PostScript

FILM REVIEW

"Kinsey" the film

Nowadays there are a number of sources that give us relatively unbiased information on what people do at sexual intercourse. One frequently quoted example is the "Sex in America" data—more popularly known as "The Laumann study." We in the United Kingdom of course have a bigger and better one authored by Catherine Mercer and her colleagues. These papers are easily accessible on medical and general computer search engines. But I am pretty sure the average man (or woman) on the Clapham omnibus has neither seen them nor heard of them. In spite of mass sex education in many European countries (this starts at the tender age of 7 in the United Kingdom), I think that what Hollywood portrays is more likely to be accepted as normative behaviour than the findings of population studies. A good example of sexual inaccuracy is in the film "Titanic," where it is implicitly understood that the heroine (Kate Winslet) has an orgasm at her first act of intercourse. We know from scientific data that this is unlikely to happen. What about other older sources? Well, there's the Karma Sutra and the Bible. Sorry, the former only tells us what can be done and the latter tells us what shouldn't be done.

If things are that bad now, at least we, as the supposed literati, can say that normative data are now available. But in the 1930s in Europe and North America (or anywhere else for that matter) neither the general public nor indeed anyone else knew what people did at sex. Then along came Alfred Kinsey in the United States. But who was Alfred Kinsey? I asked a number of my medical and nursing colleagues, and most of them didn't know. If you want to find out and be entertained, go and see the film "Kinsey." I went to see the press showing of this film with a non-medical journalist friend who is something of a literary sex goddess. She enjoyed the film but felt the content was "shocking." She continued "Can you imagine how much more shocking Kinsey's findings were when they were first published in the early 1950s?"

Kinsey was born in 1894 in Hoboken, New Jersey, and became a biologist with a special interest in gall wasps. This film will tell you how his life changed and he became the first person to study human sexual behaviour systematically as a biologist rather than as a novelist, moralist, or social reformer. Unfortunately while he was highly successful in developing unbiased, valid, reliable interviewing techniques (up to 510 questions at a sitting), his population samples were by no means chosen randomly. Kinsey knew that if he tried for such an unbiased sample he would have high non-response rates (remember this was the 1940s). So his samples came from, for example, sororities, fraternities, and college groups. This film tells us both about Kinsey's work and much about Kinsey the man. He was all at once a happily married man, bisexual, strong minded to the point of arrogance, driven by compulsive

data gathering, and finally hounded by Senator McCarthy and alleged anti-American activity to a premature death.

For the general public this film's selling points are likely to be the subject matter (sex), Kinsey's struggle and posthumous victory against the American establishment, and, lastly, the portrayal of his love for his wife. This love, the film asserts, transcended the statistics of his work and sexual matters in general. Rather, love is an ethereal, otherworldly, and spiritual concept. The romantic in me concurs with this notion. The iconoclast/spoiler in me wants to tell you that MRI scans can now isolate the parts of the brain associated with being in love, and that Donatella Marraziti from Italy can convince you that love is merely an obsessional illness.

All that apart, this is an exceptional film. The acting, artistic production, music, and factual accuracy of this film are of a high standard. It is written and directed by Bill Condon (who also wrote the screenplay for *Chicago*) and stars Liam Neeson as Alfred Kinsey and Laura Linney (*Life of David Gale*, *Lorenzo's Oil*) as his wife.

Go and see this 118 minute film. It is educational and entertaining.

You will not be disappointed.

D Goldmeier

Jane Wadsworth Clinic, St Mary's Hospital
London W2 1NY, UK;
david.goldmeier@st-marys.nhs.uk

LETTERS

Uncertainty on the number of HIV/AIDS patients: our experience in Iran

The article by Grassly *et al* shows the impact of errors in the national data on HIV/AIDS estimates.¹ We would like to present our experience with the errors in the official records in Iran that may confound the estimation and expert judgment.

The results of our of HIV/AIDS preventive education in the schools in Iran were released in December 2003.² To do the study, we had

to review the only reliable official report in the country—namely, the registry of the Centre for Disease Control (CDC), Ministry of Health and Medical Education (MOHME) of Iran.³ Figure 1 depicts the trend of the new cases of HIV/AIDS found in Iran in recent years according to the CDC registry. While reviewing the report with our colleagues in the UNESCO HIV/AIDS Coordination Unit, we noticed fluctuations in the data for the years 1996 and 2001; there are peaks in 1996 and 2001. We checked the data obtained from the CDC and found the data to be in accordance with their records. We then asked about the setting of data collection and found the reason for the peaks. In 1996 and 2001, the prisons throughout the country were tested for HIV by the MOHME and the data were included in the annual reports of the CDC.

