Diagnosis of gonorrhoea in women
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SUMMARY The results of Gram stains and cultures from 145 women with uncomplicated ano-genital gonorrhoea are presented. The site which gave the highest yield of gonococci was the cervix. Equally good results were obtained with Stuart's transport medium and direct plating in the clinic. Positive results from rectal specimens alone were obtained from 8·4% of the 119 women in whom the rectum was examined. In 89 women the results of rectal specimens taken through a proctoscope were compared with those of swabs passed blindly up the anal canal. When Stuart's transport medium was used swabs taken through a proctoscope gave better results than the swabs taken blindly; the latter gave more positive results when direct plating was used. Thus when Stuart's medium is used a proctoscope is essential for the collection of rectal samples. Up to three sets of investigations were carried out where necessary, but 95% of the 145 cases were diagnosed on the results of the first set. However, only 54% of those patients diagnosed at their first visit had positive Gram-stain results. Further refinement of culture results is unlikely to improve diagnostic yield; what is needed is better, rapid diagnosis in the clinic.

Introduction

Women with uncomplicated gonorrhoea often have no symptoms or signs, and the diagnosis depends on the recognition of typical Gram-negative intra-cellular diplococci in stained smears and on the identification of Neisseria gonorrhoeae in cultures of secretions (King and Nicol, 1975). Other methods, such as serum antibody tests, still give too many false-positive and false-negative results to be of value in the diagnosis of individual cases (World Health Organisation, 1978). Even with modern laboratory methods the organism may be difficult to identify in infected secretions so that repeated examination may be necessary (Chipperfield and Catterall, 1976).

The object of this paper is to describe the findings at different sites in women with uncomplicated ano-genital gonorrhoea and the diagnosis rate at first and subsequent examinations

Material and methods

The findings in 145 consecutive female patients diagnosed as having gonorrhoea during 1976 and 1977 were assessed retrospectively.
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and material for Gram stain, direct inoculation, and a Stuart's culture was taken. These investigations will be called rectal.

MICROBIOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

Smears for Gram staining were prepared and read in the usual manner in the clinic.

Swabs were kept in Stuart's medium at room temperature for not more than 19 hours before plating on to the growth medium (Riddell and Buck, 1970). Plates inoculated in the clinic were immediately placed in a candle extinction jar, which was put into an incubator at 36°C in the clinic. After three to 18 hours the jar was transferred to the microbiology department where incubation was continued for a further 48 hours in a carbon dioxide incubator (Gallenkamp). Suspicious colonies were examined by the oxidase reaction and by sugar fermentation.

Yates's $\chi^2$ test and the binomial test were used for statistical calculations.

Results

In all 145 cases samples from the urethra and cervix were examined, in 119 cases rectal samples were examined, and in 89 anal samples were examined. The overall findings obtained at each of the four sites are presented in Table 1. The highest positive yield was obtained from cervical samples and the lowest from anal samples. If only cervical samples for Gram stain and culture had been taken 85-5% of cases would have been diagnosed, whereas if only cervical culture specimens had been taken 79-3% of cases would have been diagnosed. If a single cervical culture specimen had been taken at the patient's first visit 74-4% of cases would have been diagnosed.

Table 1 Positive results at each site sampled

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Urethra</th>
<th></th>
<th>Cervix</th>
<th></th>
<th>Rectum</th>
<th></th>
<th>Anus</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total examined</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>56-5</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>79-3</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>56-0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>74-0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>42-0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total plate cultures</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Cases with positive results at one site only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Urethra</th>
<th></th>
<th>Cervix</th>
<th></th>
<th>Rectum</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive results by</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram stain only</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture only</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4-1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6-9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram stain and culture</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0-7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5-5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9/145</td>
<td>6-2</td>
<td>27/145</td>
<td>18-6</td>
<td>10/119</td>
<td>8-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There were few positive anal findings (Table 1). These are analysed in Table 3, which shows that rectal Gram-stain, rectal culture, and rectal Gram-stain or culture or both all gave significantly more positive results than the corresponding anal investigations. Had anal investigations been relied upon, only 15 positive results by Gram stain or culture or both would have been obtained compared with 29 positive rectal results. No case had positive results in anal investigations alone.

