Detection of genital human papillomavirus (HPV) DNA by PCR and other conventional hybridisation techniques in male partners of women with abnormal Papanicolaou smears

S N Tabrizi, J Tan, M Quinn, A J Borg, S M Garland

Abstract

Objective—To study the prevalence of human papillomavirus (HPV) infection, using several different hybridisation techniques, in men whose female sexual partners had cervical HPV and/or cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN).

Methods—The male genital area was examined colposcopically and areas suspicious of HPV changes were biopsied. Each biopsy was subjected to histological examination and HPV DNA analysis by conventional DNA analysis such as Southern, reverse and dot blot as well as with polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Results—Colposcopic examination of men showed 133 to be normal whilst 82 (38%) had clinical or subclinical lesions. Of 55 colposcopically directed biopsies from the male lesions taken, detection of HPV DNA by hybridisation with conventional techniques and by PCR showed HPV DNA in 29 (53%) and 47 (85%) of biopsies respectively. Overall HPV types 6/11 were the predominant types. In 18 (33%) biopsies positive by PCR, multiple types were found.

Conclusion—HPV DNA was present in the majority of biopsy specimens taken, with HPV 6/11 being the predominant type. Among methods for HPV DNA detection, PCR was the most sensitive and useful technique.

(*Genitourin Med* 1992;68:370-373)

Introduction

Over 65 DNA types of human papillomavirus (HPV) have been identified to date in mucosal and dermal sites. Genital types of HPV have been strongly associated with the development of benign condylomas and anogenital carcinomas.¹ In women, the risk of development of cervical cancer is strongly linked to the number of sexual partners, and age of first intercourse, and is increased for those whose partners have had previous sexual partners with cancer of the cervix.^{2,3} In addition wives of men diagnosed with cancer of the penis have an increased rate of mortality for cancer of the cervix although not of the other types of genital cancer.⁴

The role of the asymptomatic male sexual partner in the infection and reinfection of his female partner has only relatively recently been

addressed in the management of the women with HPV infection. In this study, male sexual partners of women being evaluated for cervical HPV, with and without cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) were studied in order to determine the prevalence of HPV infection in this population of men.

Methods

Study population

Male sexual partners of women attending the dysplasia clinic at the Royal Women's Hospital or private gynaecologists for evaluation of cervical HPV and/or cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) as diagnosed by cytology (Papanicolaou smear) and histology of colposcopically directed cervical biopsies were invited to attend the hospital for evaluation of HPV infection. All were evaluated by one of us (JT).

Specimen collection

The male genital area was examined for evidence of clinical HPV lesions and after application of 5% acetic acid for subclinical lesions using a colposcope. Areas suspicious of HPV changes (aceto white, macular, microcondylomatous areas) were biopsied ($2-3 \times 1.5 \text{ mm}^2$), and the specimens then divided into two. One portion was placed in HPV-transport media (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl and 0.1% Sodium azide, pH 7.5), and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen for HPV DNA analysis, whilst the other was placed in formalin for histology.

Eight specimens of foreskin of circumcised newborn infants were used as negative controls for HPV DNA analysis.

Extraction of DNA from biopsy material

Tissue specimens were weighed and cut into small fragments with a sterile scalpel and DNA was extracted with phenol:chloroform after initial proteinase K digestion by standard procedures.⁵

Dot blot

Five hundred nanograms of DNA extracted from clinical biopsies were dotted, in presence of 0.4 N NaOH, onto positively charged nylon membrane Hybond N⁺ (Amersham, Amersham, UK) using a 96 well vacuum manifold. Membranes were stored at room temperature until probed.

Department of
Microbiology
S N Tabrizi
A J Borg
S M Garland

Oncology and
Dysplasia Unit, The
Royal Women's
Hospital, Carlton,
Victoria, Australia,
3053
J Tan
M Quinn

Address for correspondence:
Dr S N Tabrizi, The Royal
Women's Hospital,
Department of
Microbiology, 132 Grattan
St, Carlton, Victoria 3053,
Australia.

Accepted for publication
25 June 1992

Gel electrophoresis and Southern blot

Ten μg genomic DNA from biopsies were cleaved enzymatically with restriction endonuclease *Bam*HI, and restriction fragments were separated on 0.7% agarose gel electrophoresis. The electrophoretically separated DNA fragments were denatured in the gel and transferred to reinforced nitrocellulose (Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, NH, USA) as described by Southern.⁶ After blotting, the nitrocellulose membranes were baked for 2 h at 80°C and prepared for hybridisation.

Reverse blots

Biopsy cellular DNA (500 ng) was labelled with ³²P as described below and hybridised to Southern blot membranes containing 200 ng of cloned DNA of HPV 6, 11, 16, 31, 33 cleaved with *Pst*I and HPV 18 cleaved with *Eco*RI.

