Surveillance of antibiotic resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae in the Netherlands, 1977–95
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Objective: To evaluate the prevalence and epidemiology of penicillinase producing Neisseria gonorrhoeae (PPNG) and tetracycline resistant N gonorrhoeae (TRNG) in the period 1977–95 in the Netherlands. To compare auxotypes, serovars, and antibiograms of PPNG, non-PPNG, and TRNG. To identify determinants in patient characteristics for the epidemic spread of TRNG/PPNG.

Methods: With respect to the national gonococcal surveillance all PPNG isolates from 30 laboratories over the country in 1977–90 and all gonococcal isolates from five sentinel laboratories (during 1 month per quarter) in 1991–5 were collected. Isolates were auxotyped and serotyped, the susceptibility for various antibiotics was tested and plasmid contents were evaluated. Additional data on PPNG infected individuals were collected retrospectively during a micro-epidemic of TRNG/PPNG. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed to identify risk factors for TRNG/PPNG infections.

Results: In 1995 an overall high prevalence of PPNG infection (27%) and TRNG among PPNG infection (24%) was found in the Netherlands. Importantly, PPNG were found to have higher MICs for ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin than non-PPNG; clinically relevant resistance to these antibiotics (or related agents) may emerge first among these strains. The observed diversity of strains (123 auxo/serovar classes since 1988) indicates a continuous introduction of new strains into the community. The epidemic increase of TRNG/PPNG was mainly caused by A/S classes NR/1B-6, PRO/1A-3, and PRO/1A-6, suggesting a clonal spread of a few strains; the rapid spread was associated with transmission in high risk individuals (that is, prostitutes and their clients).

Conclusion: The prevalence of PPNG in the Netherlands remains high and reduced sensitivity to other antimicrobials was detected among the PPNG strains. This underlines the necessity for a continuous national surveillance of resistance in gonococci including limited epidemiological information.

(Sti 1997;73:510–517)
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Introduction
In 1976 the first strains of Neisseria gonorrhoeae were isolated with complete resistance to penicillin through the production of β lactamase.1-3 These strains occurred almost simultaneously in many parts of the world and penicillinase producing N gonorrhoeae (PPNG) have become endemic since then with large geographical variations. Alternative therapy for gonorrhoea became a necessity in many communities.4-10 Penicillin is only recommended if local surveillance resistance patterns indicate that resistance is low (<5%). At present, spectinomycin, cephalexin, and the newer fluoroquinolones remain effective for gonorrhoea therapy.5-11 High level plasmid mediated tetracycline resistant N gonorrhoeae (TRNG) was first observed in the United States in 198512-14 and is now spreading worldwide. Although tetracyclines are not recommended as the sole therapy for gonorrhoea (and would only be effective in a multidose regimen), they are widely used in some developing countries. The use of tetracyclines for treatment of other STDs, such as Chlamydia trachomatis genital tract infection and non-specific urethritis, may have encouraged the selection of tetracycline resistant strains within Europe and the United States.15-17

Antibiotic resistance in gonococci has been monitored in the Netherlands since penicillinase producing strains of N gonorrhoeae were first reported, in 1976. Among the PPNG isolates in the Netherlands in 1985, 12 strains were found with high level resistance to tetracycline.16 All these strains contained the 25-2 megadalton plasmid.17-18 In 1988, an increase was observed of tetracycline resistant strains among PPNG isolates (9%) reaching a peak in 1989 (40%).14 The epidemic increase of TRNG/PPNG was suggested to be related to the introduction and clonal spread of a limited number of resistant strains.14 Little is known about factors that contribute to the introduction of antibiotic resistant gonococci. It has been suggested that individuals who introduce resistant gonococci may differ from the individuals who subsequently become infected and contribute to the establishment of these organisms in the community.19,20

In this report, we evaluate the prevalence and epidemiology of PPNG and TRNG infections in the Netherlands in the period 1977–95, the current status of antibiotic resis-
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Patients and methods

NATIONAL PPNG SURVEILLANCE PROGRAMME Period 1977–90

During the period 1977 to 1990 almost all (90–95%) PPNG isolates were sent to the National Institute of Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) for quantitative susceptibility testing, auxotyping, serotyping, and plasmid characterisation. The prevalence of PPNG was calculated based on the annual inquiries among the participating laboratories on the total number of gonococcal isolates (which more or less agreed with the number of notified cases) and the number of β-lactamase producing strains. The prevalence of TRNG was based on the annual number of gonococcal isolates tested for their susceptibility to tetracycline. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) were determined for clinically relevant antibiotics—penicillin, tetracycline, erythromycin, spectinomycin, ciprofloxacin, and ceftriaxone. The method was as described earlier, using Isosensitest agar supplemented with 5% horse blood and multipoint inoculation.21,22

