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Background: The development of suppressive therapy and type specific tests for herpes infections allow for screening to reduce the risk of neonatal herpes.

Objectives: To assess the potential effectiveness, cost effectiveness, and benefit of suppressive therapy among herpes simplex virus serodiscordant sex partners during pregnancy.

Methods: Decision and economic analyses are used to compare the incidence and costs of neonatal herpes in California (2000) for three interventions: (1) no management; (2) current guidelines (cesarean delivery for women with lesions); (3) screening for women at risk and use of suppressive treatment in sex partners.

Results: Screening and suppressive therapy are the most effective interventions, while current guidelines have limited effectiveness, but the latter provide the most cost effective results.

Conclusions: While current guidelines are cost saving, they forgo a potential 82% decrease in neonatal herpes incidence that would be possible with screening and suppressive therapy if society were willing to pay the necessary US$363,000 per case prevented. To evaluate HSV screening and drug therapy completely, clinical trials and an economic assessment of infant mortality “value” to society are required.

The potential role of suppressive therapy for sex partners in the prevention of neonatal herpes is largely the result of mother to child transmission of the herpes simplex virus (HSV) during labour and delivery. It is the most serious direct consequence of genital herpes, a chronic infection with no cure available. Women who have primary HSV infection late in pregnancy are estimated to have a 45% risk of infecting their infants, much higher than the <1% risk associated with secondary infection. It follows that neonatal herpes primary prevention efforts should focus on preventing incident infections in pregnant women. Type specific HSV tests can identify women at risk of incident infection: theoretically, suppressive antiviral therapy can decrease viral shedding in their sex partners and potentially decrease horizontal HSV transmission. Decision and economic analyses can help quantify the possible effectiveness and cost effectiveness of such a strategy and identify research priorities.

Neonatal herpes is the highest case fatality “rate” of any neonatal infection, more than 60% in untreated cases, but therapy reduces this to 20%. Even with antivirals long term neurological sequelae are still seen in almost a quarter of cases. A study that looked at Californian hospital discharge data in 1985, 1990, and 1995 found, respectively, 11.7, 11.3, and 11.4/100,000 live births had a diagnosis of HSV. Recent neonatal herpes incidence estimates range between 20/100,000 and 50/100,000 live births with 40/100,000 live births reported at the University of Washington.

Genital herpes is usually caused by herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2), but increasingly primary infections are caused by herpes simplex type 1 (HSV-1). In the United States, where HSV-2 population seroprevalence was estimated to be 22%, 70% of neonatal herpes is caused by HSV-2. Eighty per cent of genital herpes is asymptomatic or unrecognized and HSV-2 seropositive people shed virus from the genital tract on about 3% of days, producing a reservoir for HSV spread. Chronic aciclovir therapy reduces the frequency of HSV DNA detection by a median of 80%, presumably through a decrease in viral shedding. It follows that men in serodiscordant couples (identified through type specific tests) could be treated to suppress asymptomatic shedding and reduce HSV transmission to susceptible women.

Debates regarding the role of HSV-2 specific serology in routine antenatal care have raised important questions. Whether aciclovir therapy reduces HSV transmission is currently being addressed in a clinical trial. The effectiveness and costs of screening and subsequent intervention (abstinence, condom use, or suppressive aciclovir) requires evaluation. This theoretical paper considers the epidemiological and economic outcome of identifying serodiscordant couples and using prophylactic aciclovir as a control strategy for neonatal herpes.

METHODS

Three programmes to decrease mother to child transmission of HSV are compared from a societal viewpoint to assess net costs versus consequences. The first programme (P1) is “no management” which has no costs above those of a normal pregnancy, but has the consequences of neonatal herpes. The second programme (P2) recommends caesarean section for women presenting with genital lesions at delivery. Programme 3 (P3), treating partners with aciclovir, counsels, and screens all pregnant women and, if necessary, their partners who receive aciclovir to reduce transmission of HSV. P3 includes counselling regarding condom usage and risks of oral sex and caesarean delivery for women with herpetic lesions at the time of delivery. We first construct a decision analysis model, including the three policies, which estimates the incidence of vertical transmission from pregnant women over the course of the year 2000, in California. We then estimate costs and consequences of each programme to calculate the cost per case averted and cost benefit ratio for the interventions compared with the “no management” alternative. The methods used are described in detail in appendix A on the STI website.
A theoretical transmission model is used to calculate the number of neonatal infections as a result of primary or non-primary first episode HSV infection among women late in pregnancy (fig 1). The observed rate of transmission from partner to mother and then to the child is used to estimate the number of neonatal infections and is largely based on a study by Brown and colleagues. ACOG guidelines (P2) increase the likelihood of caesarean delivery from 23% to 85% and decrease vertical HSV transmission by 50%. The use of counselling and suppressive therapy (P3) is assumed to reduce the risk of partner to mother transmission by 80%.

