Balanoposthitis associated with Gardnerella vaginalis infection in men
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SUMMARY Fourteen of 194 (7.2%) consecutive unselected men had positive culture results from genital swabs for Gardnerella vaginalis. A higher yield of isolates was obtained from preputial (93%) than from urethral swabs (64%). Of the 14 men, two had no detectable genital abnormality, eight non-gonococcal urethritis, and nine balanoposthitis. The urethral isolation rates for G vaginalis in men with and without non-gonococcal urethritis were not significantly different, but preputial isolation rates were significantly higher (P<0.001) in men with balanoposthitis than in those without. The prevalence rate for G vaginalis in men with non-candidal balanoposthitis was 31%.

In a second study, concomitant Bacteroides species were isolated in preputial swabs from nine of 12 (75%) men with G vaginalis-associated balanoposthitis and may play a role in its pathogenesis.

Introduction

Gardnerella vaginalis (Haemophilus vaginalis, Corynebacterium vaginale) has been described as the most prevalent sexually transmitted organism in the causation of bacterial vaginitis.1 Although women may harbour the organism without symptoms (as is the case with almost all genital pathogens), many infected women complain of an excessive malodorous vaginal discharge which is relieved when the organism is eradicated.

Evidence for the sexual transmission of G vaginalis rests on the higher prevalence rates in the more sexually active women attending sexually transmitted disease (STD) clinics2 compared with those attending family planning3 or gynaecological clinics and on the high isolation rates from the urethra4–6 or urine7 of men known to be consorts of women harbouring G vaginalis. Although G vaginalis has been isolated in men with non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU),8,9 a causal role for the organism has not been established and asymptomatic colonisation of the male genitalia is assumed to be the rule.10

Previous work in this department2 showed that G vaginalis was isolated from urethral, urine, or prostatic specimens in only a few men who were consorts of women infected with G vaginalis. In two men, however, much heavier growths of the organism were obtained from preputial swabs than from concomitant urethral swabs.

In this study we have investigated further the prevalence of G vaginalis in urethral and preputial swabs from a group of consecutive unselected men and attempted to determine whether the presence of G vaginalis on the male genitalia is associated with signs or symptoms of disease.

Patients and methods

One hundred and ninety-four consecutive unselected men presenting to the department of genitourinary medicine at this hospital with new complaints were prospectively studied at their first attendance. Urethral and preputial swabs (in circumcised men swabs were rolled on the glans penis and the coronal sulcus) were taken routinely, each immediately placed in peptone starch dextrose (PSD) transport medium, and left at room temperature until sent to the laboratory. This was usually done within an hour; the longest delay in transportation was three hours.

CULTURAL DIAGNOSIS
Swabs were inoculated on to Columbia blood agar plates2 and incubated in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. After 48
hours *G. vaginalis* appeared as round raised glistening colonies, 0.5 mm in diameter, and often with a narrow zone of α-haemolysis. Gram-stained films of these colonies showed slender Gram-variable bacilli. Pure subcultures were confirmed by their inhibition by hydrogen peroxide, a negative catalase test result, and fermentation of glucose, maltose, starch, but not mannitol.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The sites of isolation of *G. vaginalis* and the clinical presentations in men with *G. vaginalis* infections and in those without were compared by Yates’s modified χ² test.

**SECONDARY STUDY**

In a second study we investigated symptomatic men and women with culture-confirmed *G. vaginalis* infection for the presence of concomitant anaerobic bacteria using the cultural methods of Duerden et al. The swabs taken for *G. vaginalis* isolations were used for this.

**Results**

**ISOLATION RATE**

Of the 194 men in the initial prospective study, *G. vaginalis* was isolated from the urethral or preputial swabs or both in 14, an isolation rate of 7.2%.

**SITES OF ISOLATION**

All 14 men were uncircumcised. The preputial swabs were the more productive; 13/14 (93%) of the preputial swabs gave positive results compared with nine (64%) of the urethral swabs.

**CONCOMITANT GENITAL CONDITIONS**

Only two men were without symptoms or signs of genital infection. Eight men had non-gonococcal urethritis, nine balanoposthitis, and one each genital herpes, genital warts, and pediculosis pubis.

Of 80 men presenting with NGU, *G. vaginalis* was isolated from the urethra of six compared with three (2.5%) of 114 without NGU (χ² = 1.5, P>0.2).

Of 39 men with balanoposthitis, *G. vaginalis* was isolated from the prepuce of nine compared with four of 155 men without balanoposthitis (χ² = 17.8, P<0.001). Yeasts were isolated from preputial swabs of 10 men with balanoposthitis, but in no patient were yeasts and *G. vaginalis* isolated together. Thus, nine of 29 (31%) men with non-candidal balanoposthitis had *G. vaginalis* isolated from their preputial swabs. No significant differences were found between men with balanoposthitis, with or without *G. vaginalis* infections, and those without balano-

**Discussion**

Our prevalence rate of 7.2% for *G. vaginalis* isolation in unselected men was similar to that reported by Karpovskaya (8%). The study confirms an earlier impression that in uncircumcised men *G. vaginalis* more frequently colonises, or is easier to isolate from, the glans penis and prepuce than from the urethra, where the organism can easily be eradicated by micturition. We found no evidence to implicate the organism as a cause of non-gonococcal urethritis, but our results suggest that subpreputial carriage of *G. vaginalis* is associated with balanoposthitis in some men, particularly those with a relative phimosis. Typically, the condition is mild with subpreputial irritation and an offensive fishy odour and is associated with signs of increased subpreputial mucoid discharge and mild inflammation of the glans penis and prepuce.

Further study is necessary to determine the pathogenesis of this condition. Chen et al. have postulated that in non-specific vaginitis a symbiotic relationship between *G. vaginalis* and other...
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organisms, particularly anaerobic bacteria, results in the production of amines which produce the characteristic symptoms. The frequent association of G vaginalis with Bacteroides species in subpreputial swabs from men with balanoposthitis suggests that a similar mechanism might result in the typical fishy smell and increased subpreputial secretion.
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