Urogenital *Chlamydia trachomatis* in Gabon: an unrecognised epidemic
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**SUMMARY** Samples from 218 men with urethritis, 517 women with pelvic pain or pelvic pain and vaginal discharge, 218 women consulting for infertility, and 598 postpartal women were screened for *Chlamydia trachomatis* by culture and direct immunofluorescence. Chlamydiae were detected in 18% (39/218) of the men, 18% (45/252) of women with vaginal discharge, 14% (38/265) of those with pelvic pain, 10% (21/218) of infertile, and 10% (59/598) of postpartal women. A chlamydial prevalence of 18% (41) was observed in 229 postpartal women aged under 21, whereas only 5% (10) of 360 postpartal women over 21 had *C. trachomatis*. In the other clinical groups, an age related decrease in prevalence was noted in women over 25. The direct immunofluorescence test correlated well with culture. The small difference in isolation between symptomatic and postpartal women indicates that women in this population do not seek medical attention for chlamydial infections and expose themselves to chlamydial salpingitis and infertility.

*Chlamydia trachomatis* is one of the most common sexually transmitted pathogens in Europe and America. Very few studies, however, have been undertaken in Africa,1-4 Asia, or South America, no doubt largely because facilities are not available for growing the organism in tissue culture. The recent advent of direct immunofluorescence7 and immunoenzymatic3 detection procedures provides less complicated, albeit still very expensive, alternatives.

An indirect method of estimating chlamydial prevalence is to assess the prevalence of non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU). Whereas NGU is more common than gonorrhoea in Europe and North America, many studies from Africa have shown that about 70% of urethritis was gonococcal,23-11 whereas studies of ophthalmia neonatorum from the same or neighbouring countries indicated that *C. trachomatis* was observed as often as *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*.24,15

In a semirural community in Central Africa we screened for *C. trachomatis* using culture and immunofluorescence in men and women consulting for gynaecitary discharge and in women presenting for other reasons.

**Patients and methods**

We studied the following patients, who all presented at the Hôpital Provincial de Franceville: 218 men with clinical symptoms of urethritis; 517 women with gynaecological complaints, 252 with vaginal discharge and 265 with both pelvic pain and vaginal discharge; 218 women consulting for infertility; and 598 women who had given birth two or three days previously.

We obtained samples from men with a cotton on wire swab (Entuswab, MW142, Medical Wire, Potley, Corsham, Wiltshire, UK), which was inserted 2 or 3 cm into the urethra, rotated, and withdrawn. The specimen was then applied to a microscope slide with one 8 mm well by rolling the swab within the well perimeter. A second swab was taken in the same way, and the tip was cut off into 2SP transport medium.

After first removing excess secretions, we took samples from women (under direct vision) with an Entuswab, which was inserted into the endocervical canal, rotated, withdrawn without touching the walls of the vagina, and applied to a microscope slide as above. Specimens for culture were obtained by rotating a spiral tipped plastic swab (Bactopick, Labo-Moderne, Paris) in the endocervical canal and then breaking the tip into 2SP transport medium.

Specimens arrived at the laboratory two to three hours later. The slides were then fixed with methanol and stored at 4°C before being stained, and the 2SP
transport media were vigorously shaken and then frozen at $-70^\circ\text{C}$ before culture.

*Chlamydia trachomatis* detection procedures were as follows: the immunofluorescence slide was stained with a monoclonal antibody directed against *C. trachomatis* (MicroTrak—Syva BioMérieux) and examined with a fluorescence microscope. Samples were considered to be positive if at least 10 round, apple-green, fluorescent particles were counted.

Specimens in 2SP transport medium were thawed before being sonicated, inoculated by centrifugation, cultured in McCoy cells grown in 96 well plates with two wells a sample, and incubated in medium with cycloheximide for 72 hours. Inclusions were shown with immunoperoxidase using human serum containing antibodies to *C. trachomatis*.

**Results**

**SYMPTOMATIC MEN**

Of 92 men tested by both culture and immunofluorescence, *C. trachomatis* was cultured from 13 (16%) of 83 (table 1) and detected by immunofluorescence in a further two of nine whose cultures were contaminated. Of the 13 culture positive men, one was not detected by immunofluorescence, but no immunofluorescence positive samples were culture negative. Analysis of a further 126 men with urethritis by culture only yielded 24 positive results, which gave a prevalence of 18% in the 218 men.

**SYMPTOMATIC WOMEN**

Of 252 women presenting with vaginal discharge screened for *C. trachomatis* by culture and immunofluorescence, 46 (18%) yielded contaminated cultures. Positive results were obtained by culture in 14% (28/206), by immunofluorescence in 16% (40/252), and by either immunofluorescence or culture in 18% (45/252) (table 1).