For example, it was estimated that 8.4% of couples consist of HSV-1 positive and HSV-2 negative women with HSV-2 positive men. We assume that 1.7% (95% CI 1.1% to 2.3%) of these women will seroconvert during pregnancy, but only 40% of those seroconversions will occur in the third trimester. Of those who acquire HSV-2 it was estimated that 28% shed HSV asymptptomatically at the time of delivery. Without intervention, 77% of those women will have vaginal deliveries, and 45% of their infants would become infected. Long term, 56% of HSV positive infants would be normal, 19% would die, and 25% would have long term neurological disability.

Because of the limited information we had to make several assumptions, itemised in table 1. Assuming monogamy in the third trimester of pregnancy, assuming that partnerships are independent of HSV status, assuming 100% participation, a >99% diagnostic sensitivity and specificity and an 80% reduced transmission with suppressive therapy all increase the impact of screening and therapy. Concomitantly, we favour programme 2 by assuming a scaled up version of current guidelines for education and surveillance, that the dissemination of ACOG guidelines increases caesarean sections indicated by genital lesions (85%), and that the effectiveness of elective caesarean section is 50%. However, evidence for caesarean section efficacy is limited.

Costs
Costs are calculated from a societal perspective using the human capital approach for maternal mortality and long term neurological disability.

---

**Table 1: Assumptions and limitations considered in the sensitivity and uncertainty analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumptions and limitations</th>
<th>Analysis range (%)</th>
<th>Uncertainty analysis distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions maximising §P3 effectiveness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monogamy in the 3rd trimester</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnerships independent of HSV serostatus</td>
<td>22–26†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation 100%</td>
<td>60–100*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity &gt;99%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aciclovir effectiveness = 80%</td>
<td>50–80–90†</td>
<td>Triangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean section effectiveness = 50%</td>
<td>40–50–90†</td>
<td>Triangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of treating acute neonatal herpes</td>
<td>US$ 1500–26196–50000‡</td>
<td>Triangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of diagnostic kit</td>
<td>US$ 16–70–100‡</td>
<td>Triangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate</td>
<td>0.01–0.07*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Considered in univariate sensitivity analysis. †Considered in multivariate uncertainty analysis. ‡Considered in both univariate and multivariate analysis.

§P3 = aciclovir therapy for partners to suppress asymptomatic shedding of HSV.
neurological sequelae in infants.\textsuperscript{15} The human capital approach uses estimates of lost wages to evaluate morbidity and mortality. Our analysis fails to take account of neonatal deaths, which would normally be assessed in a cost utility analysis or using a willingness to pay approach. To bring past utility of life to present year we used the consumer price index (CPI), and, in calculating the value of future costs we used a 3% discount rate.\textsuperscript{25}

Hospital and personnel costs for both interventions and outcomes were obtained from the Californian Department of Health,\textsuperscript{26} and the US Department of Labour.\textsuperscript{27} Economic indicators used were from the Californian Department of Finance.\textsuperscript{28} The cost of acute neonatal herpes (US$26 196 per case for the first year)\textsuperscript{29} and long term disability (US$782 035 per case until age 15 years)\textsuperscript{30} were estimated from the literature. The itemised cost of consequence menu (table A1) is found in appendix A on the STI website.

To implement ACOG guidelines an obstetrician would perform caesarean sections for women who present with genital lesions at the time of delivery. P3 = aciclovir therapy for partners to suppress asymptomatic shedding of HSV. Error bars indicate 95% CI.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Economic cost is the sum of the financial and opportunity cost.\textsuperscript{15} Opportunity cost is the forgone benefits because the resource is not available for its best alternative use.\textsuperscript{21} Financial cost is the value of the resources consumed or saved by the programme. Table 2 presents the costs and consequences of the scenarios are summarised in table 2. The most expensive alternative was suppressive aciclovir for partners, at just over US$58 million, a cost effectiveness ratio of US$362 942 per case averted. Even though the minimum number of staff necessary was considered, favouring the interventions, personnel still constitute the bulk of costs.

