Detection of Neisseria gonorrhoeae by PCR using orf1 gene as target

Nucleic acid amplification tests have the ability to specifically amplify small quantities of DNA and hence have been used successfully in the diagnosis of STDs. An in-house polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method was developed and evaluated for the detection of Neisseria gonorrhoeae DNA in the urogenital specimens collected (with consent) from patients visiting an STD clinic in India. The primers (forward primer 5'-CAACTATCCCGGATGCTGA-3' and reverse primer 5'-GTCAACACAGCTGGCGGTAC-3') amplify the 221–480 bp region of orf1 gene. Clinical isolates (n = 40) of N gonorrhoeae were recovered from urethral or cervical swabs by inoculation onto modified Thayer-Martin medium and identified by Gram stain, colony morphology, positive oxidase, and rapid carbohydrate utilisation test. For PCR the clinical samples (n = 489) were centrifuged (30 minutes, 14 000 g) and the cell pellet was lysed with 50 mM TRIS-HCl (pH 7.5) 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, 250 µg of protease K per ml at 37°C for 1 hour, boiled for 10 minutes, and centrifuged. Eight µl of lysate was used for amplification (40 cycles) under standard conditions. Each cycle consisted of 30 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 52°C, and 1 minute at 72°C. The amplified PCR product (10 µl) was analysed by electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel and characterised by sequencing. An amplified product of 260 base pairs (bp) of orf1 gene was observed with all N gonorrhoeae isolates but not when DNA from the other non-gonococcal strains (17 closely related Neisseria species, Corynebacterium, Chlamydia trachomatis, Candida, sphyllis, and members of Enterobacteriaceae) was used as template. For the 427 clinical swabs collected from men, 379 were positive and 46 were negative by both culture method and orf1-PCR assay. Urethral specimens from two men were culture negative but PCR positive for orf1 gene. Since these two samples showed positive tests for orf1 PCR using primers specific to orf1 as well as cppB gene and were, therefore, considered positive. One culture negative specimen was positive by the orf1-PCR assay for its endocervical specimen but negative for urethral specimens. For the cppB gene amplification, the specimen yielded a negative result for both the sites. This was therefore classified as true negative. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value for the PCR method described here would be 100%, 98%, 99.7%, and 100% respectively. The gold standard has been reported as having a sensitivity of 85–95%.

The high specificity and sensitivity (25 fg DNA per assay, equivalent to 10 cells) coupled with low cost and rapidity of the in-house PCR assay described here can serve as a promising diagnostic method for the detection of gonococci directly from clinical swab samples.
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**Table 1** Comparison of culture and PCR method for detection of Neisseria gonorrhoeae in urogenital specimens from men and women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of specimens from men</th>
<th>Urethra</th>
<th>Endocervix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Grammar stain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>–ve</td>
<td>–ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>367</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>–ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of specimens from women</th>
<th>Urethra</th>
<th>Endocervix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>–ve</td>
<td>–ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>–ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The individual was categorised as not infected after confirming with the cppB gene PCR.
regimen (table 1). Inclusion of these patient charts in this study was approved by a research ethics committee at Bellevue Hospital. All patients received NVP + EFV at standard doses. The lower limit of quantization was determined at 50 HIV RNA copies/ml using Roche Amplicor HIV-1 Monitor (RNA) (Roche Diagnostics, Branchburg, NJ, USA). Median baseline values were: viral load 33 900 copies/ml (range 310 750 000 copies/ml) and CD4+ count 190 cells x10^6/l (range 2–440 cells x10^6/l). After a median follow up of 11 months (range 3–18 months), 85% (11/13) had viral loads <50 copies/ml. Considering previous treatment experience, 90% (9/10) of NNRTI naive patients had viral loads <50 copies/ml and 67% (2/3) of NNRTI experienced patients had viral loads <50 copies/ml. Effectiveness of the dual NNRTI combination in heavily pretreated patients is in contrast with a study using a single NNRTI plus two NRTIs in NRTI experienced patients in whom rapid virological failure was observed. These results suggest that the combination of two potent NNRTIs may be able to overcome development of NNRTI associated resistance, even when there are only one or two NNRTIs in the combination. These data accord with those of Jordan and colleagues who demonstrated a sustained response to NVP + EFV in combination with only didanosine (ddI) in 19/21 patients after 12 months. The most common adverse event was elevated liver function test results (more than three times upper limit of normal) in three patients. One case of liver toxicity was attributed to Bactrim, and a second case resolved following interruption of EFV (EFV rechallenge in this patient was successful). No specific cause of liver toxicity could be identified in the third case, suggesting a possible association with antiretroviral treatment. Other adverse events included anaemia. The patient with EFV induced hepatotoxicity also had anaemia and EFV related central nervous system disturbances. None of the patients discontinued therapy because of adverse events. The relatively low incidence of adverse events and the absence of NNRTI associated metabolic disorders make this dual NNRTI based regimen additionally appealing.

