Antichlamydial antibodies in pelvic inflammatory disease
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SUMMARY The role of Chlamydia trachomatis in pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) diagnosed without laparoscopy was assessed by measuring antichlamydial antibodies in the patient’s serum and by comparing the results with those in patients with uncomplicated non-specific genital infection (NSGI) and gonorrhoea and in non-infected controls. A modified micro-immunofluorescence test was used.

Patients with severe PID had significantly more positive antichlamydial IgG and IgM results than did control subjects, patients with gonorrhoea, and patients with NSGI. Less severe PID was associated with significantly raised levels of antichlamydial IgG antibodies compared with NSGI and controls and with raised levels of IgM antibodies compared with controls. Two patients with PID had lower genital tract gonorrhoea, one of whom had raised antichlamydial antibody levels. These findings may indicate a mixed infection and therapy should be reviewed in such patients. A serological diagnosis of chlamydial infection is relatively easy and cheap and enables a rapid diagnosis of chlamydial infection to be made.

Introduction

Chlamydia trachomatis is associated with non-specific genital infection (NSGI) in women (Alani et al., 1977), and causes acute salpingitis in some patients (Mårdh et al., 1977). Chlamydial serum antibody levels correlate with C. trachomatis isolation from the cervix (Treharne et al., 1978) and from the Fallopian tubes in patients with salpingitis diagnosed by laparoscopy (Treharne et al., 1979). Unfortunately we, as well as others, do not have access to the type of laparoscopy service described by Treharne et al. (1979). However, when investigating causes of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) we considered it useful to assess the serum chlamydial antibody levels in patients with PID diagnosed on clinical grounds. These were compared with the levels of antichlamydial antibodies in the serum of patients with uncomplicated genital infections and of controls.
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Patients and method

Patients attending the Departments of Genital Medicine at St Bartholomew’s Hospital and the Eastern Hospital, London, who were thought to have PID were included in this study. Criteria for the diagnosis of PID were based on those of Forslin et al. (1978) as follows:

(A) Lower abdominal pain,
(B) Pelvic tenderness with or without pelvic mass,
(1) Vaginal discharge,
(2) Menstrual irregularities,
(3) Dysuria,
(4) Dyspareunia,
(5) Temperature >38°C,
(6) ESR >15/hour (Westergren),
(7) White cell count 10 000 cu/mm (10 × 10⁹/l).

Patients were divided into two main groups: group 1 had strict admission criteria—that is, (A) + (B) + 2 of (1)–(7)—whereas group 2 had less strict criteria—that is, (A) + (B) + 1 of (1)–(7). Patients in group 1 were automatically included in group 2.

All patients were investigated for sexually transmitted diseases. Material from the lateral vaginal fornices was examined microscopically for
yeast cells and hyphae of Candida species after staining by Gram's method; secretion from the posterior fornix, mixed with saline, was examined microscopically for Trichomonas vaginalis. Vaginal material was inoculated on to Sabouraud's medium for culture for Candida species, and into Oxoid No. 2 trichomonas medium and examined after 48 hours' incubation. Specimens from the urethral meatus and cervical canal, stained by Gram's method, were examined for leucocytes and Gram-negative diplococci, and plain swabs from these sites were placed in Amies's modification of Stuart's transport medium. Within 24 hours they were plated on to lysed blood agar containing vancomycin, colistin, trimethoprim, and natamycin (Riddell and Buck, 1970). Neisseria gonorrhoeae was identified by sugar fermentation reactions using serum-free medium (Flynn and Waitkins, 1972). Specimens from the rectum, taken through a proctoscope, were also examined when gonorrhoea was particularly suspected. Cervical material was collected with an Ayre's spatula, wet-fixed in alcohol and ether, stained by Papanicolaou's method, and examined microscopically for malignant cells and inflammatory changes (Thin et al., 1975). Blood was taken for syphilis antibody tests using the Venereal Disease Research Laboratory (VDRL) and Treponema pallidum haemagglutination (TPHA) tests. Cultures for Chlamydia trachomatis were not taken routinely.

Blood was taken for a modified micro-immuno-fluorescence test for chlamydial antibodies (Treharne et al., 1977) on the day of diagnosis; the serum was separated and stored at $-20^\circ$C until tested. Patients thought to have PID were matched for age, marital state, and country of origin with patients who had NSGI and with control women who had no evidence of genital infection or known contact with any sexually transmitted disease (STD). The diagnosis in patients with NSGI had been made on the basis of their contact with a man with non-specific urethritis or of the presence of excess urethral and cervical leucocytes in the absence of any pathogen (Treharne et al., 1978). A group of patients with uncomplicated genitohelial gonorrhoea was also included, but unfortunately these could not be matched with the study patients.

