses and cysts. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1990;9:138-141.
- 4 Lee Y-H, Rankin JS, Alpert S, Daly AK, McKormack W-M. Microbiological investigation of Bartholin's gland abscesses and cysts. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1977;129:150-3.
 - 5 Parker RT, Jones CP. Anaerobic pelvic infections and developments in hyperbaric oxygen therapy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1966;96:645-59.
 - 6 Rees E. Gonococcal Bartholinitis. *Br J Venereal Dis* 1967;43:150-6.
 - 7 Saul HM, Grossman MB. The role of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in Bartholin's gland abscess. *Am J Obst Gynecol* 1988;158:576-7.
 - 8 Blecker OP, Smalbraak DJC, Schutte MF. Bartholin's abscess: the role of *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *Genitourin Med* 1990;66:24-25.
 - 9 Davies JA, Rees E, Hobson D, Karayannis P. Isolation of *Chlamydia trachomatis* from Bartholin's ducts. *Br J Venereal Dis* 1978;54:409-13.
 - 10 Kostiala A A, Ranta T. Pelvic inflammatory disease caused by *Salmonella panama* and its treatment with ciprofloxacin. Case report. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1989;96:120-2.
 - 11 Michelet C, Souala F, Coulaud J P, Verliac F. Paratyphoid fever A and ovarian location. *Med Mal Infect* 1985;15:69-72.
 - 12 Magliulo E, Montanari M, Dietz A, Torre D. The suppurative abscess of an endometriotic ovarian cysts due to *Salmonella brandenburg*. *Infection* 1982;10:172.
 - 13 Ghose A R, Vella E J, Begg H B. Bilateral salmonella salpingo-oophoritis. *Postgrad Med J* 1986;62:227-228.
 - 14 Saltzman DH, Evans MI, Robichaux AG, et al. Nongonococcal pelvic abscess caused by *Salmonella enteritidis*. *Obstet Gynecol* 1984;64:585-6.
 - 15 Cheetham DR. Bartholin's cysts: Marsupialization or aspiration? *Am J Obst Gynecol* 1985;152:569-70.