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**Objectives:** To determine the prevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in a patient population presenting for legal termination of pregnancy by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and ligase chain reaction (LCR), from first catch urine and self administered tampons, and comparing with the traditionally collected endocervical swab tested by both PCR and culture.

**Methods:** Consecutive women attending for legal termination of pregnancy were screened for chlamydia by patient collected first catch urine and tampon, and physician collected endocervical swab.

**Results:** Of 1175 patients with complete samples, there were 33 (2.8%) in whom chlamydia was detected by two or more assays from one or more sample site. Chlamydia was detected equally well by both PCR and LCR in first catch urine (p = 0.25), tampon (p = 0.5), and endocervical swab (p = 0.5). However, both PCR and LCR were significantly better than culture of an endocervical swab (p = 0.0005) for detection of *C trachomatis*.

**Conclusion:** The prevalence of chlamydia in patients presenting for termination of pregnancy was 2.8%. A simple efficient way of performing screening for chlamydia for women presenting for termination of pregnancy is by first catch urine or tampon, which can be tested by the highly sensitive amplification assays, PCR or LCR.
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**Introduction**

Women with *Chlamydia trachomatis* genital infection are at a significant risk of post-abortal sepsis. Furthermore, studies have shown that screening and treatment for *C trachomatis* near the time of the termination, is associated with a decreased risk of pelvic sepsis. Moreover, it is a recommendation by both the Centres for Disease Control (USA) and the National Venereology Committee of Australia, that women presenting for elective abortion be screened for chlamydia.

While diagnosis of chlamydia has traditionally been by culture, rapid antigen tests, or DNA probes, recently the more sensitive nucleic acid amplification assays such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and ligase chain reaction (LCR) have been utilised. Detection of *C trachomatis* in women by conventional tests has traditionally required vaginal examinations to collect endocervical cells while for males, urethral swabs are necessary. However, with the increased sensitivity of the amplification tests, collection of clinical samples by non-invasive, patient administered measures have been evaluated and shown to perform at least as well. In particular, detection of chlamydia by LCR or PCR in first catch urine in both females and males has been reported to have a high sensitivity and specificity. Furthermore, we have shown that self administered tampon specimens from women for subsequent PCR detection of *C trachomatis*, *Trichomonas vaginalis*, and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* have a significantly greater sensitivity than conventional collection and testing procedures.

In this study detection of *C trachomatis* by PCR and LCR was evaluated in women presenting for termination of pregnancy, by analysing various patient collected samples and comparing the results with endocervical specimens.

**Methods**

**Patient Population**

The study population comprised 1245 consecutive patients who attended the pregnancy advisory service, Royal Women’s Hospital, Melbourne, Australia over a 12 month period from August 1996 to July 1997, for legal termination of pregnancy. All patients recruited gave informed consent; of those approached only two refused to participate.

**Specimen Collection**

Patients were initially asked to collect approximately 10 ml of a first catch urine into a standard sterile urine collection container, followed by a tampon specimen (self inserted and immediately withdrawn) which was placed into a sterile specimen jar containing transport medium (0.14 M NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 10 mM HPO₄₂⁻, 2 mM KH₂PO₄). Patients were then assessed by a clinician who performed a pelvic examination including collection of two endocervical samples per patient (that is, for culture and LCR). The ectocervix was first cleaned of any excess mucus using a large cotton swab. The order of the two swabs was randomised throughout the study. Endocervical
swabs collected for LCR were transported in medium provided by Abbott Diagnostics (Abbott Park, IL, USA). For tissue culture isolation, cotton tipped ENT swabs (Medical Wire and Equipment Company, Wilt’s) were rotated within the endocervical canal, withdrawn, and placed into SPG transport medium (218 mM sucrose, 3.8 mM KH₂PO₄, 7.2 mM K₂HPO₄, 4.9 mM l-glutamate, 150 mg/ml vancomycin, 10 mg/ml amphotericin B, 20 mg/ml gentamicin, pH 7) and transported to the laboratory on ice within 14 hours of collection. Specimens were either cultured immediately or frozen at −70°C until processed. For detection by PCR, an aliquot of the sample collected for culture was utilised.