This retrospective analysis demonstrated the effectiveness of the combination of two NNRTIs (NVP and EFV) in heavily pretreated PI experienced patients, with no apparent increase in NNRTI related side effects. Since few new antiretrovirals with novel resistance profiles are forthcoming in the near future, this regimen may provide a much needed alternative in heavily pretreated patients.

### Table 1 Baseline characteristics and outcome for NNRTI naive and experienced patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Previous drugs</th>
<th>Baseline viral load (copies/ml)</th>
<th>Baseline CD4+ cell count (cells x10^6/l)</th>
<th>Months of follow up</th>
<th>Last viral load (copies/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NVP+EFV+d4T</td>
<td>d4T, dd, RTV, IDV, ABC</td>
<td>37100</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>164000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NVP+EFV+d4T</td>
<td>NVP, CBV, IDV</td>
<td>436000</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NVP+EFV+ABC</td>
<td>IDV, d4T, NVP, ddC</td>
<td>27000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NVP+EFV+d4T</td>
<td>CBV, NFX, SQV</td>
<td>151000</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NVP+EFV+d4T</td>
<td>NVP, CBV</td>
<td>31900</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NVP+EFV+d4T+ ddl</td>
<td>d4T, dd, NFX</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NVP+EFV+RTV+IDV</td>
<td>IDV, NFX, ddT, CBV</td>
<td>750000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NVP+EFV+d4T</td>
<td>NVP, CBV</td>
<td>750000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NVP+EFV+d4T</td>
<td>NVP, ddL</td>
<td>750000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>NVP+EFV+d4T</td>
<td>NVP, ddL, RTV, other PI</td>
<td>35900</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11*</td>
<td>NVP+EFV+RTV+IDV</td>
<td>DLV, RTV, ddT</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12*</td>
<td>NVP+EFV+RTV+IDV</td>
<td>SQV, NFX, NVP, ddl, DLV</td>
<td>8900</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13*</td>
<td>NVP+EFV+RTV+IDV</td>
<td>ddT, d4T, ddl, EFV, IDV</td>
<td>3900</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NNRTI experienced patients.
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**Comparing cost effectiveness of screening women for Chlamydia trachomatis in systematic and opportunistic approaches**

Screening women for asymptomatic Chlamydia trachomatis (CT) infections is indicated to prevent the spread of CT and the development of complications such as pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), chronic pelvic pain, ectopic pregnancy, tubal infertility, and neonatal pneumonia (major outcomes averted: MOA). Cost effectiveness presents an important aspect in the decision making regarding actual implementation. Recently, in this journal Van Valkengoed et al published a paper on the cost effectiveness of systematic screening among women in Amsterdam (Netherlands), using pharmacoeconomic modelling. Using the same model, results on the cost effectiveness of an opportunistic screening in the same city have also been published. Specific model assumptions differed in both publications. The aim of this letter is to compare cost effectiveness of systematic and opportunistic screening using similar model assumptions and correcting for potential biases.

Opportunistic screening was done during May 1996 to May 1997 in a pilot study.1 Women visiting the participating GPs were eligible for screening if they considered themselves heterosexually active, were aged 15–40 years, and did not visit their GP for sexually transmitted disease complaints (participation among women: 96% compared with 50% in the systematic screening). In this letter we report on the age group 15–30. Obviously, the effectiveness of this type of screening depends on the frequency of visiting the GP; 87% of Dutch women aged 15–30 visit the GP at least once per year.2 As in the systematic universal screening, testing was done with ligase chain reaction (LCR) on urine. Participating GPs in the opportunistic screening had an overrepresentation compared to the general Amsterdam situation of participants from Caribbean, Surinam and other (source: Statistics Amsterdam).