The nutritional requirements for growth (auxotyping) were determined routinely since the early 1980s using the defined media and method of Hendry and Stewart23 with slight modifications as described previously.24 Serotyping with a panel of 12 monoclonal antibodies to gonococcal outer membrane protein I (Syva Co, CA, USA) was introduced in 1987 using the nomenclature according to Knapp et al.25 Auxotyping alone yields very limited data for the epidemiology of gonorrhoea since the majority of strains belong to two auxotypes—that is, non-requiring (NR) and proline requiring (PRO) strains. However, combinations with serovar patterns enables us to perform highly discriminative gonococcal typing into auxotype-serovar (A/S) classes.26 Within the framework of the National PPNG Surveillance Programme limited epidemiological data were collected. A short form that included information on the patient (sex, age, nationality, sexual orientation, commercial sex, history of gonorrhoea, geographic location of infective contact, date of isolation) and a laboratory identification number, was sent by the laboratory along with the PPNG isolate. Unfortunately, many records were incomplete because the required information was not available at the laboratory.

Period 1991–5

In 1991 the National PPNG Surveillance Programme was modified into a sentinel surveillance: all gonococcal (both PPNG and non-PPNG) isolates from five sentinel laboratories in three large cities, accounting for about 70% of total PPNG in preceding years, were collected for MIC determination and microbiological typing for 1 month quarterly (March, June, September, and December of each year). In 1994 the surveillance programme on resistance in gonococci was changed again: the auxotyping and serotyping of isolates were no longer performed and also the national annual inquiries on the number of (β-lactamase producing) gonococcal strains were discontinued. Hence, from 1994 onwards, the National PPNG Surveillance Programme became restricted to quantitative susceptibility testing of a sample of gonococcal (both PPNG and non-PPNG) isolates from five sentinel laboratories.

MICROEPIDEMIC OF TRNG/PPNG

Additional data collection

PPNG isolates strains from five main laboratories in Amsterdam, Rotterdam, The Hague in 1989 and 1990 were included in this study: auxotyping, serotyping, distribution of MIC for tetracycline. PPNG isolates were defined as TRNG/PPNG if they had a tetracycline MIC of ≥ 16 mg/l. From the routine database characteristics were available as described above. Because of incomplete records, additional epidemiological information was collected retrospectively: the laboratory was asked to reveal the treating physician by linking the laboratory identification number with their own code. Then the physician was asked to fill in a short questionnaire, including the same characteristics on the patient by retrospective case note review. Details of the study design have been reported previously.27

Statistical analysis

To assess determinants of tetracycline resistance, patients infected with TRNG/PPNG were compared with those infected with non-TRNG/PPNG strains. In univariate analyses, associations between variables were examined using χ² and Fisher’s exact tests. Crude odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for the association between TRNG/PPNG infection and statistically significant variables (p < 0.05). Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to adjust for confounding variables with resistance to tetracycline as the outcome variable. All analyses were performed separately for men and women.

Results

NATIONAL PPNG SURVEILLANCE PROGRAMME PPNG and TRNG prevalence

From 1984 onwards, the incidence of notified cases of gonorrhoea dropped dramatically in the Netherlands. Between 1981 and 1988, an almost fivefold decrease in the gonorrhoea incidence rate was observed: from 104 to 23
cases per 100,000 population. Since 1988, the sharp decline leveled off and the incidence was approximately nine per 100,000 in 1994 and 1995. The absolute number of PPGN cases in the Netherlands showed a declining trend as well (fig 1). Between 1982 and 1988 the number of PPGN decreased by 70%, in 1989 and 1990 the number more than doubled and in 1991 it decreased sharply again. Since then the number of PPGN cases remained constant between 300 and 400 cases per year. However, the proportion of PPGN among gonococcal isolates showed an upward trend. PPGN accounted for 11% of total reported cases in 1982, increased to a maximum of 30% in 1990, and then persisted at an endemic level of 20–30% of all cases.

In 1988, among the PPGN isolates, an increase was observed of high level tetracycline resistant strains (TRNG). In 1989 the TRNG epidemic reached a peak with 40% of the PPGN isolates being tetracycline resistant (see fig 1) with large geographical variation between cities. Since then the prevalence of TRNG among PPGN decreased to 8% in 1991 but had increased again in recent years (1995: 24%).

