A rapid and sensitive culture test for the laboratory diagnosis of genital herpes in women
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SUMMARY A rapid and sensitive cell culture test has been developed to detect herpes simplex virus (HSV) in women with genital herpes. The virus is cultured by inoculation and centrifugation of cell monolayers, and the virus inclusions are detected using an indirect immunofluorescence test. The test takes only 48 hours to complete compared with the conventional cell culture test, which may take up to eight days. Of a total of 2100 cervical specimens collected from unselected women attending a sexually transmitted diseases (STD) clinic and inoculated in parallel, HSV was isolated from 55 specimens by either or both tests. Of these 55 positive specimens, 54(98%) were positive by the rapid test but only 24(44%) by the conventional test (McNemars test; p < 0·001).

Introduction
The prevalence of genital infection with herpes simplex virus (HSV) has been increasing rapidly over the last decade and HSV is now considered to be the commonest cause of genital ulcerations in the developed world.1-3 Data available for the United Kingdom suggest a yearly increase of about 11% in the incidence of genital HSV infections. This is a greater increase than that of any other sexually transmitted disease (STD).4 The clinical manifestations of genital infection with HSV in women are often few, absent, or atypical and overlap those of other infections.5 In view of the potentially serious consequences of genital infection with HSV and because control of symptoms is now possible, laboratory tests that are rapid, simple, and sensitive are a major help in diagnosis. The conventional cell culture method for virus isolation, which relies on the development of cytopathic effect, provides the most sensitive and specific diagnostic method,6 but it is relatively slow. Staining exfoliated cells and detecting antigen are generally less sensitive than virus isolation methods.5,7 HSV serological tests are the least sensitive of the available methods, even using paired serum samples.8

We have developed a cell culture test that is more sensitive than the conventional cell culture method for HSV isolation and requires only two days to complete.

Patients, materials, and methods

SPECIMENS
We collected cervical swabs from an unselected group of women who presented to an STD clinic in London during about six months. The specimens were collected in plastic vials containing glass beads and 2SP transport medium with antibiotics.9 They were transported to the laboratory in liquid nitrogen and stored at −70°C until inoculated.

TISSUE CULTURE AND INOCULATION
We prepared HEp2 cell monolayers in test tubes and on 13 mm coverslips in flat bottomed tubes by seeding each tube with 1 ml of growth medium containing 25 000 to 30 000 cells/ml and incubating at 35°C for 48 hours. The growth medium consisted of Eagle’s minimum essential medium supplemented with vitamins, glutamine, penicillin (110 IU/ml), streptomycin (50 mg/I), and 10% fetal bovine serum. After 48 hours, when the monolayers were about 80% confluent, the growth medium was replaced with maintenance medium containing 3% fetal bovine serum.

All specimens were whirlmixed, and equal aliquots of each were inoculated in one test tube and one flat bottomed tube. A positive and negative control were set up with each batch of tests.

RAPID TEST
The inoculated flat bottomed tubes were centrifuged at 15000 g for one hour and then incubated at 35°C for 48 hours. At the end of this period, the coverslips were fixed with methanol for 15 minutes and stained using an indirect immunofluorescence method. Table I compares the individual steps of the two tests.
TABLE I Steps of rapid culture test compared with conventional cell culture test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rapid culture test</th>
<th>Conventional cell culture test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Cell monolayer on coverslip in flat bottomed tubes</td>
<td>Cell monolayer in test tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Incubation</td>
<td>Incubation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Incubation (2 days)</td>
<td>Incubation (up to 8 days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Immunofluorescence staining</td>
<td>Examination for cytopathic effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virus identification by immunofluorescence staining of smears from test tubes that show a cytopathic effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course of test — 2 days</td>
<td>Course of test — up to 8 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IMMUNOFLUORESCENCE STAINING METHOD

Group specific antiserum to HSV was raised in rabbits in our laboratory, and the anti-rabbit fluorescein conjugate was obtained from Burroughs Wellcome London. Each new batch of serum samples was titrated to obtain the optimum working dilution. The fixed coverslips were mounted on a staining frame. A drop of the appropriately diluted antiserum to HSV was placed on each of the coverslips, spread with a loop, and incubated in a moist chamber for 30 minutes. The coverslips were washed in phosphate buffered saline for 15 minutes using a magnetic stirrer, air dried, and then covered with a drop of the fluorescein conjugated anti-rabbit serum. The incubation and washing steps were repeated as before. The coverslips were finally washed for five minutes in distilled water before being dried and mounted.10

The criterion for positivity was the finding of one or more intracellular granular inclusions that fluoresced brightly green.