The costs were used to calculate the saving/cost ratio (or cost benefit ratio) which, when comparing two programmes, is the difference between the cost of their consequences divided by the difference between costs of implementation (table 3). For every US$ spent, the saving for implementing P2 is US$5.80 compared to no specific management (P1). For P3, more is spent on the intervention programme than is saved, with US$0.62 saved for US$ spent. Similarly, the savings of implementing P3 do not outweigh the extra costs compared to P2. The incremental cost effectiveness for P3 versus P1 and P3 versus P2 were US$103 580 and US$143 648 per case prevented respectively.

The sensitivity of the saving/cost ratio to uncertain variables was assessed (table 1). Univariate sensitivity analysis and multivariate “uncertainty” analysis were used to explore the influence of particular variables and the overall credibility of results (table 1).

### RESULTS

The estimated yearly number of neonatal herpes cases for the no management approach (P1) is 169 (fig 2). Screening and suppressive therapy (P3) would reduce this number to 31 (an 82% decrease with 95% CI 73% to 87%). With ACOG guidelines (P2), the number of cases would be reduced to 155 (an 8%, 95% CI 1% to 26%), but the confidence interval overlaps with P1. Screening and therapy prevents 80% (95% CI 71% to 86%) of cases compared to ACOG guidelines.

Table 2 Comparison of total costs (US$) of P1 to P2 and P3* in California in 2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P1* (US$)</th>
<th>P2* (US$)</th>
<th>P3* (US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>110 528</td>
<td>42 189 275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>502 999</td>
<td>15 957 443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>613 528</td>
<td>58 146 718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>101 463</td>
<td>1 030 554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49 540 509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>502 999</td>
<td>8 041 487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveillance</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9065</td>
<td>8063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of the consequences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>21 948 876</td>
<td>20 167 699</td>
<td>4 033 540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity</td>
<td>21 903 130</td>
<td>20 125 665</td>
<td>4 025 133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic</td>
<td>43 832 006</td>
<td>40 293 364</td>
<td>8 058 673</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*P1 = “no specific management” programme. P2 = performing caesarean sections for women who present with genital lesions at the time of delivery. P3 = aciclovir therapy for partners to suppress asymptomatic shedding of HSV. Financial cost is the value of the resources consumed or saved by the programme. Opportunity cost is the forgone benefits because the resource is not available for its best alternative use. Economic cost is the sum of the financial and opportunity cost.\textsuperscript{14}
where neonatal herpes is rare compared to the United States, management.

its costs outweighed the benefits when compared to no man-

agement (P1) and current guidelines (P2). P3 offers the best reduction in neonatal herpes incidence and mortality but compared to no specific analysis showed that treating partners of those women at risk

Our cost benefit analysis compares alternative programmes to

screening cost beneficial. Bivariate analysis of the diagnostic and drugs costs showed that the best saving/cost ratios were for the lowest prices, but the fixed costs (personnel, hospital costs, and capital items) always prevented savings from outweighing costs. Decreasing the participation increased the cost per HSV case averted. With multivariate uncertainty analysis (parameters detailed in table 1) the qualitative results do not change and P3 is not cost beneficial compared to P1.

Regression shows that the number of serodiscordant couples in the population has the largest influence on the number of neonatal HSV cases and the efficacy of aciclovir is the most influential factor in determining P3 effectiveness, but within the ranges considered P3 was not cost saving compared to P1. Because neonatal herpes incidence is uncertain, we explored the impact of varying this incidence under the general assumptions of the model. An incidence of 65 neonatal cases per 100 000 births as a result of maternal infection had a good cost utility value for women with first episode genital herpes, saving US$2600 per quality adjusted life year (QALY, the number of maternal life years lost is subtracted from the gain in life years for neonatal cases of HSV averted) but not for recurrent disease (costing US$203 000 per QALY). Scott and colleagues demonstrated a reduction in the number of caesarean sections necessary among those women on prophylactic aciclovir after first episode genital herpes. While we did not consider aciclovir prophylaxis in women with first episode herpes we did find that aciclovir prophylaxis for HSV-2 seropositive men decreased the predicted number of caesarean sections among their susceptible partners.

When using analytical tools in decision making it is important to note the influence of assumptions. Despite most of our assumptions favouring screening, it was not cost beneficial. However, this finding must be treated with caution because the “value” of neonatal mortality, an important component was not accounted for in the economic analysis. The obvious difficulty with the human capital approach, which ascribes a nil value to neonatal mortality, is that it disregards the psychological impact of the death of a newborn child for the family. If society were “willing to pay” US$1 million per death averted, P3 would be cost beneficial compared to P1 and US$1.1 million would make P3 cost beneficial compared to P2. Economic techniques that equitably value a healthy newborn child’s life, in a manner that reflects the worth to society, could tip the scales and intensive programmes that involve screening at a population level may prove to be cost beneficial.