Parameters in the pharmacoeconomic model were kept similar to the previous paper in this journal, except for the probability of PID after asymptomatic infection.3 For this probability we applied 20% compared to 10% in the paper by Van Valkengoed et al.2 We even consider 20% as a very conservative estimate for the risk of PID in our model.4 Cost effectiveness was estimated as net costs per MOA in baseline analysis using assumptions.
Cost effectiveness of screening women for Chlamydia trachomatis infections in women by means of home obtained urine specimens. Sex Transm Inf 2001;77:276–82.
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Major improvements in cost effectiveness of screening women for Chlamydia trachomatis using pooled urine specimens and high performance testing

Screening of asymptomatic Chlamydia trachomatis (CT) infections is indicated to prevent the spread of CT and the development of secondary complications like pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy, and tubal infertility. Cost effectiveness presents an important aspect in the decision making regarding actual implementation. A recent paper in this journal by Van Valkengoed et al addressed cost effectiveness, using an established pharmacoeconomic model, of a systematic screening programme for asymptomatic CT infections in women registered in general practices in Amsterdam, based on mailed home obtained urine specimens. The aim of this letter is to extend the application of the pharmacoeconomic model with regard to pooling and improved test performances (sensitivity and specificity).

We recently determined the sensitivity and specificity for two commercially available CT detection assays for urine specimens from asymptptomatically CT infected women and men. In total, 2906 mailed home obtained urine specimens were tested for CT using both ligase chain reaction (LCR) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing. We showed that for individual testing, the test sensitivity/specificity for LCR and PCR could be estimated at 78.6%/99.7% and 98.8%/99.9%, respectively. Furthermore, we recently showed by using individual urine samples (n = 650) and samples pooled by five (n = 130) that pooling has a relative sensitivity and specificity of 100%. Since only CT positive pools have to be analysed for the individual CT positive cases approximately 60% of the number of tests could be saved in our population with an estimated CT prevalence of 2–3%.

In the pharmacoeconomic model test performances of 85.0% sensitivity and 99.0% specificity were previously assumed. Furthermore, the model included population based estimates of CT prevalence, the costs of the programme, the health gain effects and the related monetary benefits. Health gain effects considered were averted pelvic inflammatory disease, chronic pelvic pain, ectopic pregnancy, infertility, and neonatal pneumonia (major outcomes averted; MOA). Both direct and indirect costs and benefits were considered. We investigated the effects on baseline cost effectiveness of pooling and improvements in test performance.

Population based prevalence in the systematic screening was 2.2% for women aged 15–40 and 2.9% for women aged 15–25. Van Valkengoed et al estimated baseline cost effectiveness for systematic screening in Amsterdam using LCR at net costs of US$110 per women aged 15–25 and $15 800 per MOA for women aged 15–40 (table 1). High performance testing of 98.8% sensitivity and 99.9% specificity was estimated to reduce net cost per MOA by approximately 20%. Pooling urine specimens by five was estimated to reduce net costs per MOA by 57%. A total decrease of 67% of MOA up to 75% (age groups 15–25) and by 10%, high performance testing and pooling†.

above and sensitivity analysis (PID risk at 10%, high performance testing and pooling†).

Table 1: Cost effectiveness in net costs per major outcome averted (in US$) for Amsterdam (Netherlands) of screening 15–25 year aged women (15–30 in parentheses) for asymptomatic Chlamydia trachomatis in systematic and opportunistic approaches for the baseline and in sensitivity analysis (PID risk at 10% instead of 20% in the baseline; assuming high performance testing*; and pooling†).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Systematic</th>
<th>Opportunistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PID risk at 10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High performance testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PID risk at 10%</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High performance testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PCR testing with sensitivity of 98.8% and specificity of 99.9%; †pooling of urine specimens by five with relative sensitivity and specificity of 100%.
was estimated if both high performance testing and pooling are assumed (table 1).

