Role of fever in infection: has induced fever any therapeutic potential in HIV infection?
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Ancient societies had no rational understanding of fever. The Greeks were the first to recognise that it may be part of nature’s method of effecting cure in some diseases. How best to assist nature went through many trials and errors. Appreciation of the prognostic value of fever and how it may be controlled was slow to appear. That there was a place in the therapeutic arsenal for induced fever came only with the 20th century. Finding a suitable, safe, and satisfactory means came slowly. The curative power of well controlled and reproducible levels of fever was proved by the arrest of one deadly and incurable complication of a sexually transmitted disease in the first half of this century. The purpose of this review is to promote discussion and, hopefully, well ordered laboratory and clinical trials aimed at learning whether or not induced fevers have a place in the care of patients with HIV/AIDS.
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“Diseases, desperate grown,
By desperate appliances are reliev’d
Or not at all.”
Shakespeare’s Hamlet, Act iv; iii, 9–11.

In ancient times
While the Chinese of four to five thousand years ago declared fever to result from a loss of balance between elements controlling health, the Hindus said it was the result of unwelcome visits by fire demons. The ancient Egyptians appear to have viewed fever as an acceptable fact of life. They classified it as high or mild, and sought the help of their gods to prevent it. The Hebrews, and later the Christians, considered fever to be a divine form of punishment. Only in Mesopotamian medicine does fever seem to have been noted as commonly associated with local inflammation.

In the last 500 years BC, Hindu and Greek medicine made much of the imbalance of the humours. There were three of these—bile, phlegm, and air in the Hindu version, and four—yellow and black bile, phlegm, and blood in the Greek. The Greeks later classified fevers according to their associated diseases. They were assisted in this by Heron of Alexandria, who invented the thermoscope in the second century BC. It was Hippocrates (460 to about 377 BC) who first declared that fever was beneficial and that it was nature’s way to cure. It “cooked”, he said, “the excess humour of yellow bile”. “Nature’s way,” he said, “should be augmented by using starvation and fluids as adjuvants”. To this regime Galen (AD 130–200) added blood letting. It is another Greek, Rufus of Ephesus, who is credited with first recommending fever as therapy. He used it as sole treatment in epilepsy.

The Middle Ages
In the Middle East and Near East the new faith of Mohammed (about AD 570–632) was increasing medical as well as social awareness. The works of Galen and others were available in Arabic and Syriac translations. This was to lead Rhazes (AD 864–923) in his 25 volume work Contents of health to distinguish the fever of disease from that of heat stroke and that resulting from fiercely sustained physical activity. Theology and, to a lesser extent, philosophy dominated medical practice in Europe for many centuries and thus delayed advances in anatomy and physiology as well as pathology. The epidemics of the Black Death in the 14th century confirmed, for many of Europe’s devout, that disease and fever were indeed divine punishments. The many ensuing deaths ended the feudal system; people realised that with fewer of them they had a new freedom from constraints. Thus was the Renaissance heralded.

The Renaissance to the 19th century
As science as well as art began to flourish, fever was addressed anew. Galileo improved the thermoscope in 1592 and in 1644 Ferdinand I of Tuscany invented the first thermometer. Thus classification of fevers became more precise. There was the “continuous” type of typhus; the “eruptive” of smallpox and measles, and the “intermittent” of malaria with its subdivisions such as tertian and quartern. With the 18th century came the alcohol thermometer and Boerhaave’s simplified classification of fever as a sign with a recognisable cause or as idiopathic—that is, with a cause still to be recognised. Associated rigors and deliriums, like fever itself, were said to be due
to spasm of the arteries in association with disturbance of the only humour still recognised, blood.

With the 19th century, fever was well established as an essential feature of many symptom complexes. The invasion of the "idiopathic" division led to the recognition of fever as a disease in its own right—for example, jail fever and hospital fever. All changed dramatically when the "germ theory" became reality with the discovery of the typhoid bacillus in 1880 and the tubercle bacillus in 1882. With its status as a clinical sign ever more scientifically based, fever's benefits, excesses, prognostic value, and possible control by antipyretics were ever more widely appreciated.

Fever was seen as a useful addition to semiology or semiotics, the specialty of clinical observation as a basis for well directed laboratory research. A simple example comes from gonorrhoea. In acute pelvic inflammatory disease, gonococci were not commonly found by either Gram stained smears or cultures. Repeat tests, when local temperature rises of inflammation and general fever had subsided, not infrequently yielded positive results (Pick R, personal communication). Thus, gonococci were labelled thermolabile and this observation led later to the recognition that the laboratory gonococci were grown more easily at temperatures below 98.4°F (37-0°C).

