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Abstract
Prostatic abscess has become less common, is now usually related to urinary tract infection, and is a rare cause of urethral discharge. The case is described of a man with prostatic abscesses caused by Staphylococcus aureus possibly related to recent skin abrasions. Transrectal ultrasound was used to make the diagnosis and to facilitate repeated drainage with a successful outcome.

Introduction
Prostatic abscess has become less common, is difficult to diagnose and its aetiology is often uncertain. In the pre antibiotic era many cases were due to gonococcal or metastatic staphylococcal infections. Recently it has been more frequently associated with urinary tract infections usually with coliform organisms.

The modes of presentation of prostatic abscess are varied and it cannot be reliably diagnosed clinically. The differential diagnosis includes prostatitis, urinary tract infection, benign hypertrophy of the prostate and carcinoma of the prostate or bladder. The diagnosis may be further complicated by masking of the infectious process by antibiotic therapy. Recently the introduction of transrectal ultrasonography (TRUS) has allowed a more rapid diagnosis together with ultrasound guided aspiration and biopsy for microbiological and histological examination. Drainage can also be established.

In a recent review of 269 cases in the world literature urethral discharge was a presenting complaint in only 7% of patients. We describe a case of multiple prostatic abscesses presenting with urethral discharge in a 42 year old man in which transrectal ultrasound was valuable for diagnosis, therapeutic drainage and subsequent follow-up.

Case report
A 42 year old heterosexual male attended the genitourinary clinic complaining of a profuse urethral discharge and dysuria without frequency for 3 days. In addition he described a dull pain in the perineum. His only sexual partner for the last eight years was his wife. His only past history of a sexually transmitted disease was pediculosis pubis 10 years previously. He had no other genitourinary symptoms. His only relevant recent history was a fall from a ladder sustaining a closed fracture of his left forearm and multiple abrasions, two weeks earlier.

On examination he was afebrile with his left forearm in plaster of Paris. He was noted to have a profuse green/yellow urethral discharge. On rectal examination an enlarged, firm, very tender prostate with no definable midline sulcus was palpated.

Investigations and treatment
Urethral smear showed greater than 20 polymorphs per high power field but no evidence of gonorrhoea. The two glass urine test showed a cloudy first specimen and specks in the second. Dipstick testing of the urine was negative for protein and sugar. A urethral culture for Neisseria gonorrhoeae and a urethral swab for chlamydia antigen (Chlamydiazyme, Abbott Laboratories) were negative, as was serological testing for syphilis. Serum alkaline phosphatase, tartrate labile acid phosphatase, and at follow-up, random blood glucose and full blood count were within normal limits. Also a subsequent HIV test was negative.

The symptoms and signs initially suggested a diagnosis of prostatitis and he was started on a 2 week course of oxytetracycline 500 mg qds. In order to exclude other prostatic pathology TRUS of the prostate was performed. Using a 7.5 MHz linear array endoprobe, the examination showed an enlarged prostate with multiple loculated, predominantly echopoor, areas consistent with prostatic abscesses. The largest of these was aspirated under ultrasound control via the perineal route and 10 ml of purulent fluid obtained (fig). Culture of the fluid yielded a heavy growth of Staphylococcus aureus sensitive to penicillin, erythromycin and oxytetracycline.

Subsequent course
By the time the patient presented for his first transrectal ultrasound (three days after presentation in the genitourinary clinic) his urethral discharge had resolved. The patient underwent weekly therapeutic
It was presumed that the abscess in this case may have occurred as a result of haematogenous spread from the abrasions on the patient's forearm (once the plaster was removed six weeks later there was evidence of healing abrasions). The patient did not have diabetes, HIV infection or evidence of any other cause of immunosuppression. In this case, transrectal ultrasound was valuable both for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Other methods used for diagnosis of prostatic abscess have been abdominal ultrasound scanning and CT.7 8 TRUS has emerged as the most sensitive imaging modality for the prostate itself and is also the most appropriate for ultrasound guided aspiration.

With TRUS the appearance of prostatic abscess is characteristic. TRUS allows the differentiation from a diffuse prostatitis, malignancy or benign prostatic hypertrophy. Ultrasound guided aspiration was first described by Weinberger et al9 and enables a microbiological diagnosis to be made and also facilitates therapeutic drainage.

In conclusion, if this symptom complex is seen again in a genitourinary clinic setting it may alert the attendant physician to consider the diagnosis of prostatic abscess. Transrectal ultrasound if available will provide a rapid method of confirming or excluding the diagnosis as well as facilitating subsequent management.
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