We conclude that with pooling and application of high performance testing major improvements in cost effectiveness of screening women for asymptomatic CT can be obtained.
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Table 1. Demographic data and anti-HIV serology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beauty salon workers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>”Gay show” workers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private business</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injecting drug use</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous plastic surgery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anti-HIV serology in patients with sexual dysphoria in screening test before sex change surgery

The health and behavioural issues of homosexual men and women have recently become a focus of research and interest. A well conceived framework within which to consider the uniqueness of problems faced by homosexual youths and the role of healthcare providers is needed.1 Significant physical morbidity occurs among homosexual men and women because healthcare providers are often unaware of their actual or potential health concerns. Physical health concerns mainly include HIV disease, hepatitis, and other sexually transmitted diseases. Healthcare professionals, who are clinically competent in the care of homosexual men and women, should have the opportunity to reduce the risk of disease, while providing unbiased, quality care which recognises the unique problems of this population.2 In this study, we report the prevalence of HIV infection among the homosexual men and women who visited the pre-admission clinic, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Bangkok, for further sex change surgery.

A prospective study on the data concerning anti-HIV test for 35 cases (33 homosexual men and two lesbian women) with sexual dysphoria who attended the pre-admission clinic, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Bangkok, for further sex change surgery. During years 1999 and 2000 was performed. The demographic data about occupation, injecting drug use, previous plastic surgery, hormone injection, and abnormal sexual intercourse (as oral and anal sex) were also reviewed for each case.

For all 35 cases of sexual dysphoria, only two cases of anti-HIV seropositivity were detected. The prevalence was equal to 5.71%. These two cases were homosexual. The demographic data of HIV seronegative and HIV seropositive cases are shown in table 1.

Currently, the two major routes of transmission of HIV are blood borne and sexual propagation. Sexual propagation also includes the abnormal sexual behaviour such as oral and anal sex found in the “gay” population.1 Unique aspects of Thai culture have shaped the special problem of HIV among young homosexual men and women engaging in high risk behaviour.

In Thailand it has been argued that HIV infection is still a major health problem among homosexual men and women. The current HIV epidemic among young homosexual men and women is a major public health concern. Nevertheless, hardly any specific HIV education interventions have been designed for this population. In this study, the rather high rate of HIV infection among the homosexual men and women attending the hospital for further sex change surgery was detected. Compared with the rate in the general population in Thailand,4 this rate is five times higher. Therefore, this population is still a target group for HIV infection, and thus, proper control for this population is necessary.

V Wiwanitkit
Department of Laboratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand; Vw@chula.ac.th

Neuropsychiatric reaction induced by clarithromycin

We read with interest the case report by Prime and French5 describing a person with HIV infection who developed a severe neuropsychiatric reaction due to clarithromycin, zidovudine, didanosine, and nevirapine treatment. The authors suggest that this reaction was caused by the clarithromycin and not the antiretrovirals. Indeed, central nervous system (CNS) symptoms are a known side effect of clarithromycin.6 CNS adverse effects, however, have also been reported with zidovudine7 and efavirenz.8

So far with nevirapine neuropsychiatric side effects have not been described. For this reason we would like to report the case of a patient who developed CNS side effects shortly after starting nevirapine.

A 40-year-old woman with HIV infection was initially treated with ritonavir, saquinavir, and stavudine. Because she developed lipodystrophy she was switched to nevirapine, lamivudine, and zidovudine. Shortly after starting this treatment, she started to feel depressed and to experience bad dreams. Her CD4+ lymphocyte count was 727 x 10^4/l and her viral load was undetectable. She was living under stressful conditions (her husband was also living with this HIV infection) according to her there was no recent change in her life to explain this depression. The nevirapine was replaced by abacavir and from then on the CNS side effects rapidly disappeared.

This case report strongly suggests that the nevirapine was responsible for the CNS symptoms.

CNS side effects related to antiviral treatment may be caused by high drug levels. Clarithromycin is known to increase nevirapine levels by about 26%.9 The fact that in the patient described by Prime and French the neuropsychiatric symptoms disappeared within 72 hours after stopping the clarithromycin suggests this drug was responsible for...
causing these symptoms. However, it is also possible that after stopping the clarithromycin the nevirapine levels decreased and that therefore potential nevirapine related side effects disappeared. We propose that in HIV clinical trials patients should be monitored more closely for possible neuropsychiatric side effects and that if these side effects appear antiretroviral drug levels should be measured.
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Immune reconstitution eosinophilia due to schistosomiasis