Finally, Table 4 shows that 95.2\% of the patients were diagnosed as having gonorrhoea after one examination, 98.6\% after two examinations, and the remaining 1.4\% at the third examination. However, only 54.3\% of those diagnosed at their first visit had positive Gram-stain results.

| Table 3 | Comparison of rectal and anal investigations in women (89 cases) |
|---|---|---|
| **Rectal findings** | **Gram stain** | **Culture** | **Gram stain and culture** |
| | **Positive** | **Negative** | **Positive** | **Negative** | **Positive** | **Negative** |
| Gram stain | 2 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 17 |
| Culture | 0 | 79 | 3 | 61 | 3 | 57 |

* Binomial test, \( p = 0.008 \)
† Binomial test, \( p = 0.022 \)
‡ Binomial test, \( p = 0.002 \)

Discussion

The results in Table 1 are in broad agreement with those of Barlow and Phillips (1978), although more of their cases had positive results at urethra and cervix. Comparison of results at one site only showed that Barlow and Phillips (1978) had fewer positive results by microscopy alone at the urethra (1 in 603) but more positive culture results, so the overall urethral results were similar. The same pattern was evident in their cervical results. Barlow and Phillips (1978) suggested that urethral Gram stains, but not culture, might be omitted and the findings here lend support to this suggestion. Furthermore, it seems reasonable to suppose that the two patients in whom the urethral Gram-stain alone gave positive results did not, in fact, have gonorrhoea.

Previous comparisons of use of transport media and direct plating in the clinic have been contradictory. Danielsson and Johanson (1973) reported a 3\% loss with Stuart's medium compared with direct plating, while Hosty et al. (1974) found a 44\% loss with Stuart's medium. Our findings in Table 1 show no significant differences between the two methods and this will be reassuring to all those who have to use transport medium for geographical reasons (see, for example, Adler et al., 1978).

Anal investigations gave a poor yield of positive results (15) compared with rectal investigations (29) (Table 3). This is why anal investigations were abandoned after the results from the first 89 patients had been analysed. These results agree closely with the findings of a similar study (Bhattacharyya and Jephcott, 1974), although it is not clear how far the swabs were inserted. In contrast, in a larger series (Deheragoda, 1977) similar results were obtained from anal swabs inserted the same distance as our own and rectal swabs passed through a proctoscope. Bhattacharyya and Jephcott (1974) used Stuart's transport medium while Deheragoda (1977) directly inoculated plates. In our small series of 34 cases in which direct plating was used, the yield of eight (23.5\%) positive results was higher than in the larger series in which Stuart's transport medium was used (15 [16.7\%] positive results in 89 cases) (Table 1).

While it is apparent that there is no difference between overall urethral and rectal results (Table 2) we believe that the finding of 8.4\% of cases with
positive results in the rectum alone shows that examination of this site is important in the investigation of gonorrhoea in women, but when Stuart's transport medium is used, specimens must be collected through a proctoscope.

If a single cervical Stuart's culture had been taken at the first visit, 25·6% of cases would have been missed.

Our diagnosis rate for gonorrhoea, 95·2% at the first examination and 98·6% after two examinations (Table 4), is in broad agreement with other recent studies (Chipperfield and Catterall, 1976; Evans, 1976). Although Barlow et al. (1976) had a higher percentage of positive diagnoses (97%) at the first examination it seems unlikely that refinement of culture methods will produce great increases in diagnostic yield. What is more important is to consider methods for increasing accurate diagnosis in the clinic at the first visit, for this will continue to depend on identification of the gonococcus or gonococcal antigen (World Health Organisation, 1978). It is also apparent that accurate diagnosis of gonorrhoea will remain the concern of the specialist with appropriate microbiological support.
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