Both trafficking and using of drugs are crimes in Iran, and every year large numbers of this high risk population are imprisoned on drug related charges. Records from the CDC show that injecting drug use is the main source of transmission of HIV in Iran (62.78%), and sexual contact is said to be the second most important route of transmission.³ This is as long as there is no official record on prevalence of prostitution in Iran.⁴ The MOHME has recently announced an estimated figure of 137 000 injecting drug users in Iran.⁵ We should be careful as the statistics from places of concentrated epidemics (for example, prisons) can bias the results and more data are needed to process an accurate estimation of the real number of infected people.

The growing number of new cases can also be because of both the advance in diagnostic methods and the increasing number of the infected people. New cases reported by the Blood Transfusion Organisation of Iran for example make a considerable number of new cases reported by CDC, most of whom are grouped as "unspecified route of transmission."

Accurate data are a must in decision making while expert judgment is needed to interpret the results of the formal statistical inference.¹ The data presented by the Iranian CDC does not seem to represent the whole population and so cannot be used for

Figure 1 Trend of the new cases of HIV and AIDS patients according to the registry of the CDC, MOHME of Iran (1986–2003). (The data for the year 2003 covers the number of reported cases of HIV/AIDS until September).

estimation of the real number of patients. Therefore, it is recommended that the CDC explain in detail the setting of the data collection in its periodic reports to safeguard against possible overestimation or underestimation of HIV/AIDS. This can help experts, and especially international agencies such as UNAIDS, to make more accurate estimates of HIV/AIDS. Expert judgment is needed in policy making for such important health issues and official statistics cannot always be trusted.

R Gheiratmand

Bureau of Nutrition and School Health, Deputy of Physical Education and Health, Ministry of Education of the IR Iran, Tehran, Iran

R Navipour

Centre for Research Development, Imam Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

M R Mohebbi

Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

A K Mallik

HIV/AIDS Coordination and School Health Unit, Asia and Pacific Regional Bureau of Education, UNESCO, Bangkok, Thailand

Correspondence to: Dr Mohammed Reza Mohebbi, Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; mmohebbi@yahoo.com

doi: 10.1136/sti.2004.012880

Accepted for publication 6 August 2004

References

- 1 Grassly NC, Morgan M, Walker N, *et al.* Uncertainty in estimates of HIV/AIDS: the estimation and application of plausibility bounds. *Sex Transm Infect* 2004;**80**(Suppl 1):131–8.
- 2 Gheiratmand R, Navipour R, Mohebbi MR, *et al.* A country study to review existing capacity building and management of the training of teachers on preventive education against HIV/AIDS in the schools in IR Iran, December 2003. Available from the official website of the Deputy of Physical Education and Health, Ministry of Education of the IR Iran, www.neshat.org/papers/files/paper_1251.mht (accessed 5 August 2004).
- 3 Centre for Disease Control, Office of the Undersecretary for Public Health, Ministry of Health and Medical Education of the IR Iran. *HIV/AIDS in Iran (Cumulative Statistics)*. Tehran, Iran: 2003 (in Persian).
- 4 Mohebbi MR. Female sex workers and fear of stigmatisation. *Sex Transm Infect* 2005;**81**:180–1.
- 5 The State Prisons and Security and Corrective Measures Organisation, Judiciary of the IR Iran. 137000 injecting drug users in Iran: the population prone to HIV/AIDS. April 2004. (in Persian). Available from the official website of "The State Prisons and Security and Corrective Measures Organisation" www.prisons.ir/farsi/news.php?news_id=3980 (accessed 5 August 2004).