A 21-year-old black African heterosexual woman, formerly resident in south east Africa, presented in early October 2000 on arrival in the United Kingdom. Examination revealed hepatosplenomegaly. Investigation showed HB = 10.2 g/dl, WBC = 2.9 × 10^9/l (neutrophils = 1.5, lymphocytes = 0.8, and eosinophils = 0.3 × 10^9/l). Blood urea and electrolytes were normal. Liver function tests showed alkaline phosphatase = 1704 (normal = 45–122) U/l, and alanine transaminase = 65 (normal = 7–63) U/l. Hepatitis A and C serology was negative; hepatitis B serology showed sAg negative and cAb positive. Serum ß2-microglobulin was elevated. An HIV-1 antibody test was positive, plasma HIV-1 RNA level >75 000 copies/ml, CD4 count = 170 cells × 10^9/l. An abdominal ultrasound confirmed hepatosplenomegaly; there was no intraabdominal lymphadenopathy and no ascites. A chest radiograph showed micronodular shadowing throughout both lungs. In order to rule out the possibility of tuberculosis, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid was negative for bacteria, mycobacteria, fungi, and parasites. A bone marrow biopsy showed non-specific reactive changes; culture was negative. A liver biopsy showed portal fibrosis with a moderate chronic inflammatory infiltrate, but no cirrhosis; a schistosomal egg was seen. Schistosomal antibodies were detected by ELISA, positive at level 4 (optical density = 0.767). A diagnosis of schistosomiasis was made.

The patient began highly active anti-retroviral therapy (HAART) with stavudine, lamivudine, and efavirenz on 1 December 2000. Four weeks after starting HAART the HIV RNA level had fallen to 25 000 copies/ml, CD4 count remained at 170 cells × 10^9/l but the eosinophil count had risen to 0.8 × 10^9/l (normal = 0.04–0.44 × 10^9/l). After 4 months of HAART the HIV level was below the limits of detection, the CD4 count had risen to 230 cells × 10^9/l, and the eosinophil count had further risen to 1.3 × 10^9/l. At this time the patient agreed to treatment with praziquantel with praziquantel (40 mg/kg in two divided doses in 1 day). Following praziquantel the eosinophil count fell to 0.5 × 10^9/l.

The development of eosinophilia in this HIV infected patient with schistosomiasis occurred in the context of a falling HIV RNA level and an increase of CD4 count, indicating partial immune reconstitution.

Partial restoration of cell mediated immune induction by antiretroviral therapy, as demonstrated by recovery of peripheral CD4+ T lymphocyte reactivation to memory antigens,1 may cause development of an inflammatory response, in this case eosinophilia, in patients latently affected with opportunistic and non-opportunistic pathogens. Reactivation of mycobacterial lymphadenitis,1 cryptoccocal meningitis,1 and cytomegalovirus retinitis1 and pneumonitis1 have been described. The case described here suggests that development of an eosinophilia to schistosomiasis should be added to the list of immune reconstitution phenomena.

R Fernando
Department of GU Medicine, New Cross Hospital, Wolverhampton WV10 0RP, UK

R Miller
Department of Sexually Transmitted Diseases, Royal Free and University Medical School, Mortimer Market Centre, Mortimer Market, Off Copper Street, London WC1E 6AU, UK

Correspondence to: Dr Miller; rmiller@gum.ul.ac.uk

Accepted for publication 16 November 2001

Cost effectiveness analysis of a population based screening programme for asymptomatic Chlamydia trachomatis infection in women

With reference to the article by van Valkengoed et al., we would like to express our views.

We agree with the authors’ statement that systematic screening of all women aged 15–40 years for asymptomatic Chlamydia trachomatis infection is not cost effective, especially when the prevalence of infection in Amsterdam is low (2.2%–2.8%). Not all countries have achieved such low levels. Even in England and Wales where the prevalence of the infection is higher it is not cost effective to screen all women. However, computer modelling performed for the chief medical officer’s expert advisory group on Chlamydia trachomatis in the United Kingdom and other countries4 has shown that it is cost effective to screen populations where the prevalence is 3%–6%. The Chlamydia Pilot Study, which was conducted in Wirral and Portsmouth in 1999–2000, detected a prevalence of chlamydial infection of approximately 10% in women aged between 16 and 25. There is, therefore, a strong argument for screening this age group in the United Kingdom at the present time and not above 25 years as prevalence above this age is low.