**Auxotype serovar**

During the period 1988 to 1993 a total of 123 auxotype/serovar (A/S) classes was found, with a predominance of NR/IB-1 and NR/IB-19 which were present throughout, in varying percentages (table 1). Specific A/S classes were found for various types of plasmid mediated resistance: NR/IB-6, PRO/IA-3, and PRO/IA-6 among PPGN/TRNG, NR/IB-2 among non-PPNG/non-TRNG, and NR/IB-8 among non-PPNG/TRNG. Transient A/S classes were often found, contributing highly to the distribution in a particular year and disappearing thereafter—that is, NR/IA-3, NR/IA-4, PRO/IB-1, PRO/IB-3 among non-PPNG/TRNG and NR/IB-19 and NR/IB-22 for PPGN/non-TRNG.

**PPNG/TRNG isolates**

Since 1991, all gonococcal isolates from five sentinel laboratories (collected 1 month quarterly) were tested to monitor resistance in gonococci. Overall, 26%-2% of isolates collected in the period 1991 to 1995 (n = 1702) were resistant to penicillin, tetracycline, or both (fig 2). High level resistance to tetracycline has increased between 1991 and 1995, both in PPGN and non-PPNG isolates. The percentage of TRNG among PPGN remains high between 20–25% and the percentage of TRNG among non-PPNG isolates has increased consistently (1995: 15%). Combined resistance TRNG/PPNG is found in 9%-10% of all gonococcal isolates (not in figure)

**Distribution of MICs**

The distribution of ceftriaxone MICs was shifted to the right (that is, decreased susceptibility) for PPGN isolates compared with non-PPNG isolates (fig 3). This was also found for ciprofloxacin, although the difference was less pronounced. Since 1993, three strains were

---

Table 1  Diversity of auxotype/serovar classes (in percentages of annual number of isolates) among PPGN/non-TRNG, PPGN/TRNG, non-PPNG/TRNG and non-PPNG/non-TRNG isolates, National PPGN Surveillance Programme, 1988–93*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>PPGN/non-TRNG</th>
<th>PPGN/TRNG</th>
<th>non-PPNG/non-TRNG</th>
<th>non-PPNG/TRNG</th>
<th>non-PPNG/PRNG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NR/IB-1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/IB-3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/IB-6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/IB-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/IB-19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/IB-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/IA-3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/IA-4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/IA-6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/IA-8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO/IA-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO/IA-6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO/IB-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO/IB-3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO/IB-7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other classes</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1988–90: A/S classes for all PPGN/non-TRNG and PPGN/TRNG isolates obtained from 30 participating laboratories nationwide.
1991–3: A/S classes for PPGN/non-TRNG, PPGN/TRNG, non-PPNG/TRNG, non-PPNG/non-TRNG isolate obtained from five sentinel laboratories (1 month per quarter).
1In 1991–3 the number of PPGN/TRNG isolates was too low to present in table with 8, 11 and 10 isolates with 6, 8, and 6 auxotype/serovar classes, respectively.
found that were not inhibited by 0.03 mg/l ceftriaxone (two non-PPNG (1-1%), one PPNG (1-6%)). On the other hand, 18 strains (six PPNG (1-8%) and 12 non-PPNG (0-9%)) were not inhibited by 0.03 mg/l ciprofloxacin; the highest MIC was 1 mg/l found for one PPNG isolate.

**MICROEPIDEMIC OF TRNG/PPNG**

To investigate the increase of tetracycline resistance 1257 PPNG isolates from five main laboratories, participating in the National PPNG Surveillance Programme, were included in the additional survey. Eighty three per cent (1047/1257) of the questionnaires were returned with epidemiological information on the patients. Together with the routinely collected information, 1185/1257 (94-3%) PPNG isolates were available for analyses—that is, 472 in 1989 and 713 in 1990. In 1989 almost half of PPNG was also TRNG (n = 220; 47%); in 1990 13% (n = 91; p < 0-001). The prevalence of TRNG/PPNG varied in time and region: the prevalence of TRNG/PPNG reached a peak in the second and third quarter of 1989 and the highest prevalence was found in The Hague (>80% in the first and second quarter of 1989).

**Auxotype serovar**

The most prevalent A/S classes among the non-TRNG/PPNG strains (n = 874) were NR/IB-1 (45%) and NR/IB-3 (20%). For the TRNG/PPNG isolates (n = 311), these A/S classes were rarely found; NR/IB-6 (34%), PRO/IA-3 (16%), and PRO/IA-6 (23%) dominated. Otherwise, high level resistance to tetracycline in the latter A/S classes ranged between 81% and 91%. The percentage of combined resistance (TRNG/PPNG) among other common A/S classes was much lower, with a maximum of 15%. There were also marked differences in the temporal distribution of A/S classes among TRNG/PPNG isolates (table 2): NR/IB-6 was highly prevalent in the first 6 months of 1989 and disappeared in 1990 whereas NR/IB-1 and PRO/IA-6 were mainly responsible for TRNG/PPNG in the second half of 1990.