Sexual behaviour and high risk human papillomavirus infections in Japanese women

The increasing incidence of sexually transmitted infection (STI) in young people is one of the most important social and health problems in Japan,¹ and recent changes in the sexual behaviour may be an important factor.² Cervical human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is one STI and is the major cause of cervical cancer, which is the cancer

with the second highest incidence rate and fifth highest cause of cancer death in women worldwide.³ Japan is an area with the second lowest incidence of cervical cancer worldwide.³

To investigate the prevalence of STIs, we performed a cross sectional study of women attending gynaecology departments in the Hokuriku area of Japan from July 2000 to July 2003. In all, 797 women between 15 and 62 years old were selected from about 15 000 women who had visited and had a cervical cytology test in one of five clinics during this period. After obtaining written informed consent, all the subjects were given to pelvic examinations, a cervical cytology test, and an STI test using the cervical scraped cell samples. DNA for high risk HPV (HPV types 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, and 68), *Chlamydia trachomatis*, and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* was detected using hybrid capture assays (Digene, USA) performed at the Mitsubishi Kagaku Clinical Laboratory in Tokyo (Japan). To document each subject's occupation and sexual behaviour, they were given a questionnaire that they completed independently and returned in a sealed envelope. The questionnaires and clinical data were sent directly to the research centre at Kanazawa University. All of the subjects had the right to refuse to answer any question. Out of 797 subjects, 16 were not eligible owing to lack of information or refusal to complete the questionnaire, and finally 781 women were evaluated in this study.

The age of the eligible subjects was 15–59 years: mean age (SD) 29.0 (8.1). The proportion of women who had had sex before the age of 16 years was 21% (160), while 23% of the women had had more than one sexual partner in the previous year (180). Seventy five women (9.6%) were currently pregnant and 130 women (17%) had undergone an abortion in the past. Of the subjects, 344 women had some symptoms (44%) suggestive of genital infections, such as vulvovaginal itching or soreness, increased or abnormally coloured vaginal discharge, and lower abdominal pain, and the remaining 437 women had no such symptoms (56%). Overall, the prevalence of high risk HPV, *C trachomatis*, and *N gonorrhoeae* was 24%, 5.9%, and 2.2%, respectively. The prevalence of high risk HPV was 50% in women aged 15–19 years, and 37% in women aged 20–24 years (fig 1). The prevalence decreased with age (Kruskal-Wallis test: $p < 0.05$). The prevalence of high risk HPV infection was 17% (107/637) in women with normal cytology, 38% (27/72) in those with atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASCUS), 72% (42/58) in those with low grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL), and 86% (12/14) in those with high grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL).

A univariate analysis showed that the risk factors for high risk HPV infection were younger age (15–24 years), unmarried, current smoker, alcohol intake, histories of STD, younger age (12–19 years old) at first sexual intercourse, more than six lifetime sexual partners, frequent sexual intercourse (more than twice/week), current STD in partner, and worried that she might have an STD, while the factors decreasing the risk were history of pregnancy and condom use on all occasions (table 1). By contrast, present clinical symptoms, history of abortion, and current pregnancy were not associated with high risk HPV infections (data not shown). A multivariate analysis using an unconditional logistic

Figure 1 The prevalence of cervical infections according to ages of Japanese women.

regression model revealed that, after controlling for all other variables, being single, a history of STD, thinking she might have an STD, and increased numbers of sexual partners were associated with high risk HPV infection. Evidence for association with younger age at first intercourse, more frequent intercourse and frequent condom use were attenuated. There was no evidence of an association with age, smoking, alcohol intake, history of pregnancy and STD in the partner.

The prevalence of cervical high risk HPV infection in 15–19 year old women in this study was similar to that in Japanese commercial sex workers (CSWs) (48.4%, mean age 29 years).⁴ Although we have also no way of knowing whether part-time CSWs were included among these subjects, self reporting indicated that there were no professional sex workers. In a previous study of women participating in a cancer screening programme, we found that the prevalence of high risk HPV in women with normal smears (age 16–72 years, mean age 37.0 (SD 13.5) years) was 9.7%, compared with 19% in this study.⁵ Women selected to be in this study were, however, younger and probably at higher risk of HPV infection, since 44% had symptoms suggestive of genital infection. The findings suggest that many young Japanese women who are not professional CSW are infected with high risk HPV.

Many studies in other countries have shown that the risk factors for HPV infection are younger age at first sexual intercourse,⁶ a high number of lifetime sexual partners,^{6–8} a high frequency of vaginal sex,⁷ unmarried women,⁸ having anal sex,⁷ having a highly sexually active main partner,^{7,9} and alcohol

Key message

- This is a first epidemiological study demonstrating high prevalence of cervical high risk HPV infection in Japanese women who are not commercial sex workers
- This clarifies independent risk factors associated with high risk HPV infection in Japan using a multivariate analysis
- A nationwide STD education and prevention programme should be implemented in Japan

Table 1 Clinical and demographic factors associated with cervical high risk HPV infections in Japan

