Ureaplasma urealyticum in the urethra of healthy men
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SUMMARY Nineteen healthy married white men had samples of first-voided urine cultured for Ureaplasma urealyticum at weekly intervals for 17 consecutive weeks. Of these, 63% harboured U urealyticum in their urine on at least one occasion; in 40% of the men with positive culture results ureaplasmas were isolated from 90% of samples. Those men whose specimens were frequently culture-positive had notably higher titres for U urealyticum than those whose specimens were less frequently positive. There was no significant correlation between the number of leucocytes in the urinary sediment and the amount of U urealyticum in the corresponding urine specimens, although ureaplasma-positive urine specimens tended to contain slightly more leucocytes than ureaplasma-negative samples. Fourfold or higher rises in titre of U urealyticum in urine samples was not associated with urethral signs or symptoms. One man with a presumably primary infection developed dysuria. Sixty-five strains of U urealyticum were serotyped, with types 2 and 3 predominating; the serotype found in each patient was fairly constant.

Introduction

The most convincing evidence that Ureaplasma urealyticum is associated with nongonococcal urethritis (NGU) has been given by Bowie and co-workers.¹ Among men experiencing a first episode of urethritis U urealyticum was recovered more often and in greater numbers from chlamydia-negative cases of NGU than from chlamydia-positive cases or from men without urethritis. Further support for a pathogenic role for U urealyticum has come from treatment studies²-⁴ and from intraurethral inoculation of the organism into man.⁵ ⁶

Nevertheless the question why U urealyticum is found in the urethra of a notable proportion of men without urethritis still remains unanswered; although the above studies suggest that U urealyticum is a pathogen in the urethra, they do not prove that it is a cause of NGU.

In the present study of U urealyticum in the urethra of healthy monogamous men, our aim was to try to establish: (a) whether U urealyticum is a stable, permanent inhabitant of healthy sexually active men or part of a transient urethral flora; and (b) whether or not there is any pronounced variation in the quantity of U urealyticum recovered from an individual over a period of time and if this variation is associated with any urethral signs and symptoms.

Patients and methods

STUDY POPULATION

Nineteen white men (aged 21-62 years) working at the Institute of Tropical Medicine in Antwerp were included in the study. They all claimed to be sexually active and were married; they denied a previous history of urethritis and were free of any infectious urinary tract disease as assessed by urine cultures for Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria.

CULTURAL TECHNIQUE

The first 10 ml of each man's overnight urine was collected weekly on the same day (Monday) for 17 consecutive weeks. A 0.2-ml quantity of fresh urine was cultured quantitatively in serial twofold or tenfold dilutions in bromothymolblue broth⁷ (the former during the first 12 weeks of the study, the latter during the last five weeks). Colonial counts were performed on A7 agar⁸ in tenfold dilutions of
f fresh urine. One drop (approximately 0.02 ml) of the pellet of centrifuged urine (at 400 x g for 10 minutes) was also inoculated to A7 medium and another drop was examined for the presence of leucocytes at ×400 magnification. All isolates were stored at −70°C in fetal calf serum without cloning.

SEROTYPING
Antisera to the eight serotypes of *U. urealyticum* were raised in rabbits as described by Shepard. The growth inhibition (GI) test was performed as reported earlier, except that A7 agar was used as the growth medium. The indirect immunofluorescence (IF) test using unfixed colonies on agar has already been described. Antisera for the IF test were used at the following dilutions: 1/160 (types 1, 4, 5, and 8); 1/320 (type 7); and 1/640 (types 2, 3, and 6). Cultures were considered to be mixed when only part of the colonies on an agar block fluoresced with a particular antiserum; on other blocks from the same isolate some colonies strongly fluoresced with a different antiserum (an agar block in the test usually contained five colonies).

STATISTICAL METHODS
The statistical analyses were performed on the log₁₀ of the first negative dilution for the titre and on the log₁₀ of the number of leucocytes (plus 1 to avoid zero values to be transformed in log, this transformation being the classical one to normalise the frequency data).

A one-way analysis of variance was used on the mean titres. The association between negative and positive and the number of leucocytes (<5, >5) was tested by the χ² test for a 2 × 2 contingency table with Yates’s correction.

Results

CULTURES
The 19 men were divided into four groups (A, B, C, and D) according to the rate of positive isolation. Twelve (63%) of them harboured *U. urealyticum* in the urethra on at least one occasion, and in five of the culture-positive men ureaplasmas were isolated from more than 70% of the weekly specimens (table I). During the last five weeks of the study, five men in group A and one in group B had >10³ colour-changing units (ccu) of *U. urealyticum* in their urine on at least one occasion.

GEOMETRIC MEAN TITRES
The variation in the individual geometric mean titres with their 0.95 confidence interval for the first 12 weeks of the study is shown in fig 1. Values could fluctuate in the same patient and low values could immediately follow high values. Thus, those patients whose specimens frequently gave positive results had higher titres than those whose specimens less frequently gave positive results. A one-way analysis of variance showed a significant difference between the individual mean titre (F = 7.045 with 11 and 12 degrees of freedom; P < 0.01). A decomposition of the factorial sum of squares showed that this result was mostly due to the difference between group A and the others.