Full-genomic HPV probes

Recombinant pBR322 plasmid containing HPV types 6, 11, 16 and 18 were gifts from Professor Harold zur Hausen (University of Heidelberg, F R Germany). HPV 31 cloned in pBR322 and HPV 33 cloned in plink322, were gifts from A Lorincz (Bethesda Research Laboratories, Maryland, USA) and G Orth (Pasteur Institute, Paris, France) respectively. Each clone was transformed in *E coli* HB101 and plasmid DNA was isolated by a cleared lysate technique followed by isopycnic banding of plasmic DNA in a caesium chloride-ethidium bromide gradient.⁷ Full-genomic HPV DNA types were isolated by cleaving the cloned DNA with the appropriate restriction endonuclease enzyme for release of insert from vector (Boehringer Mannheim, F R Germany), electrophoresed at 4°C on a 0.6% (w/v) low-gelling agarose (FMC BioProducts, Rockland, ME, USA) and extracted as described by Maniatis *et al.*⁵

Hybridisation conditions with full-genomic probes

All hybridisation assays were performed by one author (SNT), and each different hybridisation procedure carried out for each specimen was done blinded to the results of previous assays.

All membranes were prehybridised overnight at 68°C (Tm-25°C) in 6 × SSC (1 × SSC is 0.15 M NaCl, 0.015 M sodium citrate), 0.1% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.1% (w/v) bovine serum albumin, 0.1% (w/v) Ficoll, 0.5% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) and 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ of denatured salmon sperm DNA. Cold pBR322 DNA (digested with *Hpa*II endonuclease) was added to the prehybridisation solution of the dot blots as described previously.⁸

Purified inserts or biopsy DNA were labelled with α -³²P-dATP and α -³²P-dCTP using a commercial nick translation kit (Bresatec Ltd, Adelaide, Australia) to a specific activity of 1×10^8 cpm/ μg . Labelled inserts were denatured by heating at 100°C for 5 minutes, chilled on ice and added to the duplicate membranes. To the membranes which received pBR322 blocking in the prehybridisation solu-

tion, 5 μg of pBR322 (digested with *Hpa*II and denatured as described above) was also added with the probes. Denatured salmon sperm DNA (50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$) was added to all membranes and hybridisation was carried out for 18 hours at 68°C.

Membranes were washed at 68°C in 2 × SSC twice for 15 minutes each, in 2 × SSC, 0.1% (w/v) SDS for 30 minutes, and in 0.1 × SSC for 10 minutes. Membranes were then air dried and subjected to autoradiography with use of amplifying screens (Dupont, Wilmington, DE USA) on Hyperfilm (Amersham) for 24 hours.

Specimens screened by conventional hybridisation techniques were classified as positive, if any of the three tests ie dot blot, reverse blot, or Southern blot was positive.

Polymerase chain reaction

DNA from biopsy specimens were amplified using the heat-stable *Thermus aquaticus* (*Taq*) polymerase.⁹ Reactions contained 0.5 μg of DNA extracted from biopsies or of control DNA; 0.2 μg of L1 consensus primers, 0.1 μg of each of the β -globin primers GH20-PC04; 200 μM of each of dATP, dGTP, dCTP and dTTP; 2.5 units *Taq* polymerase (Perkin-Elmer Cetus, Norwalk, Conn, USA) 1 × reaction buffer (50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Tris pH 8.3) in 100 μl . L1 consensus primers pairs MY09-MY11, described by Manos *et al.*¹⁰ was used to amplify an approximately 450 bp fragment of HPV DNA, and β -globin primers were included as a positive internal control which simultaneously amplified a human β -globin product of 260 bp. DNA from cloned HPV 6, 11, 16, 18, 31 and 33 was used as positive controls and DNA extracted from foreskin of circumcised infants as negative controls.

Each reaction was amplified 30 cycles in a multi-water bath system (Bartelt Instruments, Heidelberg, Victoria) using parameters of 94°C for 1 minute, 55°C for 1 minute and 72°C for 1 minute. Prior to the first cycle, reactions were heated for 5 minutes at 94°C and an additional 10 minutes was included at the final 72°C elongation cycle.

Aliquots of 10 μl of the amplification products were separated by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels, visualised by UV illumination following ethidium bromide staining, transferred to nylon membranes and probed with L1 general probes as described by Resnick *et al.*¹¹

Specimen contamination and carryover was prevented by using positive displacement pipettes, prior aliquoting of all reagents and performing pre- and post-PCR steps in different rooms specifically allocated for PCR.