Variables	Prevalence			Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		Wald test
	Total No	Positive No	%	Crude OR	95% CI	Adjusted OR*	95% CI	p Value
Age (years)								
45–59	50	8	16%	1	Reference	1	Reference	0.42
15–24	233	94	40%	2.5	(1.3 to 4.8)	1.1	(0.43 to 3.0)	
25–34	413	78	19%	1.2	(0.61 to 2.3)	0.85	(0.35 to 2.1)	
35–44	85	9	11%	0.66	(0.27 to 1.6)	0.60	(0.20 to 1.8)	
Marriage status								
Married	440	66	15%	1	Reference	1	Reference	0.023
Unmarried	341	123	36%	2.4	(1.8 to 3.1)	1.7	(1.1 to 2.6)	
Current smoking								
no	562	112	20%	1	Reference	1	Reference	0.45
yes	219	77	35%	1.8	(1.4 to 2.3)	1.2	(0.77 to 1.8)	
Alcohol intake								
no	631	144	23%	1	Reference	1	Reference	0.58
1–4 days/week	119	37	31%	1.4	(1.01 to 1.8)	1.3	(0.78 to 2.0)	
>4 days/week	31	8	26%	1.1	(0.61 to 2.1)	0.85	(0.31 to 2.3)	
Past history of pregnancy								
no	312	97	31%	1	Reference	1	Reference	0.22
yes	469	92	20%	0.63	(0.49 to 0.81)	0.76	(0.50 to 1.2)	
Past history of STD								
no	748	170	23%	1	Reference	1	Reference	0.0083
yes	33	19	58%	2.5	(1.8 to 3.5)	2.9	(1.3 to 6.4)	
Current STD in her partner								
no	731	167	23%	1	Reference	1	Reference	0.75
yes	50	22	44%	1.9	(1.4 to 2.7)	0.88	(0.41 to 1.9)	
Worried that she might have STD								
no	681	144	21%	1	Reference	1	Reference	0.017
yes	100	45	45%	2.1	(1.6 to 2.8)	2.0	(1.1 to 3.7)	
Age at first sexual intercourse (years)								
20–40	259	36	14%	1	Reference	1	Reference	0.069
12–16	160	66	41%	3	(2.1 to 4.2)	2.0	(1.1 to 3.6)	
17–19	362	87	24%	1.7	(1.2 to 2.5)	1.2	(0.77 to 2.0)	
Frequency of sexual intercourse in a week								
0–1 day	660	138	21%	1	Reference	1	Reference	0.057
2–3 days	86	34	40%	1.9	(1.4 to 2.6)	1.9	(1.1 to 3.1)	
more than 4 days	35	17	49%	2.3	(1.6 to 3.4)	1.4	(0.66 to 3.1)	
No of lifetime sexual partners								
0–5	538	98	18%	1	Reference	1	Reference	0.020
6–19	199	67	34%	1.8	(1.4 to 2.4)	1.3	(0.84 to 2.0)	
more than 20	44	24	55%	3.0	(2.2 to 4.1)	2.8	(1.4 to 5.8)	
Frequency of condom use								
never or occasionally	579	150	26%	1	Reference	1	Reference	0.145
usually	81	20	25%	0.95	(0.64 to 1.4)	0.77	(0.42 to 1.4)	
at all occasions	121	19	16%	0.61	(0.39 to 0.94)	0.58	(0.33 to 1.0)	

*Adjusted OR: the odd ratio, which was adjusted with other all variables.

intake.⁷ In our study, unmarried women, younger age at first sexual intercourse, a high number of lifetime sexual partners, and frequent sex increased the risk of high risk HPV infection. As found in previous studies, Japanese women who practise unsafe sex are at high risk for cervical HPV infection. A unique factor in our study was that a subject who was concerned that she might have an STD was more likely to have an HPV infection. Since current STD in their partner and the presence of clinical symptoms were not associated with HPV infection, unexplained discomfort or recent experience of risky sexual behaviour might be involved. Clinicians should be aware that women who feel that they might have an STD are at high risk for genital HPV infection.

Our study clearly demonstrated that frequent sexual contact with multiple partners at a younger age is likely to be a significant risk factor for high risk HPV infection. A nationwide education and prevention program concerning STD is urgently needed in Japan. Although not all women with high risk HPV develop cervical cancer,^{10,11} a percentage of the women with cervical high risk HPV infection do develop cervical cancer decades later. Therefore, the incidence of cervical cancer in

younger women might increase with the increase in cervical HPV infection in Japan, as reported in many developed countries.³ Regular participation in a cervical cancer screening program starting at 20–25 years of age or from 3 years after the onset of vaginal intercourse is recommended.