---

**TABLE I** Isolation rate, number of leucocytes, and titre of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* from specimens of first-voided urine from 19 men followed weekly for 17 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>% Positive isolation (over 17 weeks)</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Geometric mean titre (ccu)</th>
<th>Geometric mean No of leucocytes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>35-69</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ccu = Colour-changing units
and groups B and C (73% of the factorial sum of squares).

**NUMBER OF LEUCOCYTES**

The individual geometric mean numbers of leucocytes, with their 0.95 confidence interval, in culture-positive and culture-negative specimens (fig 2) showed great individual variation; there was no significant association between the number of leucocytes in the urinary sediment and the titre of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* present in the corresponding urine samples ($X^2 = 0.782$, $p = 0.40$), although culture-positive urine specimens tended to contain slightly more leucocytes than culture-negative specimens (mean of 3-4 leucocytes and 1-2 leucocytes respectively per microscopic field $\times 400$). All samples contained less than 13 leucocytes per high-power field (HPF).

**SEROTYPES**

Sixty-five strains isolated from nine men were serotyped by IF and 37 of these also by the GI test. The overall agreement between both tests was 81%, the discrepancy being caused exclusively by the inability of the GI test to type certain isolates. Table II shows the results obtained with the IF test. The predominant serotypes were 2 and 3, occurring in four and five men respectively. One person harboured type 7 only. Types 4, 5, and 6 were found occasionally in combination with types 2 and 3. Mixed isolates were found on at least one occasion in seven of nine men. The serotype present in each man was fairly constant, and in all but one case the same type was found in the first and the last culture tested.

A presumably primary infection with *Ureaplasma urealyticum* was diagnosed in patient 3 (table II), who had been culture-negative for the four preceding weeks. Five days after extramarital intercourse *Ureaplasma urealyticum* serotype 3 was recovered from his first-voided urine specimen at a titre of 1/160 ccu and associated with 11 leucocytes per HPF $\times 400$. For 10 days he complained of mild dysuria without discharge or any other signs of urethritis such as urinary mucous threads. This man remained infected with ureaplasmas for the remainder of the study, with titres varying between 1/20 and 1/100 ccu and leucocytes between 4 and 11 per HPF $\times 400$. No other patients had any symptoms or signs of urethritis.

**Discussion**

*Ureaplasma urealyticum* (notably types 2 and 3) appeared to be a part of the permanent urethral flora in at least one-
third of the participants in this study, as suggested by the continuous isolation of the same serotype. This supports the observations on men working in the Antarctic without exposure to heterosexual contact for up to 31 months. Most of the men in these studies had a persistent infection with *Ureaplasma urealyticum* (non-typed), which could be eradicated for one year or more by a five-day course of tetracycline.

As in the study by Holmes, ureplasmas were inconsistently recovered from some men, but in our survey it is not clear whether this was the result of occasional reinfection by their sexual partner or of a lack of sensitivity of the isolation methods used, which may not detect consistently fastidious strains of *Ureaplasma urealyticum*.

The significantly higher geometric mean titre of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* in patients with more than 70% of positive culture results compared with the other culture-positive men in this study possibly reflects the existence of a subgroup permanently heavily colonised with ureplasmas and of a group harbouring fewer organisms, which cannot always be found by the isolation methods used. Similarly, the occasional detection of mixed infections in colonised patients may be explained by the occurrence of fastidious strains or of low numbers of *Ureaplasma urealyticum*, although failure to detect mixed cultures may be due to the limited number of colonies which are stained in the IF test.

This study confirms Bowie's findings on the number of polymorphonuclear leucocytes in the first-voided urine of men without urethritis. By similar methods, we never found >12 leucocytes per HPF in our specimens from healthy men whereas Bowie considered >15 leucocytes per HPF as normal. In the absence of cultures for *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* this finding should be of value in the diagnosis of NGU, particularly in patients without urethral discharge.

Although a significant correlation exists between a first episode of chlamydia-negative NGU and a ureaplastic titre of >10⁵ ccu in a first-voided urine sample, it is clear from our results that this is not a directly causal association. Except for patient 3, none of the men studied developed NGU or related signs and symptoms in association with pronounced increases in ccu of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* in their urine. Moreover, prevalence studies in more heterogeneous venereal disease clinic populations have failed to confirm Bowie's observation (Piot, unpublished data) in men experiencing a first episode of NGU. One man with presumably his first infection developed dysuria without a concomitant increase in urinary leucocytes. It is not clear whether the association of ureplasmas with dysuria in this case is coincidental or causal. Our study group did not include enough men experiencing a first infection with *Ureaplasma urealyticum*. Considering the high prevalence of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* colonisation in sexually active people it is highly improbable that a simple primary infection with any type would cause NGU. Cohort studies to correlate the acquisition of ureplasmas with NGU are extremely difficult to organise and would be severely handicapped by the lack of sensitive and specific serological tests.

It still remains possible that only certain serotypes or biotypes are pathogenic for the urethra, a hypothesis which has not been tested in a comprehensive study including cultures for chlamydia. This approach seems the most reasonable one at the moment, together with the search for a good experimental animal model as attempted by Bowie and co-workers.
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