Results

Of the 215 men examined, 133 (62%) were normal on colposcopic examination whilst 68 (32%) had clinically evident lesions, 14 of whom also had changes on colposcopy consistent with sub-clinical HPV infection and 14 (6%) had lesions consistent with sub-clinical

Table 1 Prevalence of HPV DNA in biopsy samples of male patients using conventional hybridisation techniques (dot blot, reverse blot, Southern blot) and PCR

Detection method	Positive				Total	Negative	ND*
	6/11	16/18	Mixed†	Other‡			
Conventional							
Biopsies (n = 55)	24	2	3		29	26	
(%)	44	4	5		53	47	
Controls (n = 8)	0	0	0		0	8	
(%)	0	0	0		0	100	
PCR							
Biopsies (n = 55)	21	6	18	3	47	4	4
(%)	38	11	33	3	85	7.5	7.5
Controls (n = 8)	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
(%)	0	0	0	0	0	100	0

*Refers to PCR reactions in which β -globin band was not detected.

†Indicates any of the mixed types such as 6/11/16/18, 16/18/31/33 or 6/11/16/18/31/33.

‡Refers to PCR reaction only where the 450 bp was detected but did not hybridise to the probe types used.

infection alone. Fifty five colposcopically directed biopsies were performed. Excised biopsy specimens were 3–5 mm² in size and yielded an average of 5 μ g of DNA. Sufficient DNA (> 10 μ g) was extracted for a Southern blot in only nine specimens. HPV DNA was detected in 53% when conventional hybridisation techniques were utilised, whereas 85% were positive when PCR was used (table 1). All but four specimens were adequate for amplification by PCR. In these four specimens, the 260 bp β -globin band was not detected.

HPV DNA types 6/11 were the predominant types detected by both conventional hybridisation (44%) and PCR techniques (38%). Three and 18 of the samples contained multiple HPV types when assessed by standard hybridisation and PCR methods respectively. In three samples, the 450 bp product was detected on ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels, but failed to hybridise to the HPV probe types used.

A correlation of 63% was obtained when comparing positivity in PCR and conventional hybridisation tests (table 2). Samples examined by Southern blot correlated 90% with results obtained with PCR with respect to positivity and types present. All samples negative by PCR were also negative by the conventional tests.

Discussion

This study showed that 38% of the partners of women with cervical HPV and/or CIN had detectable HPV-associated lesions on clinical and/or colposcopic examination. In our series only 55 samples were obtained from 82 patients with lesions consistent with HPV, as most lesions were too small to biopsy. Con-

ventional DNA hybridisation detected HPV in 29 (53%) biopsies whilst amplification with PCR detected HPV sequences in 47 (85%) biopsies. The addition of primer sets for amplification of a segment of the β -globin gene, ensured the presence of adequate amplifiable DNA. Only in four specimens was the β -globin band not seen and this could be attributed to presence of inhibitors or insufficient DNA. Disparate HPV DNA positivity between the conventional and PCR detection may relate to samples being too small for detection by the former methods, or to the presence of HPV types other than those used by the conventional methods, but which the L1 general probe of the PCR could detect. The high rate of positivity observed with PCR, however, is not unexpected, since PCR is more sensitive and in our experiments gave a sensitivity of 10 HPV DNA copies per reaction, whereas the sensitivity of Southern blot was 10⁴ HPV DNA copies. This is further exemplified in this study where specimens from the genital area were too small to provide adequate DNA to perform a Southern blot hybridisation.

Histology showed features of benign HPV infection in 73% of biopsies (unpublished observations) with HPV DNA type 6/11 predominating as determined by HPV DNA typing. Two cases had penile intraepithelial neoplasia, in both of which HPV 16 was detected (one also contained HPV 6, 11, 31 and 33). The presence of a high number of samples containing multiple HPV types by PCR, again could reflect the increased sensitivity of this test. It also indicates that males could serve as a reservoir of many HPV types for transmission to their partners, though they clinically do not exhibit any archetypal clinical evidence. In three samples, the 450 bp product did not bind to the probes used which suggests that there may be HPV types present in these specimens other than those used.

PCR as a technique has been applied to the diagnosis of many other microorganisms and has been especially useful for agents which cannot be cultivated or are slow to grow, such as human immunodeficiency virus,¹² herpes simplex virus related encephalitis,¹³ cytomegalovirus,¹⁴ and hepatitis C virus.¹⁵ This technique also has the advantage that viral DNA or RNA can be detected well before traditional assays show positivity. HPV is non-cultivable

Table 2 Comparison of conventional hybridisation with PCR in diagnosis of HPV DNA in male biopsy specimens

	Conventional hybridisation		
	Positive	Negative	Total
PCR			
Positive	28	19	47
Negative	0	5	5
ND*	2	1	3
Total	30	25	55

*Refers to PCR reactions in which the 450 bp HPV band did not hybridise to the probes used.
Correlation 63%.