The present century
At its most sophisticated, the contribution of fever to semiology reached its apotheosis in the observations and work of Wagner von Jauregg. As early as 1887 he observed that when infections with fever spread through his psychiatric wards the mental state of some patients improved. They were those suffering from syphilitic paresis (general paralysis of the insane, GPI). From first sign to death was seldom longer than three to five years, and there was no cure. Wagner von Jauregg gave such patients repeated fever using tuberculin in some and typhoid vaccine in others, with little effect.Active infection was indicated. He infected nine patients with tertian malaria and reported favourable results. In 1922 he was able to report complete remission in 46 of 200 patients treated with repeated tertian malaria fevers. All 46 were able to return to their former employment; others improved. Confirmation of his findings soon appeared from many parts of the Western world. The figure of 20% of psychiatric beds occupied by the syphilitic insane began to fall. In 1927 Wagner von Jauregg was awarded the Nobel Prize for Medicine.

For some physiologists the call was back to basics. As measured in the closed mouth with a mercury thermometer the body's normal temperature was 98.4°F in Europe and 98.6°F in America. Both were equated with 37°C. The rectal temperature was 1°F higher and, if measured in the armpit, 1°F lower. Clothed skin temperature varied between 85°F and 94°F. Temperature was found to vary during the day by as much as 1.0-1.5°F, being lowest at 4 am and highest at 6 pm. Muscular activity generated heat. Thus a four mile walk, completed in one hour, raised the temperature 2°F while after a three mile race the rise might be as much as 6°F. As regards losing heat, and re-establishing the normal balance between manufacture and loss, about 25% of heat loss was found to be accomplished via the lungs and most of the remainder by conduction, convection, radiation, and, particularly, evaporation of sweat. Adaptation to hot working conditions is generally accomplished within a few days. In the second world war, rehydration of UK soldiers to Middle East summer temperatures was well under way after two weeks but complete only after a total of four to six weeks. Diagnosis of heat or sun stroke generally meant a failure of adequate sweating and a subsequent temperature varying between 107°F and 110°F (44°C and 49°C). A recent report tells us that a 2°F rise in body temperature can be produced in 20 minutes in a sauna bath house operating at 80°C. Such exposures are associated with plasma adrenocorticotropic and cortisol activation, more in women than in men. Mid 19th century attempts to produce fever by injecting pus into animals, and later humans, caused much confusion. It was not until nearly half way through the present century before it was appreciated that it was products of Gram negative bacteria that prompted white cells to produce a precipitator of fever. It was called leucocyte pyrogen. It later became apparent that fever, natural or induced, generally caused not only leucocytosis with enhanced potential for phagocytosis but that mononuclear cells produced interleukin 1, a substance with a capacity to produce fever. Simultaneously, interleukin activated T cell lymphocytes to produce interferon and interleukin 2 as well as to stimulate B cell lymphocytes to synthesise immunoglobulins.

The degrees of these cellular and biochemical responses and their associated degree of fever were shown to accelerate recovery from Escherichia coli cystitis, salmonella infection, and infections produced experimentally in animals. By contrast, the relative absence of high fever in cases of acute pneumococcal meningitis became recognized as a declaration of poor prognosis. In addition, it was found that the exhibition of antipyretics may be associated with depressed or delayed leucocyte responses and even suppression of antibody production. The general conclusion at this stage of research was that fever was generally a helpful adaptive response not only with a capacity to accelerate recovery but with survival value.

Temperature rises of varying levels, both local and general, were explored using a variety of fever inducing agents. Stokes et al, for example, quote the work of Schambeg and Rule who observed that rabbits could be protected from syphilis, after intratesticular inoculation of Treponema pallidum, if they received 11 consecutive daily hot baths producing an average temperature rise to 40°F. Subsequent work showed that the thermal death point of T
*Hypertherm* varied both with the temperature and its duration. Other studies reported similar variations in cellular responses. There may, for example, be a period of leucocytosis before leucocytes were not always more numerous than lymphocytes and in some cases macrophagocyctosis was a feature. Such findings prompted closer observation of patients with leukaemia and Hodgkin’s disease, in whom fever is not uncommon and interleukin is sometimes abundant. When both of these features were recognised and the affected child contracted measles, this was associated with a degree of regression of the malignant condition. Fever in other malignancies was less favourable.

In the hope that predictable cellular and biochemical responses, artificially induced, might benefit other conditions, with no recognisable infectious basis, groups of patients with rheumatoid arthritis and others with asthma were given courses of “fever therapy”. Improvements were modest and of limited duration.

That it was infections in humans that were most likely to benefit from fever therapy was supported by a wide variety of laboratory experiments. For example, rabbits inoculated with streptococci from a case of erysipelas were bathed regularly in warm water. The subsequent body temperature rises were found to depress bacterial growth and to be associated with clinical improvement. Clearly, bacterial and treponemal infections respond to temperature rises and appear to have a measurable and different thermal death point.

What about other groups of organisms? Reiter’s disease with its associated joint disease is the only form of recurrent and chronic multiple arthritis known to be precipitated by an organism, the genitalinurinary *Chlamydia trachomatis* (serovars D-K). Organisms are not always demonstrable. This association of Reiter’s disease with an infectious agent was established in the mid and late 1960s, some 20 years after the benefits of fever therapy (with tetracyclines) were seen as the treatment of choice for the condition. Fever in many of these cases, during and after the 1940s, was produced by a hypertherm (“hot box”) (figure), introduced in the late 1930s to replace malaria for the treatment of syphilitic insanity. No trace of three hypertherms known to have been used in the UK in the 1940s has been found.

