Recent declines in reported syphilis rates in eastern Europe and central Asia: are the epidemics over?

G Riedner, K L Dehne, A Gromyko

**Background:** Since the early 1990s, major syphilis epidemics have occurred in the Newly Independent States (NIS) of the former Soviet Union. The new and rapidly changing societal and economic conditions in these countries challenge their traditional approaches to the control of sexually transmitted infections (STI). Nevertheless, following a steady increase until 1997, reported syphilis incidence has declined during the past 3 years in most parts of the region. We examine these trends against a background of ongoing changes in service delivery, care seeking behaviour, and case finding practices.

**Methods:** National syphilis surveillance data reported to the WHO Regional Office for Europe were compiled and analysed, and supplemented with information presented at recent expert meetings and with results from ongoing research.

**Results:** Since 1997, reported syphilis incidence either stabilised or declined in many locations in the NIS, but further increased in others, especially in rural areas. Congenital syphilis continued to increase in all countries, except Latvia. The proportion of self presenting cases versus cases presenting to doctors increased through screening declined, and so did notifications of early compared with late forms of syphilis. Patients increasingly seek care in the private formal and informal healthcare sectors which hardly participate in case reporting.

**Conclusions:** Recent declines in syphilis notifications in the NIS are at least partially a reflection of a reduced intensity of active case finding and of changes in reporting completeness because of a shift in service utilisation from the public to the private/informal sectors. Syphilis rates are still high, indicating that both public and private sectors have to respond more efficiently to the needs of many people at risk of STI. The collection of serial STI prevalence data is recommended to be able to validate trends in notifications.
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**Introduction**
Since the collapse of the Soviet Union, poverty, unemployment, migration, and changes in values and sexual mores put large populations at risk of sexually transmitted infections (STI). The economic decline led to the deterioration of public health services, including those for STI.1–4 Between 1990 and 1997 up to 175-fold increases of syphilis notifications had occurred in eastern European and central Asian countries.1 Young and jobless people are most heavily affected3 with, for instance, incidence among 18–19 year old Russian girls reaching 1.2% in 1996.5 Syphilis notifications started to decline in 1997 throughout the Newly Independent States (NIS). This report reviews those trends in the context of recent changes in service delivery and utilisation.

**Data sources**
STI surveillance data in the NIS are the result of mandatory and universal notifications of newly identified cases by diagnosing physicians. Data from state clinics are regarded as complete, while the growing unofficial private sector in many countries does not participate in case reporting. Syphilis notifications combine self reporting cases and cases that are detected through screening and contact tracing. This report draws data from various sources, including official reports from ministries to the World Health Organization and presentations made by local experts at several meetings organised by the WHO between 1998 and 1999.

**Syphilis trends during the 1990s**
Reported syphilis incidence increased in the majority of NIS throughout the early 1990s, peaked in 1996 or 1997 and declined thereafter (table 1).

**GEOGRAPHICAL VARIATIONS**
The extent of the increase in reported cases in the NIS between 1992 and 1996–7 varied between threefold (in Armenia) to 175-fold (in Kazakhstan). In terms of annual incidence per 100 000 population in 1996 or 1997, Russia, Kazakhstan, Belarus, Moldova, Kyrgyzstan, and Ukraine were the worst affected, followed by the Baltic States and the Caucasus (table 1).

Within countries there are also enormous variations. For instance, Russia reports syphilis incidence ranging from below 45 per 100 000 in Checota to above 1500 in the remote Tuva Region in 1998.6 In Kazakhstan incidence ranged from 110 per 100 000 in south Kazakhstan to 380 per 100 000 in north Kazakhstan.8 While rates have decreased in larger cities since 1996–7, they continued to increase in some rural areas.9
TRENDS IN THE PROPORTIONS OF ACTIVELY DETECTED SYPHILIS CASES VERSUS SELF PRESENTING CASES

Proportions and absolute numbers of symptomatic, self reporting cases decreased in favour of latent cases that are detected through screening. In Russia, for instance, the proportion of symptomatic patients (among all notified cases), who present themselves to state clinics dropped from 41.5% in 1995 to 32.8% in 1997 and in Kyrgyzstan from 33% in 1995 to 20% in 1998.

At the same time, “contact ratios”, the number of contacts per index case that are traced and treated declined in several countries. For example in Russia, the contact ratio fell from 1.7 in 1989 to 0.9 in 1998 and in Kazakstan from 3.4 in 1991 to 1.7 in 1997. Russian government officials estimated that about 23 000 contacts had not been traced and treated in 1998 in the public health sector.

CONGENITAL SYPHILIS

Congenital syphilis used to be very rare during the 1980s, throughout the region. Since 1990 rising numbers of newborns with congenital syphilis have been reported from most eastern European and central Asian countries. In Russia the number of congenital syphilis cases almost doubled each year from 1992 to 1997, reaching 714 in 1997, which is equivalent to an incidence of 5.6 per 10 000 newborns. In some regions, the incidence surpassed 20 per 10 000 newborns, being highest in Tuva Region with 41.8 per 10 000 newborns.

Neighbouring countries—for example, Kazakhstan and Ukraine, also report high incidences of 13 and 1.9 per 10 000 newborns respectively (table 2).

SYphilis among pregnant women

Syphilis screening for pregnant women is usually provided free of charge in antenatal care services and in Russia and Belarus approximately 95% of pregnant women make use of those services. Many of those at high risk of STI, teenagers, and those belonging to marginalised populations including the homeless, do not attend, however. In Russia, for instance, 50% of teenage mothers did not attend for antenatal care in 1997.

Other countries, like Georgia, recently faced problems with maintaining routine syphilis screening during pregnancy owing to shortages of test reagents. Prevalence data on syphilis among pregnant women are not routinely compiled in the region and therefore few data are available. They show, for example, an exponential increase from 0.02 in 1991 to 0.75 in 1997 in Moscow and from 0.004% in 1991 to 0.8% in 1996 nationwide in Kyrgyzstan.

In 1998, in some regions of Kazakstan, up to 1.6% of pregnant women had syphilis.

Discussion

The occurrence of the syphilis epidemics in the transitional societies of the NIS has challenged the traditional approach to STI control inherited from the Soviet Union, which was based on such infectious disease control principles as the early active detection of cases and their hospitalisation until cure. It was implemented through a vertical network of dermatovenerology services, which provided diagnosis and

Source: WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen.

Trends in the proportions of actively detected syphilis cases versus self presenting cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>148.9</td>
<td>209.7</td>
<td>199.1</td>
<td>164.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>75.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakstan</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>122.9</td>
<td>238.7</td>
<td>244.7</td>
<td>231.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyrgyzstan</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>152.8</td>
<td>167.8</td>
<td>144.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>124.9</td>
<td>121.8</td>
<td>105.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>101.4</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>62.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>118.1</td>
<td>174.5</td>
<td>201.2</td>
<td>187.6</td>
<td>150.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>177.0</td>
<td>264.6</td>
<td>277.3</td>
<td>225.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tajikistan</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>150.9</td>
<td>147.1</td>
<td>138.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen.

Table 1 Notification rates of newly diagnosed syphilis cases in selected eastern European and central Asian countries 1990–9 (rates per 100 000)

Table 2 Notifications of new congenital syphilis cases in selected newly independent states from 1991 to 1998
Recent declines in reported syphilis rates in eastern Europe and central Asia

Recent declines in reported syphilis rates in eastern Europe and central Asia
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