Estimates of society’s willingness to pay are controversial but could provide some insights, as could improved utility measures, which take into account the impact of neonatal death or exposure to the risk of such deaths caused by a sexually transmitted infection. Random sample population surveys containing relevant detail would be required to create such measures.

Ideally, a cost benefit analysis would tell us whether a programme is worthwhile—that is, whether the costs outweigh the benefits. But with a crucial piece of data missing, the cost of neonatal mortality, the most useful economic measure is probably the cost per HSV case averted (US$363 000) rather than the saving/cost ratio. This figure will have to be balanced against other demands on health resources. Crucially, the economic study showed how the fixed costs of personnel prevented the proposed intervention strategy from becoming cost effective despite the marked gains in healthy infants. It is challenging to consider how intervention programmes can be structured differently to make them more cost effective, perhaps through employing nurse practitioners rather than physicians where possible.

In addition to neonatal mortality costs, the regression analysis showed that aciclovir efficacy and the number of HSV serodiscordant partners are key in determining whether screening and suppressive therapy is cost effective. Aciclovir is being evaluated in a clinical trial. However, neonatal HSV incidence, as a result of first episode maternal infection, still needs to be measured. Programme 3 becomes cost beneficial at an incidence of 65/100 000 live births, a conceivable rate. Neonatal herpes is not a notifiable disease with non- transmission probabilities from the Brown study (the sample size of which is probably too small to give an accurate estimate of neonatal herpes incidence). Improved surveillance of herpes epidemiology would contribute to the robustness of future analyses of prevention programmes.

Table 3 Saving/cost ratio (or the cost benefit ratio) comparing neonatal herpes intervention programmes P1 to P3*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison of programmes</th>
<th>Saving in US$ for every US$ spent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1 v P2</td>
<td>8.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1 v P3</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2 v P3</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P1 = “Do nothing” programme. P2 = performing caesarean sections for women who present with genital lesions at the time of delivery. P3 = aciclovir therapy for partners to suppress asymptomatic shedding of HSV.

At an incidence of 65 neonatal herpes cases per 100 000 live births, as a result of incident maternal infection, P3 become cost beneficial.

Figure 3 The effects of varying the incidence of neonatal herpes (per 100 000 births) on the saving/cost ratio (the saving for every US$ spent) for P3* v P1. *P1 = “Do nothing” programme. P2 = performing caesarean sections for women who present with genital lesions at the time of delivery. P3 = aciclovir therapy for partners to suppress asymptomatic shedding of HSV.
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Key messages

1. We need to think about innovative prevention strategies for the serious complications of chronic viral STIs, such as HSV and HIV. In the case of vertical HSV transmission new diagnostic tests and suppressive aciclovir therapy could make it possible to screen for women at risk during pregnancy and treat their partners to prevent horizontal and, consequently, mother to child HSV transmission. This intervention requires quantitative evaluation of the potential efficacy and costs and benefits to society.

2. Screening and aciclovir suppression has the potential to decrease neonatal herpes incidence by 82% but we have to ask if we are willing to pay US$363 000 per case prevented to implement this HSV control strategy, bearing in mind that the “effective” decrease in neonatal herpes may be less.

3. The study identifies three factors that will determine whether screening and therapy is cost beneficial: (a) the incidence of neonatal herpes, (b) the efficacy of aciclovir in decreasing the transmission, and (c) the “value” of neonatal mortality.

4. The study draws attention to the high cost of personnel in intensive intervention programmes, challenging us to bear this in mind when designing health interventions.

While ACOG guidelines are intended to prevent cases of neonatal herpes resulting from recurrent and first episode maternal infection, the small effectiveness of the current guidelines (P2) among women with incident HSV could bring the value of this strategy into question. The recommendation of antiviral treatment for primary or non-primary first episode herpes without screening means that 80% of genital herpes cases are untreated. Unless potential cases are identified through screening, further interventions of any sort, including counselling, will not be possible.

Accurate valuation of neonatal mortality, accurate data on neonatal herpes incidence, lower diagnostic and aciclovir costs, and clinical studies on aciclovir efficacy may mean that screening and suppressive therapy could be cost beneficial. Before undertaking a widespread screening programme the risks of psychosexual problems associated with a diagnosis of genital herpes, especially among serodiscordant couples, must be considered and adequate provision made for counselling.

In addition to the need for epidemiological and economic data, policy planners must assess the acceptability of such strategies to pregnant women and their partners.
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