Statistical comparisons were made using the $\chi^2$ test with Yates's corrections.

**Results**

A total of 109 patients were included: 30 with PID (17 in group 1 and 30 in group 2), 30 with NSGI, 19 with gonorrhoea, and 30 as control subjects. The presence and levels of antichlamydial IgG and IgM antibodies are shown in Tables 1 and 2. If antichlamydial IgG antibody at a level of 1/64 or higher and antichlamydial IgM at a level of 1/8 or higher in the blood is considered to suggest active chlamydial infection (Treharne et al., 1979), patients with PID in group 1 had significantly more positive results than control subjects ($\chi^2 = 4.48, P<0.05$) and patients with NSGI ($\chi^2 = 8.60, P<0.01$) and gonorrhoea ($\chi^2 = 3.91, P<0.05$) (Table 2). Patients in group 2 (including those with less strict criteria for PID) had significantly raised levels of antichlamydial IgG compared with control subjects ($\chi^2 = 5.69, P<0.02$) and those with NSGI ($\chi^2 = 4.18, P<0.05$), and significantly raised levels of antichlamydial IgM compared with control subjects ($\chi^2 = 4.01, P<0.05$). Antichlamydial IgM antibody at a level of 1/8 or higher was present in 29-30% of patients with PID in groups 1 and 2. One patient in group 1 had genital gonorrhoea with raised levels of antichlamydial IgG and IgM, and two patients in group 2 had genital gonorrhoea, one with raised antichlamydial IgG and IgM levels and the other with no detectable antichlamydial antibodies. All the other patients with PID had negative results for gonococcal investigations and so were considered to have NSGI. In group 1 three patients had T. vaginalis and three yeasts; in group 2 five patients had yeasts, three T. vaginalis, and one cervical herpes virus type 2.

**Table 1.** Levels of antichlamydial IgG and IgM antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With PID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>10/17</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5/17</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>12/30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9/30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With NSGI</td>
<td>4/30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14/30</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>15/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With gonorrhoea</td>
<td>4/19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5/19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3/30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2/30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4/30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*With positive antichlamydial antibodies (IgG >1/64 or IgM >1/8)

**Table 2.** Levels of antichlamydial IgG antibody

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>1/16</th>
<th>1/32</th>
<th>1/64</th>
<th>1/128</th>
<th>1/256</th>
<th>1/512</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With PID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With NSGI</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With gonorrhoea</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Patients who attend departments of genitourinary medicine with evidence of PID, and in whom no evidence of gonorrhoea is found, are usually treated with tetracyclines for non-specific salpingitis. Our results suggest that this form of antibacterial treatment is reasonable as 59% of patients with PID in group 1 had raised serum antichlamydial IgG levels and 30% raised IgM antibody levels. Only one patient had gonorrhoea, and she also had raised antichlamydial IgG and IgM levels. When PID was, however, more loosely defined, only 40% of patients had raised antichlamydial IgG levels but still 30% had raised IgM levels. In this group, two patients had gonorrhoea, but only one had raised antichlamydial IgG and IgM antibody levels. Although some patients had T. vaginalis infection in the lower genital tract, it rarely appears to cause PID (Mårdh and Westrom, 1970). We found higher levels of antichlamydial IgM in patients diagnosed as having uncomplicated NSGI than in other categories, supporting our previous findings (Treharne et al., 1978).

Since our practice the presentation of PID is usually acute and the patients need antibacterial treatment on the day of consultation, rapid diagnosis is essential. Gonorrhoea can be quickly excluded by Gram-staining and culture, but at present C. trachomatis cultures may take time, are not widely available, and are expensive. Serodiagnosis of chlamydial infection, which can be performed readily, rapidly, and relatively cheaply (Treharne et al., 1978) therefore has great advantages. Furthermore, it is useful in clinics which have no nearby facilities for C. trachomatis culture as serum is easily transported; pilot studies have shown that even small amounts of blood (0.1 ml) soaked on to cellulose sponges give reliable antibody results (Darougar et al., 1978).

If antichlamydial antibody levels are raised in patients with PID and lower genital tract gonorrhoea, the therapeutic regimen should be reviewed, as these results may indicate a mixed infection.
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