Fever was induced in the hypertherm by conditioned air produced by five 200 W heating bulbs. The patient’s rectal temperature was recorded and monitored outside the cabinet. The patient’s temperature was raised to between 105°F and 106°F (43°C approximately) over some two to two and a half hours, and so maintained for five hours. The temperature generally returned to normal within 30 minutes of switching off. In paresis, six fevers at twice weekly intervals were routine. In cases of Reiter’s disease, two fevers only were the usual. Patients were under the constant care of an experienced nurse. Stokes et al. give full details of the use of the hypertherm.

What about viruses and induced fever? Lowff reviewed the available research data in 1959. Laboratory studies using chick embryos, eggs, and mice dealt with influenza, herpes, myxoma, polio, Coxsackie, and vaccinia viruses. Replication yields dropped as temperatures rose from 37°C to 41°C. The review revealed that as long ago as 1942, thermal death points for herpes, myxoma, and vaccinia viruses were defined as 40°C, 42°C, and 45°C respectively. Similar studies involving the HIV and hepatitis viruses have shown how pasteurisation of specimen sera safeguards the health of laboratory workers. In an equally useful practical application, HIV infected human milk pasteurised at 52.5°C for 30 minutes was shown to be safe by repeatedly negative cultures and subcultures. Some measure of the virulence of HIV is reflected in the findings that it survives laboratory storage at –70°C and that temperatures of over 60°C are required to kill it.

In spite of the lack of promise in this last observation, there have been attempts to apply “whole body” hypertherm treatment to patients with AIDS. The technology involved is akin to dialysis. Blood from HIV positive patients is heated treated extracorporeally at 42°C for one hour, heated to 49°C, cooled, and returned to the patient. In a series of 31 AIDS patients with Kaposi’s sarcoma submitted to this treatment, regression of the malignancy remained in 20 for 20 days, in 14 for 120 days, and in four for 360 days. Most patients lived longer than expected. One patient was culture and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) negative at 26 months. Two patients died as a result of this treatment. A pilot study report on a second major trial, involving “whole body” (not defined) hyperthermia at 40°C and 42°C gives similar results. The clinical results are said to be dependent on HIV RNA reductions; other workers have

---

*Hypertherm or “hot box” similar to this one regularly used in the 1940s by one of the authors (R SM).*
suggested reverse transcriptase activity—that is, RNA reduction is effected indirectly by suspension of transcription factors (specific proteins) which have the capacity to active production of the enzyme RNA polymerase.13

Pennybacker et al14 have shown that HIV suffers a 25% inactivation on exposure to a temperature of 42°C and that the susceptibility of infected cells to heat is increased in the presence of tumour necrosis factor as well as to a range of 10 commonly used drugs. A mathematical model, visualising whole body (not defined) hyperthermia as daily therapy, envisages a 20–40% restoration of CD4 cell counts.

Discussion
As a rapidly reproducing retrovirus, HIV has at least five points in its growth cycle when it is at its most vulnerable. Pakianathan15 has detailed the form and function of some of the involved molecules. Individual antiretroviral agents have been deployed against these, singly and in pairs, and no doubt are soon to be deployed in threes or even fours at the same time. Would an adjuvant’s role for induced fever be worth exploring? The present state of affairs has affinities with the syphilitic insanity story. The then available trivalent arsenicals failed to breach the blood–brain barrier. Malarial fever therapy ruled alone until, in Liverpool, a pentavalent arsenical was produced (Laird SM, personal communication).

Is there something of a parallel in today’s story? Levy16 points out that, to date, treatment in HIV/AIDS is directed at extracellular and circulating HIV particles. He suggests the development of drugs capable of entering infected lymphocytes. If Pennybacker et al14 are right, such an accomplishment might possibly render HIV sensitive to whole body hyperthermia (hot box) induced fevers.

Fever might have a place worthy of exploration in other areas of illness. Is there any means whereby killer cells and CD8 lymphocytes can be made more effective? What happens to these cells when patients with HIV/AIDS have a fever? Again, can the capacity of HIV for genetically determined character change be exploited? Is there a degree of heat exposure that can stimulate such changes? Is there any way that heat exposure can produce a variant with potential for vaccine production?

Conclusions
It is clear that fever, natural or induced, has a capacity to effect or assist the curative and perhaps preventive processes. Heat, like other cidal agents, acts effectively by direct killing as well as by interfering with the molecular biochemistry of viral reproduction. Fever has the unique additional ability to enhance defence responses, both cellular and humoral. At a time when a decade and more has confirmed the limitations of available therapies, induced whole body (hot box) fever as a possible useful adjuvant to existing and developing drugs seems worthy of consideration and investigation.

20 Levy JA. HIV research; a need to focus on the right target. Lancet 1995;345:1619–21.