Of 265 women presenting with pelvic pain and vaginal discharge, 11% (24/223) were culture positive, 13% (33/259) were immunofluorescence positive, and 14% (38/265) were positive by either culture or immunofluorescence (table 1). Culture specimens from 42 (16%) were contaminated, but eight (19%) of them were immunofluorescence positive.

**OTHER WOMEN**

Two groups of women being examined by a gynaecologist for other reasons than vaginal discharge were screened for *C. trachomatis*. The first group consisted of 218 women consulting for infertility, and the second comprised 598 postpartal women (table 1). Positive culture results were found in 7% (14/198) infertile women and 8% (48/574) postpartal women, while the respective percentages immunofluorescence positive were 9% (18/209) and 10% (57/598), and immunofluorescence or culture positives were 10% (21/218) and 10% (59/598). Fewer cultures were contaminated in these two groups (9% (20/218) and 4% (24/598) respectively) than in the symptomatic women, but again many of these were immunofluorescence positive (25% (five) and 33% (eight), respectively).

**CORRELATION OF AGE WITH CHLAMYDIAL PREVALENCE**

We knew the ages of many of the women. Dividing the

### Table 1: Detection of Chlamydia trachomatis in urogenital samples by culture immunofluorescence (IF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion (%) positive by</th>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>IF</th>
<th>Culture or IF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men (n = 92)</td>
<td>13/83 (15-7)</td>
<td>14/92 (15-2)</td>
<td>15/92 (16-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge (n = 252)</td>
<td>28/206 (13-6)</td>
<td>40/252 (15-9)</td>
<td>45/252 (17-9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic pain and discharge (n = 265)</td>
<td>24/223 (10-8)</td>
<td>33/259 (12-7)</td>
<td>38/265 (14-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infertile women (n = 218)</td>
<td>14/198 (7-0)</td>
<td>18/209 (8-6)</td>
<td>21/218 (9-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpartal women (n = 598)</td>
<td>48/574 (8-3)</td>
<td>57/598 (9-5)</td>
<td>59/598 (9-9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Prevalence of Chlamydia trachomatis in women of different age groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Proportion (%) positive aged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 21 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge</td>
<td>16/50 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic pain</td>
<td>10/48 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infertile</td>
<td>5/27 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpartal</td>
<td>41/229 (18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
population into groups of women younger than 21, 21 to 25, or over 25 showed that women over 25 with discharge, pelvic pain, or infertility had fewer chlamydial infections than the younger women, but postpartum women aged 21 to 25 had a similar prevalence to those over 25 and a significantly (p < 0.05) lower prevalence than those under 21 (table 2). The chlamydial prevalence in postpartum women aged 21 to 25 was significantly (p < 0.01) lower than in women of the same age presenting with other conditions (table 2).

**Table 3**  
Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and overall agreement of immunofluorescence with culture in detecting Chlamydia trachomatis (numbers given in table 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Positive predictive value (%)</th>
<th>Negative predictive value (%)</th>
<th>Overall agreement with culture (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic pain</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infertile</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpartal</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

We observed a low prevalence of chlamydial isolation in a large sample of men and women with genitourinary discharge. This was surprising considering that *C. trachomatis* have been isolated from the conjunctiva of at least 2-7% of neonates. Screening two groups of women not presenting for vaginal discharge showed a prevalence of *C. trachomatis* almost as high as that observed in symptomatic women, which indicates that most women with chlamydial infections have no, or very mild, symptoms and do not seek medical attention. This low level of awareness of chlamydial infection must exert a heavy toll on fertility. Indeed, African women have high levels of infection related infertility, and infertile women have high levels of antichlamydial antibodies.

As expected, chlamydial prevalence was highest in women aged under 21. It is interesting, however, that women aged 21 to 25 who had maintained their fertility had significantly lower chlamydial prevalence than infertile women or women with discharge or pelvic pain. Whether this derives from the inhibitory effect of *C. trachomatis* on fertility or from differences in behaviour between pregnant and non-pregnant women is not clear.

In our analysis of the data we considered both culture and immunofluorescent positive samples as being chlamydia positive because our culture isolation rates were probably suboptimal, as we used 96 well microplates with two wells a sample and without blind passage. Furthermore, it was not possible to refrigerate samples during transport from the hospital to the laboratory, which could have reduced chlamydial isolation and increased contamination.

As with other studies, we observed reasonably good correlation between culture and immunofluorescent detection of *C. trachomatis* in all groups. Contaminated samples were more frequently immunofluorescent positive than were the others, particularly in women not presenting for vaginal discharge or pelvic pain.
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