HIV infection among homosexual/bisexual males attending genitourinary clinics in Scotland
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Background: Since 1991, unlinked anonymous HIV testing of homosexual/bisexual males attending genitourinary clinics in Edinburgh and Glasgow has been conducted and resulting prevalence data have been published annually. More detailed information which provides an understanding of what proportion of HIV infected men attending genitourinary clinics in central Scotland (i) remain undetected, (ii) acquire sexually transmitted infections following HIV diagnosis, and (iii) possibly become HIV infected either abroad or following sex with someone from abroad, is reported by the authors.


Results: Of 3468 specimens tested, 165 (4.8%) were HIV positive. Thirty five per cent (57) of all HIV positive specimens were from men whose infection remained undetected following clinic attendance. Of the 80 attenders who knew themselves to be HIV positive before their clinic visit, 13 had clinical and/or laboratory evidence of a sexually transmitted infection. Men who had a sexual risk associated with America or who were American, had a 2.4-fold greater risk of being HIV infected than those with United Kingdom only connections.

Conclusion: Increased efforts should be made to ensure that HIV infected men are diagnosed early after infection and do not engage in high risk sexual behaviour, and that all homosexual men are educated about the particular risks of acquiring HIV infection abroad. More effective interventions to prevent indigenous HIV transmissions need to be developed.
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Introduction
Since Robertson et al’s dramatic finding that 51% of injecting drug users in Edinburgh were HIV antibody positive in 1985, Scotland has been well known for its HIV problem in this risk group. However, few injectors in Scotland have become infected since 1990; since 1993 fewer than 30 cases have been diagnosed annually throughout the country and most of these are older injectors who probably acquired their infection in the 1980s.

In England, homosexual/bisexual males have always been the population group most at risk of acquiring HIV. This is now the case in Scotland where, annually since 1990, more diagnoses have been made in men who have sex with men than any other group. In this report, data from unlinked anonymous seroprevalence surveys of homosexual/bisexual males attending genitourinary clinics in Edinburgh and Glasgow during 1991–5 are examined to determine (i) what proportion of infected men remain undetected, (ii) if men already known to be infected are continuing to engage in unprotected sexual intercourse, and (iii) if, and to what extent, men are likely to be acquiring their HIV infection either outside the United Kingdom or in the United Kingdom but from someone else who was infected abroad.

Patients and methods
Since late 1990, unlinked anonymous HIV testing has been carried out on specimens from attenders of genitourinary clinics in Glasgow (Royal Infirmary and Southern General Hospitals) and Edinburgh (Royal Infirmary); these clinics serve 98% and 100% of their respective city populations though unknown numbers of people with problems relating to sexually transmitted infections present to their general practitioner or do not seek medical attention. Homosexual/bisexual male attenders of genitourinary clinics are therefore not representative of the homosexual population at large, nor of homosexual men who are at risk of sexually transmitted infection; while this group is self selected, the method of unlinked anonymous HIV testing ensures that participation bias is negligible.

All attenders presenting with a new risk for sexually transmitted disease are tested routinely for syphilis serology. Any leftover serum is anonymised and tested for HIV-1 antibodies if attenders have presented for the first time in a calendar quarter and if they have not objected; literature explaining that unlinked anonymous HIV testing is being conducted is readily available in each of the clinic settings. The anonymous testing procedure ensures that it is impossible to inform someone of their HIV test result. However, limited non-identifying epidemiological data are recorded on a pro forma which bears two identical coded numbers. One is printed on an adhesive label which is applied to the patient’s blood specimen so that the test result can be matched thereafter with the corresponding epidemiological details. These include sexual orientation, sex,
diagnosis relating to the clinic attendance (for example, gonorrhoea, chlamydia), year of specimen, and limited geographical characteristics which apply to lifetime HIV risk; information is collected on location(s) of risk, nationality of sexual contact(s), and nationality of patient by United Kingdom, Europe, Africa, Asia, Americas, and Oceania categories. Data are also collected on whether the patient was aware of being HIV infected before the clinic episode and, if not, whether a diagnosis of HIV was made during the episode.

Questions concerning sexual orientation, geographical characteristics, and HIV status were asked by the attending physician as part of the routine case history taking. While false or non-declaration of behavioural information is possible, the authors consider the data to be generally reliable. All those included had been prepared to reveal their sexual orientation as homosexual/bisexual males, the knowledge of their geographical characteristics, and HIV status accurately is likely in some instances. However, it should be recognised that the unlinked anonymous HIV testing process should never interfere with the amount or types of data which are collected routinely in the course of the clinical consultation or with the manner in which the information is normally obtained.