**Demography of patients**

TRNG/PPNG infections were more common in men than in women—that is, 49% of PPNG infections in men in 1989 were also tetracycline resistant compared with 36% of PPNG in women (p = 0-02). In 1990 this difference between both sexes disappeared (men 12%; women 16%). Table 3 shows that the percentage TRNG/PPNG differed per city per year: in 1989 TRNG/PPNG mainly came from The Hague and Rotterdam. In 1989 TRNG/PPNG infections were more often found among patients of Turkish nationality than in 1990. The percentage of patients reporting commercial sex work (CSW) contacts was highest for TRNG/PPNG infections in 1989 but the information was missing for 30% of the patients (men 25%; women 46%). For

---

**Table 2. Temporal distribution of auxotype/serovar classes during a microepidemic of TRNG/PPNG, National PPNG Surveillance Programme, the Netherlands, 1989–90 (in percentages of total number of TRNG per quarter)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1989</th>
<th>1990</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRNG/PPNG per quarter</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/IB-1 (n = 29)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR/IB-6 (n = 108)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO/IA-3 (n = 51)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO/IA-6 (n = 70)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other A/S (n = 82)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
men, commercial sex contacts were reported more often (53%) in the first three quarters of 1989 than in the five consecutive quarters (38%). Turkish men reported more commercial sex contacts (62%) than Dutch men (29%) but no difference was found between TRNG/PPNG and non-TRNG/PPNG (not in table 3).
the Netherlands is similar to that reported in other countries.35-38 Assuming that the core group theory also applies to PPNG,39 the infection is maintained in the community by a small group of high risk individuals. Since its emergence, TRNG has become prevalent in various populations in many countries.40-43 In the United Kingdom in 1988 only eight of 1500 (0.5%) gonococcal isolates were tetracycline resistant;44 in the Netherlands already 9% of all PPNG and 5% of non-PPNG was TRNG.45 Between 1988 and 1995, the TRNG/PPNG in the United Kingdom increased in frequency to (an estimated) 50% of PPNG isolates.46 In 1992, 42 of 378 isolates (11%) were TRNG at a genitourinary medicine clinic in London.15 In France and Denmark the first TRNG infection was found in 198938 39 and in Spain in 1990.47 The French strain resembled the Dutch strain that was highly prevalent during the microepidemic of TRNG/PPNG (NR/IB-6).48 Importation from the Netherlands was therefore suggested. In 1994, 8.2% of the isolates from the national Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project (GISP, US) were TRNG, of which 1.7% were TRNG/PPNG (CDC, unpublished data).

CORRELATES OF TRNG/PPNG INFECTION
The epidemic increase of TRNG/PPNG infections in 1989 could only be investigated with a retrospective case note review. Most probably, the introduction of TRNG/PPNG took place in The Hague in 1988/1989. Surveillance data revealed that in the first half of 1988 29% of PPNG isolates from The Hague were also tetracycline resistant whereas none of the PPNG in Rotterdam or Amsterdam were; for the second half of 1988 these figures were 59%, 25%, and 2%, respectively.46 Because only incomplete information was available on recent travel outside of the Netherlands, it could not be confirmed or be ruled out that importation contributed to the emergence of plasmid mediated resistance to tetracycline. There is strong evidence that commercial sex was a major contributor to the spread and establishment of TRNG/PPNG in the community (especially in The Hague and Rotterdam). Although for women the association could not be studied, there is indirect evidence that commercial sex work played a role—for example, the independent association of TRNG/PPNG with certain ethnic groups. These subgroups, including women from Latin America who work as prostitutes (as window prostitutes in Amsterdam49) are known to contribute in the spread of STD.30 32 47 We therefore conclude that high frequency transmitters, like prostitutes and their clients, played an important role in the spread of TRNG/PPNG in the community.

SEROVAR CLASSES
The microbiological typing scheme has proved to be a useful tool in studying epidemic increases.5 19 48 The serogroup IB was most common among the Dutch isolates, with the A/S classes NR/IB-1 and NR/IB-3 persisting throughout. The distribution of A/S classes among PPNG is quite different from that in United Kingdom (London hospital) isolates.49 In these latter isolates the serotypes IA-1/2, IA-4, IA-6, and IB-5/7 account for almost half of the PPNG isolates45 and were relatively uncommon in our isolates. The temporal distribution of the A/S classes show a heterogeneous mixture, in which certain A/S classes disappear, and re-emerge as was also demonstrated by others.30 49 The diversity in strains suggests a continuous (re-) introduction of new (resistant) strains.