Contributors

TS planned this study, collected clinical samples and information, performed statistical analyses, and wrote this paper; MT, HY, and KAK recorded all data, summarised results, and performed statistical analyses; TF, TTU, TN, SS, and HY collected clinical samples and provided information about clinical data and sexual behaviour of subjects; AS and MI organised the study project and collected some samples.

T Sasagawa, M Tani, H Yasuda, K A Khadijeh, A Sakai

School of Health Science, Faculty of Medicine, Kanazawa University, Japan

T Fukae

Fukae Ladies Clinics, Japan

T Ukita

Ukita Hospital, Japan

H Yamazaki

Tsuruga Municipal Hospital, Japan

S Satake, T Nakano

Toyama Prefectural Central Hospital, Japan

M Inoue

Department of Obstetrics/Gynecology, Kanazawa University, Japan

Correspondence to: Toshiyuki Sasagawa, MD, PhD, School of Health Science, Faculty of Medicine, Kanazawa University, 5-11-80 Kodatsuno, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, 920-0942, Japan; tsasa@med.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

doi: 10.1136/sti.2004.011411

Accepted for publication 6 August 2004

Grant: This work is supported by Mitsubishi Kagaku, BCL and Daiichi Seiyaku Co, Japan.

References

- 1 Kumamoto Y, Tsukamoto T, Kagabe T, *et al*. STD surveillance 2001 in Japan. *Jpn J Sex Transm Dis* 2002;**13**:147–67.
- 2 Kihara M, Ono-Kihara M, Feldman MD, *et al*. HIV/AIDS surveillance in Japan, 1984–2000. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2003;**32**(Suppl 1): 55–623.

- 3 **Parkin DM**, Bray FI, Devesa SS. Cancer burden in the year 2000. The global picture. *Eur J Cancer* 2001;**37**:S4–S66.
- 4 **Ishi K**, Suzuki F, Saito A, *et al*. Prevalence of human papillomavirus, Chlamydia trachomatis, and Neisseria gonorrhoeae in commercial sex workers in Japan. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol* 2000;**8**:235–9.
- 5 **Sasagawa T**, Walid B, Yamazaki H, *et al*. High-risk and multiple papillomavirus infections associated with cervical abnormalities in Japanese women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev* 2001;**10**:45–52.
- 6 **Ley C**, Bauer HM, Reingold A, *et al*. Determinants of genital human papillomavirus infection in young women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1991;**83**:997–1003.
- 7 **Ho GYF**, Bierman R, Beardsley L, *et al*. Natural history of cervicovaginal papillomavirus infection in young women. *N Engl J Med* 1998;**338**:423–8.
- 8 **Giuliano AR**, Papenfuss M, Schneider, *et al*. Risk factor for high-risk type human papillomavirus Infection among Mexican-American women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev* 1999;**8**:615–20.
- 9 **Winer RL**, Lee SK, Hughes JP, *et al*. Genital human papillomavirus infection: incidence and risk factors in a cohort of female university students. *Am J Epidemiol* 2003;**157**:218–26.
- 10 **Moscicki AB**, Hills N, Shiboski S, *et al*. Risks for incident human papillomavirus infection and low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion development in young females. *JAMA* 2001;**285**:2995–3002.
- 11 **Molano M**, Van den Brule A, Plummer M, *et al*. Determinants of clearance of human papillomavirus infections in Colombian women with normal cytology: a population-based, 5-year follow-up study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2003;**158**:486–94.

CORRECTION

The paper by S Hawkes and K G Santhya in the April 2002 issue (Diverse realities: sexually transmitted infections and HIV in India. *Sex Transm Inf* 2002;**78**:i31–i39) cited the first author of reference 71 to be Mali JJ-B. That is incorrect, the first author is JV Joshi JV.

If you have a burning desire to respond to a paper published in *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, why not make use of our eLetters" option?

Log onto the STI website (www.stijournal.com), find the paper that interests you, click on [Abstract] or [Full text] and send your electronic response by clicking on "submit a response".

Providing your letter isn't libellous or obscene, it will be posted within seven days. You can view recent eLetters by clicking on "Read eLetters" on our homepage.

As before, the editors will decide whether to publish the eLetter in a further print issue.