Serum was tested using an HIV-1,2 enzyme immunoassay (Wellcome HIV 1 and 2 Murex Diagnostics) in Edinburgh and a modified HIV particle agglutination test (Fujirebio) in Glasgow; in Edinburgh, reactive were confirmed by a second ELISA (Clone Systems Detect HIV 1 and 2) and by western blot (ANCOS HIV 1 and 2) while in Glasgow reactive were confirmed by western blot (Cambridge Biotech, Ortho). Comprehensive details about the process of anonymising specimens, testing them for HIV, and ascribing limited risk factor information to test results have been described previously.

The analysis is confined to non-injecting homosexual/bisexual males, their knowledge of their HIV status, and their geographical risk characteristics which are classified into three groups in hierarchical order—(i) America, (ii) other, and (iii) United Kingdom. Thus individuals declaring any nationality or location connections with America were grouped as “America,” those with any European, African, Asian, or Oceanian connections were categorised as “other”, and those with United Kingdom associations only were grouped as “United Kingdom”.

### Results

During 1991–5, 3468 specimens from homosexual/bisexual males who attended Glasgow and Edinburgh genitourinary clinics were tested, of which 165 (4.8%) were HIV positive; 21 (0.6%) refused to be tested. A decrease in prevalence was observed from 5.8% in the early 1990s to 3.7% in 1995 (table 1). The decline was particularly pronounced in Edinburgh where prevalence decreased from 6.4% to 3.1% over the 5 year period. Of the 165 HIV positive specimens, 80 (48%) were from men who knew themselves to be infected before the clinic visit, 28 (17%) were from those diagnosed non-declor 37 (22%) others. The proportion of positives originating from males whose infection status remained undetected following clinic attendance (table 1). These proportions did not vary significantly over time or between cities. The 80 attenders who knew themselves to be HIV positive before the clinic visit underwent syphilis serology testing because they had engaged in sexual activity which had placed them at risk of acquiring a sexually transmitted infection; four of these were diagnosed as having a first occurrence of genital warts, four had gonorrhoea, three had a first occurrence of herpes, and two had non-specific urethritis.

While approximately one quarter of the 3468 specimens tested during 1991–5 were from men who had a geographical connection outside the United Kingdom, a much higher proportion (40%) of the positives originated from this group (table 2). Those who had experienced risk in America or with men of American nationality, or who were American nationals, had a 2.4-fold greater risk of being HIV infected than those with United Kingdom only connections; of the 26 positives with associations with America only four were American nationals. Men with “other” connections, mostly European, were less likely to be infected than the America population but were still at significantly greater risk than the United Kingdom only group. The population attributable risks for HIV infection conveyed by (i) an American, and (ii) a non-United Kingdom association were 17.5% and 26.1% respectively. The geographical gradation of risk generally applies throughout the 5 year period though

### Table 1 Awareness of HIV infection by year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No of tests</th>
<th>Awareness before consultation</th>
<th>Diagnosed during consultation</th>
<th>Undetected at end of consultation</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>No (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>46 (5.8)</td>
<td>24 (52)</td>
<td>6 (13)</td>
<td>16 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>41 (5.8)</td>
<td>22 (54)</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td>13 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>32 (4.3)</td>
<td>12 (38)</td>
<td>7 (22)</td>
<td>13 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>26 (3.6)</td>
<td>14 (54)</td>
<td>5 (19)</td>
<td>7 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>20 (3.7)</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3468</td>
<td>165 (4.8)</td>
<td>80 (48)</td>
<td>28 (17)</td>
<td>57 (35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 HIV infection hazard by geographical exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>America</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis is confined to non-injecting homosexual/bisexual males, their knowledge of their HIV status, and their geographical risk
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there was a decrease in prevalence between 1991 and 1995 for each geographical group; 45% reductions were seen in the United Kingdom and American populations and a 27% decrease was observed in the "other" category. Thus, the overall decline in prevalence from 5.8% in 1991 to 3.7% in 1995 is a product of decreases in prevalence among all homosexual/bisexual males attending genitourinary clinics in Scotland regardless of where and with whom they had sexual relations.