and diagnosis by DNA typing is restricted to recombinant DNA technology. In the understanding of the role of HPV in genital dysplasia and neoplasia, PCR can be applied especially when a limited sample is available. In circumstances similar to this study, where the quantity of biopsied tissue is very small, PCR is often the only alternative for detection of HPV DNA. However, one must be wary in interpreting PCR results in the clinical context, as PCR techniques can detect latent infection. Therefore, PCR positivity without evidence of active viral transcription may not equate with active infectivity.¹⁶ Whilst PCR has the advantage of high sensitivity, a pitfall of this new test is contamination¹⁷ which can result in false positives. To prevent this, it is recommended that PCR be performed with observance of strict sterile technique, the presence of number of external and internal controls, the presence of several negative reagent and specimen controls, the use of dedicated positive displacement pipets, frequent glove changing, and aliquoting of reagents, and the separation of areas where pre and post PCR is performed.

It is also preferable to validate the results of PCR with another sensitive established method, that is, Southern blot. However, in order to detect a single copy number gene by Southern blot, 10 µg of DNA is required. In this study only nine samples yielded sufficient DNA for a Southern blot, eight correlated with respect to HPV DNA positivity and types present with the PCR results (data not shown). Therefore, interpretation of a patient's potential infectivity when using these new tools of molecular biology must be made with great caution. Many such issues will only be resolved when the virus becomes readily cultured in the laboratory.

This work was supported by grants from Royal Women's Hospital Education and Research Fund. We thank Dr Christopher Fairly for his

helpful comments of the manuscript and are grateful to Mr Robert Rome for his assistance with the male data base.

- 1 zur Hausen H, Schneider A. The role of papillomaviruses in human anogenital cancer. In: Salzman NP, Howley PM, eds. *The Papoviridae: the Papillomaviruses*, vol 2. New York: Plenum Press, 1987;245-64.
- 2 Buckley JD, Harris RWC, Doll R, Vessey MP, Williams PT. Case control study of the husbands of women with dysplasia or carcinoma of the cervix uteri. *Lancet* 1981;ii:1010-4.
- 3 Kessler II. Venereal factors in human cervical cancer. *Cancer* 1977;39:1912-9.
- 4 Graham S, Priore R, Graham M, Browne R, Burnett W, West D. Genital cancer in wives of penile cancer patients. *Cancer* 1979;44:1870-4.
- 5 Maniatis T, Fritsch EF, Sambrook J. *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. 2nd ed. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 1989.
- 6 Southern EM. Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. *J Mol Biol* 1975;98:503-17.
- 7 Clewell DB, Helinski DR. Supercoiled circular DNA-protein complex in *Escherichia coli* purification and induced conversion to an open circular DNA form. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1969;62:1159-66.
- 8 Tabrizi SN, Borg AJ, Garland SM. Reduction of vector contamination in detection of human papillomavirus DNA using full-length genomic DNA probes. *J Virol Methods* 1991;32:41-7.
- 9 Saiki RK, Gelfand DH, Stoffel S, et al. Primer-directed enzymatic amplification of DNA with a thermostable DNA polymerase. *Science* 1988;239:487-91.
- 10 Manos MM, Ting Y, Wright DK, Lewis AJ, Broker TR, Wolinsky SM. The use of polymerase chain reaction amplification for the detection of genital human papillomaviruses. *Cancer Cells* 1989;7:209-14.
- 11 Resnick RM, Cornelissen MT, Wright DK, et al. Detection and typing of human papillomavirus in archival cervical cancer specimens by DNA amplification with consensus primers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1990;82:1477-84.
- 12 Ou C-Y, Kwok S, Mitchell SW, et al. DNA amplification for direct detection of HIV-1 in DNA of peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Science* 1988;238:295-7.
- 13 Kimura H, Shibata M, Kuzushima K, Nishikawa K, Nishiyama Y, Morishima T. Detection and direct typing of herpes simplex virus by polymerase chain reaction. *Med Microbiol Immunol* 1990;179:177-84.
- 14 Demmler GJ, Buffone GJ, Schimbor CM, May RA. Detection of cytomegalovirus in urine from newborns by using polymerase chain reaction DNA amplification. *J Infect Dis* 1988;158:1177-84.
- 15 Kaneko S, Unora M, Kobayashi K, Kuno K, Murakami S, Hattori N. Detection of serum hepatitis C virus RNA. *Lancet* 1990;335:1-3.
- 16 Garland SM, Faulkner-Jones BE, Fortune DW, Quinn MA. Cervical cancer-what role for human papillomavirus? *Med J Aust* 1992;156:204-12.
- 17 Kwok S, Higuchi R. Avoiding false positives with PCR. *Nature* 1989;339:237-8.