One must be careful when extrapolating data from a different country with a different population. However, it would be wise to consider that in the future in the United Kingdom, when screening is established, the prevalence may fall and the cost effectiveness may be reduced.

Although it is not cost effective to screen men, as there are only minor sequelae to be prevented, one shouldn’t forget that they are the major reservoir of infection. We should aim not to reinforce existing inequalities by sparing them their share of responsibility for sexual health. Screening men as well will not only decrease the prevalence but also reduce the psychosocial impact of screening for genital chlamydia in women.

M Gupta, M Hernon, R Gokhale, A K Ghosh
Department of GU, Arrowe Park Hospital, Upton, Wirral, L49 9PE, UK
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NOTICES

International Herpes Alliance and International Herpes Management Forum
The International Herpes Alliance has introduced a website (www.herpesalliance.org) from which can be downloaded patient information leaflets. Its sister organisation the International Herpes Management Forum (website: www.IHMF.org) has launched new guidelines on the management of herpesvirus infections in pregnancy at the 9th International Congress on Infectious Disease (ICID) in Buenos Aires.

Pan-American Health Organization, regional office of the World Health Organization
A catalogue of publications is available online (www.paho.org). The monthly journal of PAHO, the Pan American Journal of Public Health, is also available (subscriptions: pubsvc@tsp.sheridan.com).

Second International Conference on Sexual Health
To be held in Bangkok, Thailand on 23–28 February 2002
Further details: European Secretariat, Dr Richard Burack (tel: +44 (0) 20 8599 8029; email: siamcare@aol.com).

7th Congress of the European Society of Contraception, “Changing attitudes to contraception and reproductive health”
Genoa, Italy, 10–13 April 2002
Further details: ESC Central Office, Orgamed, Bussestrasse 32, 80333 Munich, Germany (tel: +49 89 7508 9302; fax: +49 89 7508 9301).

MSSVD course in STIs and HIV, Module 1, Epidemiology of STIs and Bacterial Infections
Further details: Sue Bird, MSSVD STIs and HIV Course Secretariat, PO Box 77, East Horsley, KT24 5YP (tel: 01372 454210).

MSSVD course in STIs and HIV, Module 2, Sexual Health and Sexuality
At the Institute for Materials, 1 Carlton House Terrace, London, 26 April 2002.
Further details: Sue Bird, MSSVD STIs and HIV Course Secretariat, PO Box 77, East Horsley, KT24 5YP (tel: 01372 454210).

MSSVD course in STIs and HIV, Module 3, Viral Infections other than HIV
Further details: Sue Bird, MSSVD STIs and HIV Course Secretariat, PO Box 77, East Horsley, KT24 5YP (tel: 01372 454210).

MSSVD course in STIs and HIV, Module 4, HIV Infections
Further details: Sue Bird, MSSVD STIs and HIV Course Secretariat, PO Box 77, East Horsley, KT24 5YP (tel: 01372 454210).

10th International Symposium on Human Chlamydial Infection
16–21 June 2002, in Antalya, Turkey
The scientific programme will encompass the breadth of chlamydial research from clinical and epidemiological studies to molecular and cell biology of all species of Chlamydia. Further details: Professor A Demir Serter, Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Ege University, Faculty of Medicine, 35100 Bornova, Izmir, Turkey (fax: 90 232 343 71 30; e-mail: ISHCIX@itsa.ucsf.edu).

10th International Congress on Behçet’s Disease
Berlin 27–29 June 2002
Further details: Professor Ch Zouboulis (email: zoubbere@zedat.fu-berlin.de).

20th World Congress of Dermatology
Paris, 1–5 July 2002
Further details: P Fournier, Colloquium, 12 rue de la Croix St Faubin, 75011 Paris, France (tel: +33 1 44 64 15 15; fax: +33 1 44 64 15 16; email: p.fournier@colloquium.fr; website: www.derm-wcd-2002.com).

18th Congress on Sexually Transmitted Infections IUSTI–Europe 2002
12–14 September 2002, Hofburg Center, Vienna
Further details: Angelika Stary, M.D., c/o Administrative and Scientific Secretariat, Vienna Academy of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, Aser Strasse 4, A–1090 Vienna, Austria (tel: +43 1 405 13 8513; fax: 43 1 407 82 74; email: iusti2002@medacad.org).