TESTING

Urine was processed by first collecting 1 ml for LCR then processed as described by the manufacturer, while 10 ml of urine was pelleted for PCR, from which DNA was extracted utilizing Qaigen Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Tampon specimens were processed as previously described. For PCR of endocervical swabs, an aliquot of 100 µl from each culture had DNA extracted by the Qiagen system followed by PCR testing. All first catch urine, tampon samples, and endocervical swabs had PCR and LCR performed for detection of C. trachomatis. In addition, the endocervical swabs had standard culture detection for C. trachomatis. The PCR method was directed against the major outer membrane protein (MOMP) of C. trachomatis and based on the method of Holland et al., and details are as previously described. In addition to PCR amplification for C. trachomatis, all specimens were also amplified for a 260 bp region of human β globin gene as an internal positive control for each specimen, to ensure that sufficient amplifiable DNA was present in the sample. Specimen contamination and carryover were prevented by using barriered tips, aliquoting of reagents and performing the various stages of PCR in different rooms. All LCR assays were performed as directed by the manufacturer (Abbott Diagnostics).

All endocervical swabs were cultured into cycloheximide treated HeLa 229 cells in 48 well multiwell trays. Specimens were stained with fluorescein conjugated antichlamydial monoclonal antibody (“Pathfinder” Kallestad, Chaska, MN, USA) and viewed under fluorescent microscopy on an inverted fluorescent microscope (Leitz, Sydney, Australia) as previously described.

All assays on clinical samples were performed blinded to the results of one another. A patient was considered positive for C. trachomatis if the endocervical sample was positive by culture and/or at least one of first catch urine, tampon, or endocervical sample was positive by PCR and LCR.

In order to resolve discrepant results from patients where only one test type or sample type was positive, specimens were subjected to additional testing. For samples negative by culture and positive by PCR or LCR, direct immunofluorescence assay (DFA) was performed on the centrifuged deposit of the culture sample, when sufficient samples were available.

Patients positive for C. trachomatis were randomised to receive azithromycin 1 g orally immediately or doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for 10 days. All patients were invited for follow up (with repeat samples as above) with their sexual partners, 2–4 weeks after the procedure.

STATISTICS

Paired categorical variables were analysed by McNemar Q test using a PROPHET software package (National Institute of Health, USA).

Results

Of the 1245 patients from whom specimens were collected, 70 had an incomplete set of specimens (63 no endocervical swab, six no urine, four no tampon). Of these, four patients were positive for C. trachomatis in two or more sites or assays. These four patients had no endocervical swab collected, but had a first catch urine and tampon, of which all were positive by PCR and LCR and therefore deemed positive for C. trachomatis and treated accordingly.

Of the 1175 patients with a complete set of samples, 36 patients were positive for C. trachomatis on at least one test. Thirty three patients were considered true positives, resulting in an overall prevalence of 2.8%. The breakdown of the 33 positive patients is shown in table 1. Of the 15 patients with endocervical swabs positive by culture, all their other specimens (first catch urine, tampon, endocervical swab) were also positive by PCR and LCR (except one urine negative by LCR). Ten of the patients with negative endocervical swabs by culture were positive in all other samples, by all other methods. One patient inconclusive on culture was positive by all other tests, from all other samples (the DFA on the culture deposit also had a low number of elementary bodies). A further three patients who were culture negative were also β globin negative and negative for C. trachomatis on PCR testing; two of these were also LCR negative on the endocervical swab, although all three were positive by PCR and LCR of the first catch urine and tampon. Further one patient negative by culture, negative PCR endocervical swab (but LCR positive endocervical swab), was positive by both PCR and LCR for both first catch urine and tampon samples.