CONVENTIONAL TEST

After being inoculated, the test tubes were incubated at 35°C on roller drums and examined daily or every other day for a cytopathic effect. Smears were made from test tubes showing a cytopathic effect, and the virus was identified by an indirect immunofluorescence test. Table I shows the individual steps of the test.

Results

Table II gives a detailed analysis of the comparative sensitivity and a correlation of the positivity of the two methods. Of 2100 cervical specimens inoculated in parallel by the rapid and the conventional cell culture tests, HSV was isolated by either or both tests from a total of 55 specimens. Of these, 54 (98%) were positive by the rapid test but only 24 (44%) by the conventional test, a significant difference (McNemars test; p < 0.0001).

Table III shows that a cytopathic effect took one to eight days to develop using the conventional test and 26% of specimens took between five and eight days to develop a cytopathic effect.

Discussion

The rapid test described here is simple to perform and takes only 48 hours to complete. It is appreciably more sensitive than the conventional test in detecting HSV from genital specimens.

HSV replicates well in a number of different types of cells, but most laboratories use human embryo fibroblast cell lines, such as WI-38 or MRC-5. In this study we used HEP2 cells, which appear to have a sensitivity comparable with that of human embryo lung cells for HSV isolation.11

It is widely believed that HSV grows rapidly in conventional cell culture test and that most positive specimens show a cytopathic effect within 48 hours. There is little published evidence, however, to support this belief. In a study by Moore, in which a large proportion of the specimens were collected from vesicular lesions and had an expectedly high virus titre, only 72% of the HSV positive results were detectable in 48 hours. Similarly, in this laboratory, in
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TABLE II Comparative sensitivity and correlation of positivity between the rapid and the conventional cell culture tests for herpes simplex virus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results of the paired tests</th>
<th>No (%) positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive by rapid test only</td>
<td>31 (56-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive by rapid test only</td>
<td>31 (56-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive by conventional test</td>
<td>24 (43-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive by both tests</td>
<td>23 (41-8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive by rapid test</td>
<td>54 (98-2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive by conventional test</td>
<td>55 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Positive by either or both tests | 55 (100)

McNemars test (rapid test v conventional test); U=5-65, p<0.001.

TABLE III Day of first appearance of cytopathic effect in 23 cultures positive for herpes simplex virus by the conventional test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day of first appearance of cytopathic effect</th>
<th>No(%) of specimens showing cytopathic effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>4(17-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>13(56-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>6(26-1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Information was not available in one case.

Mean (SD) 3-9 (1-84) days, range 1-8 days.
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The rabbit antibody to HSV used in this study was found to be entirely satisfactory. The antisera used for the staining were checked for specificity, and each new batch was titrated to obtain the optimum working dilution. The background staining was minimal, and there was a distinct difference in the staining reactions of the infected and the non-infected cells (figure). The positive cultures produced brightly fluorescing, granular, and intracellular inclusions. Such inclusions were not found in the negative control cultures. Our previous work has shown that when the strict criteria of culture, staining, and recognition of inclusions are used, the finding of even one such inclusion is adequate for a positive diagnosis; the chances of a false positive result using the rapid test are remote.

The greater sensitivity of the rapid test is probably largely a reflection of its ability to detect HSV from cases of mild infection. Some 50% of women studied here had no clinical evidence of HSV infection. In such patients, the virus titre is generally expected to be low. Centrifuging the inoculated monolayer has been shown to increase the HSV isolation rate compared with the conventional test.\(^1\) Centrifuging may possibly increase contact and penetration of the virus on to the monolayer, thus increasing the chances of picking up virus from these low-titre specimens.

Bacterial or fungal contamination of the genital specimens occasionally destroys the cell monolayer in the conventional method. We found that such contamination of the monolayer usually did not interfere with the easy detection of HSV inclusions in the rapid test. This could also be a factor in the greater sensitivity of the rapid test.

The rapid test we describe here is fast, economical, and significantly more sensitive than the conventional test.
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**Figure** Bright, granular, and intracellular herpes simplex virus inclusions stained by an indirect immunofluorescence method (540 × magnification).