The epidemic increase of TRNG/PPNG in the Netherlands was mainly caused by NR/IB-6, PRO/IA-3, and PRO/IA-6, suggesting a clonal spread of a few strains. Unfortunately, genotyping data are not available to support or oppose this theory. Further spread of tetracycline resistance, due to the instability of the 25.2 MDa plasmid, which facilitates its own transfer to other (sensitive) organisms, was anticipated.17 50 In fact, in several countries other A/S classes were observed among TRNG that were uncommon among our isolates: NR/IB-2 accounted for 83% of the TRNG isolates in London in 1992; isolates from the United States in 1985 comprised at least 19 A/S classes, of which PRO/IB-1 dominated (54%);51 Canadian TRNG isolates in 1986–9 expressed PRO/IB-1 (33%), PRO/IB-2 (27%), Ornithine/IA-1-2 (15%).10

ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE
Various factors are involved with the emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance in gonococci. Resistance was often associated with the importation of new strains and further spread and establishment in the community through transmission in high risk individuals.19 20 31 33 36 39 40 48 51 52 There is evidence that these factors may be of major importance for the introduction and early spread of infection but are less important for spread at an endemic level29 33 although it has been suggested that prostitution remains important for further spread of resistance.30 Treatment regimens for gonorrhoea are important as antimicrobials may favour certain resistant strains through selective pressure13 52; also there is evidence that non-compliance and self administered antibiotic treatment may facilitate the development of resistance.19 20 53 55 Tetracycline has not been used as a sole therapy for gonorrhoea in the Netherlands, hence no selective pressure could be expected. However, the treatment of common coinfections with C trachomatis (with tetracycline) could have affected the spread of TRNG.

Antimicrobial resistance shows wide geographical variation. Surveillance data from local, national, and international levels are needed to guide the clinician in the choice of treatment; therapy is often initiated on basis of clinical presentation without prior knowledge of the antimicrobial sensitivity of N gonorrhoeae in the individual. At present, no resistance to currently used antibiotics was observed in the Netherlands except for one
strain resistant for ciprofloxacin. Importantly, our surveillance data show that PPNG strains are less sensitive to other clinically relevant antibiotics than non-PPNG; this trend was also reported by the GISP study group.\textsuperscript{58} Resistance to fluoroquinolones or cephalsporins can be expected to develop and could emerge first on these strains.\textsuperscript{59} Until 1992, most isolates tested in GISP have been susceptible for ciprofloxacin.\textsuperscript{60} In 1992 and 1993, decreased susceptibilities (MICs $\geq 0.125$ mg/l) were detected.\textsuperscript{58,59} Recently, several reports have been published on clinically important resistance to fluoroquinolones in South East Asia, the United States,\textsuperscript{59,61} and Europe,\textsuperscript{62-66} on reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones in the United Kingdom, United States, Canada, Australia, and South East Asia\textsuperscript{57-72} and on resistance to spectinomycin in Korea.\textsuperscript{65} Also reduced sensitivity to cephalsporins was reported.\textsuperscript{67-74} Presumably, it is not a question of whether they will become resistant but when they will become resistant. To limit selection pressure for the development of microbial resistance to third generation cephalsporins, the use of oral cephalsporins (which will inevitably support self medication) should be discouraged.

**Conclusion**

Because of the continuing threat of developing resistance and the instability of microbiological characteristics of gonococci a continuous national surveillance is necessary. A programme should include:

- limited information on gonorrhoea patients to obtain an insight into the determinants for infection with resistant gonococci. The survey on TRNG/PPNG has shown that background information is needed to indicate the potential source and to identify the groups at risk. Control measures should include rapid detection, information on possible source of infection, recent travel, increased awareness, and appropriate therapy for specific groups at risk.

- controlling of PPNG remains important because of reduced sensitivity for other antimicrobials: rapid treatment, contact tracing, specific prevention programmes for high risk individuals.

- monitoring resistance to cephalsporins and fluoroquinolones: strains with decreased susceptibility were detected in the Netherlands; there is a threat of importation and subsequent further spread from other countries (Japan, South East Asia) where the prevalence of resistance is high and rapidly increasing.

- preventing further spread of strains with reduced susceptibility to these antibiotics; therefore, both local and national level surveillance is needed (establish treatment guidelines based on resistance patterns).
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