Discussion
The decrease in HIV prevalence among homosexual/bisexual men attending genitourinary clinics in central Scotland during 1991–5 is encouraging and is of the same magnitude as that seen among attenders of clinics in England and Wales outside the south east over the same period. In London and the south east, the only part of the United Kingdom where prevalence appears to differ considerably, a decrease from 22% in 1990 to 10% in 1995 was seen. However, in this region, the transfer of diagnosed HIV seropositive men from clinics involved in the survey to special HIV clinics is thought to have accounted for much of the apparent decline in prevalence; this is not the case in central Scotland where the decline in prevalence probably reflected a decrease in incidence.

Nevertheless, transmissions among men who have sex with men are still occurring. During 1991–4, 22 cases in Glasgow and Edinburgh were diagnosed among men who had had a negative HIV test within the previous 24 months. Also it is apparent from this study that some men who knew themselves to be HIV infected were still practising unprotected sexual intercourse and some of these were contracting sexually transmitted infections. In England similar findings among males known to be HIV infected have been reported recently as having a strong association between the two. In central Scotland there are a number of organisations which have helped the great majority of men diagnosed with HIV to cope with their condition and to practise safe sex. While much has been achieved, unprotected anal intercourse is not uncommon; accordingly, interventions designed to modify behaviour need to be maintained and further developed.

Just over one third of infections among homosexual/bisexual males in Glasgow and Edinburgh remained undetected at the end of the clinical episode though it is possible that the occasional infected male chose not to declare his status. When compared with data on homosexual/bisexual males from England and Wales for 1994–5, this proportion is very similar. However, it is much less than that for infected pregnant women in London (84%) and much greater than that for injecting drug users throughout the United Kingdom (10–15%). It is estimated that approximately 2000 infected homosexual/bisexual males remain undetected in Scotland. Furthermore, many of those who are diagnosed are being detected at a late stage of their disease; nearly half of those identified in 1994 had a first CD4 count of less than 200 cells per mm² in that year. In England and Wales it is estimated that between 500 and 1000 homosexual/bisexual males are infected annually. Many men have taken the reasonable decision to adopt safer sex practices but have not had an HIV test since the benefits were perceived to be minimal and the disadvantages considerable. However, given the evidence to support the early use of combination antiretroviral therapy, more proactive approaches to HIV testing have become desirable. Therapy which is tailored to reduce circulating levels of virus to almost undetectable levels may improve survival considerably. It may also have a public health benefit. If reducing the viral load in pregnant women lessens the chances of vertical transmission, the same is likely to apply to infection acquired through the sexual route. Thus, administering therapy to as many viraemic men as possible should, in theory, minimise the size of the infectious population.

Additionally, the measure which probably has the greatest impact in preventing the sexual transmission of HIV is the education of known HIV infected men and their partners about the importance of practising safe sex. Accordingly, greater effort should be expended in encouraging homosexual/bisexual males at risk to undergo HIV testing. If these efforts proved successful, the numbers of undetected infections as monitored by the unlinked anonymous testing programme would decrease to extremely low levels.

While the majority of infected cases had no connections with abroad, men who had sex with men, either of American nationality and/or in America, were at considerably greater risk of being HIV infected than those who had United Kingdom associations only. Because of the limited amount of information that can be collected in an unlinked anonymous survey lest an individual might be identified indirectly, America includes north, central, and south. However, for virtually all the attenders with American connections, genitourinary clinic staff have confirmed that America is generally synonymous with the United States. In the United States, unlinked anonymous surveys of genitourinary clinic attenders have been conducted since 1987. In all, 120 clinics throughout the United States participate in the programme and during 1991–2 the median seroprevalence among homosexual/bisexual males was 27.3%. While this was 5.7% less than the prevalence recorded during 1989–90 it is still extremely high and is in keeping with the greater risk conveyed to United Kingdom nationals who have homosexual contact with men from the United States.

In conclusion, the findings of this study on HIV infection among homosexual/bisexual males in Scotland indicate clearly that there are still a number of major challenges for those involved in the preventive work. Increased efforts should be made to ensure that HIV infected individuals are detected as soon after acquiring
their infection as possible, (ii) to prevent people known to be infected from engaging in unprotected sexual intercourse, (iii) to design appropriate sexual health promotion campaigns for homosexual/bisexual men who travel abroad, and (iv) to develop more effective interventions to prevent indigenous HIV transmission which still accounts for the majority of infections in Scotland.
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