There were six patients in whom only one site or test was positive (two were positive by both PCR and LCR on first catch urine, while one other patient was endocervical swab positive by PCR and LCR and all three there-
Table 2 Comparison of PCR and LCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCR</th>
<th>PCR</th>
<th>Tampon</th>
<th>Endocervical swab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Comparison of culture for endocervical sample to LCR and PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCR</th>
<th>PCR</th>
<th>Tampon</th>
<th>Endocervical swab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The prevalence of C. trachomatis in women presenting for termination of pregnancy in a teaching hospital in Melbourne, Australia, was calculated at 2.8%. This is lower than the previously determined rate of 4.9% at the same hospital in 1986 and 2% in 1990 (S Garland unpublished observation), both of which were made by culture and for the same hospital population. This decreased prevalence of chlamydia is consistent with that for other female populations screened in Melbourne, and has been noted since the safe sex campaign of the late 1980s. At the Melbourne Sexual Health Centre the chlamydia detection rate in women for 1997 was 1.3% (D Jacobs, personal communication), whereas in 1982 it was 14.6%, 1983 9.1%, 1989 2.7% as determined by culture. As most C. trachomatis infection is asymptomatic there is a need to screen at risk patients to reduce the morbidity from infection, as well as to decrease the incidence and prevalence of this pathogen in the population at large. Screening and treatment are particularly important for those women at risk of upper genital tract complication, such as those who are infected and undergo a genital tract surgical procedure—for example, termination of pregnancy. Yet traditional screening procedures for detection of asymptomatically infected patients has necessitated a speculum examination to collect the infected cells of columnar epithelium from the endocervix of which C. trachomatis has tropism. Furthermore, using culture techniques it has been shown that 5–30% of infected women have only urethral colonisation, while only 50–60% have both endocervical and urethral infection. The new amplification assays, however, with the advantage of increased sensitivity and less invasive collection of clinical samples, have markedly simplified this approach. In the present study patient collected tampon samples or a first voided urine were shown to be equally suitable for detection of C. trachomatis by PCR or LCR, compared with a physician collected endocervical swab using the same detection technology. We have also previously shown a high patient compliance and acceptability of the tampon for sample collection in remote populations where lack of sophisticated services and transport delays in delivery of samples resulted in a detection rate by PCR to be almost double that for conventional methods for chlamydia diagnosis. Columnar cells are the cell of chlamydia tropism and infection, and we hypothesis that PCR or LCR applied to tampon and/or first catch urine samples detect shed and/or flushed cells infected with chlamydia. This is further supported by the findings of Thomas et al. who assessed and found vaginal swabs collected for testing by LCR to be as sensitive for detection of C. trachomatis for women also positive in endocervical and urine samples.

Even with the added sensitivity of amplification assays to detect more infections, the quality of the specimen collected is still key to obtaining optimum diagnostic performance. We earlier found, in comparing tampons with endocervical scrapes for HPV DNA detection, that much more cellular DNA was obtained by the former. Furthermore, in comparing endocervical swab samples for culture compared with PCR detection for C. trachomatis, we found that 6% of swab samples were negative for β globin, a marker of poorly collected...
specimens. This is further supported by Welsh et al who recently reported a study where they examined the quality of the cellular component of endocervical samples collected for C trachomatis PCR detection, by also applying direct fluorescence; only 64% of specimens were considered adequate. They too found that the cellular quality of the sample significantly affected the ability to detect chlamydia. Therefore, even utilising technology with increased sensitivity, training of staff and patients in techniques of appropriate specimen collection is critical. For amplification assays such as PCR, the inclusion of an internal control such as β-globin ensures adequate sample collection.

We recommend screening of C trachomatis in all women presenting for termination of pregnancy. A patient collected tampon or first voided urine for detection by PCR/LCR is appropriate and has high patient compliance.
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