Polymorph count for predicting non-gonococcal urethral infection: a model using Chlamydia trachomatis diagnosed by ligase chain reaction
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Background/objectives: The criteria for the diagnosis of non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU) on a Gram stained urethral smear are derived from previous studies which used culture as a diagnostic test for Chlamydia trachomatis. Our objectives were (1) to re-assess the relation between urethral polymorph count and C trachomatis infection, using ligase chain reaction (LCR) as the diagnostic test; and (2) to assess other possible predictors of C trachomatis infection such as symptoms, signs, demographic and behavioural variables.

Methods: We collected data from 363 men consecutively attending a genitourinary medicine clinic (excluding those with gonorrhoea and follow up visits) who had a urethral smear and a urethral LCR test for C trachomatis. The sensitivity and specificity of a discrete cut off in urethral polymorphonuclear leucocyte (PMNL) count as a diagnostic test for chlamydia urethritis were calculated. The associations between other variables, such as age and symptoms, and this infection were also estimated.

Results: 8% of men had C trachomatis infection and 26% of men had a PMNL count of 5 or more. Of those men with chlamydia 37% did not have NGU; 20% of men with NGU had chlamydia. Adjusted odds ratios for risk of chlamydial infection were significant for age less than 30 relative to 40 years and over (adj OR 13.6; 95% confidence interval 1.69 to 110), a PMNL count of 5–19 (3.59; 1.41 to 9.15), and the symptom of dysuria (3.27; 1.32 to 8.08). However a PMNL count of 20 or more (6.56; 2.15 to 20.0), a PMNL count of 5–9 (3.59; 1.41 to 9.15), and the symptom of dysuria (3.27; 1.32 to 8.08) were excluded. From the Gram stains the average number of PMNL per hpf (×1000 magnification) in the five fields of greatest density was calculated. A standard kit (LCx, Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for detection of C trachomatis. All LCR results were retested for confirmation. Further information was retrieved from standardised proformas in the case notes including symptoms, sexual history, and examination findings. Self reported discharge and its presence on examination were recorded as two separate variables.

Conclusions: The PMNL count is associated with presence of chlamydial infection but a large proportion of men with chlamydia have PMNL counts below the recommended cut off for a diagnosis of NSU. Lower age and the presence of symptoms may be as predictive as the urethral polymorph count for chlamydial urethritis and possibly for other urethral infections.

Non-specific or non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU) is a frequent cause of symptoms in men and may be associated with pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) in female sexual contacts. The diagnosis in men is made by the absence of Gram negative intracellular cocci on a urethral smear and the presence of five or more polymorphonuclear leucocytes (PMNL) per high power field (hpf), averaged over five fields, and/or the presence of 10 or more PMNL per hpf on a Gram stained preparation from a first pass urine specimen. The diagnostic threshold is derived from studies showing an association with the clinical syndrome of urethritis. Previous work used culture as a diagnostic test for Chlamydia trachomatis and appeared to demonstrate an association between this organism and the presence of urethritis as defined by microscopy. Newer nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) have a higher sensitivity than culture (90–98% compared to 60–80%). It is pertinent to re-evaluate the relations between urethral infection, their clinical presentation, and PMNL counts from urethral smears.

The objective of the study was to determine the relation between C trachomatis infection in men and urethral polymorph count by collecting data using ligase chain reaction (LCR) as the diagnostic test. The current recommended cut off in the polymorph count was to be assessed. We aimed to look at other predictors of C trachomatis infection using routinely collected clinical data such as sexual behaviour, symptoms, and signs.

METHODS

Data were collected on all men having STI screens during a 4 week period in 2001. Regardless of symptoms, all subjects had urethral swabs for Gram stained urethral smear and LCR testing. Men who had concomitant gonococcal urethritis were excluded. From the Gram stains the average number of PMNL per hpf (∼1000 magnification) in the five fields of greatest density was calculated. A standard kit (LCx, Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for detection of C trachomatis. All LCR results were retested for confirmation. Further information was retrieved from standardised proformas in the case notes including symptoms, sexual history, and examination findings. Self reported discharge and its presence on examination were recorded as two separate variables.

To analyse possible factors associated with chlamydia, the χ² test was used for categorical variables and the Mann-Whitney test for continuous variables. Spearman rank correlation was used to assess the relation between time since last passing urine and urethral polymorph count, and interactions between these two variables and the LCR result were tested. Logistic regression was used to calculate adjusted odds ratios for those factors significantly associated at univariate analysis.

RESULTS

After excluding 19 men with gonococcal urethritis, 363 men were studied. The study population had a median age of 31.9 years (range 18–76), 82.0% (228/278) were white, and 50% (182/363) were men who have sex with men.

Abbreviations: LCR, ligase chain reaction; NAATs, nucleic acid amplification tests; NGU, non-gonococcal urethritis; PID, pelvic inflammatory disease; PMNL, polymorphonuclear leucocytes
The predictive value was 95.9%. The point at which the lines cross for chlamydia was only 19.8%, although the negative sample positive predictive value of 5 or more PMNL per hpf for urethral chlamydia, and the specificity is 76.9%. In our sample, the positive predictive value of 5 or more has a sensitivity of 63.3% for less than 5 PMNL per hpf. Hence the diagnosis by a urethral smear as if it were a test for chlamydia. More than one third of cases of chlamydia (11/30) had counts of less than 5 PMNL per hpf. The prevalence of NGU diagnosed on urethral smear, was 26.4% (96/363) and the prevalence of C trachomatis was 8.3% (30/363). The prevalence of C trachomatis was greater at higher urethral polymorph counts—4.1% in men with less than 5 PMNL per hpf compared to 31.0% in men with 20 or more PMNL per hpf (p < 0.001).

Figure 1 displays the specificity and sensitivity of the urethral smear as if it were a test for chlamydia. More than one third of cases of chlamydia (11/30) had counts of less than 5 PMNL per hpf. Hence the diagnosis by a urethral PMNL count of 5 or more has a sensitivity of 63.3% for urethral chlamydia, and the specificity is 76.9%. In our sample, the positive predictive value of 5 or more PMNL per hpf for chlamydia was only 19.8%, although the negative predictive value was 95.9%. The point at which the lines cross is at 3 PMNL per hpf, defining a cut off where the specificity and sensitivity are balanced.

Table 1 summarises the associations between selected variables and C trachomatis infection, presented as unadjusted and adjusted odd ratios. On multivariate analysis, the strongest associations with chlamydia infection were age, dysuria, and PMNL per hpf, although confidence intervals were wide. Dysuria was significantly associated with higher PMNL count (p < 0.001, data not shown) and was associated with chlamydia infection on univariate analysis but not multivariate analysis. No significant two way interactions were found between age, number of PMNL per hpf, or dysuria.

Other variables not tabulated which were not significantly associated with chlamydial infection included ethnicity, oral intercourse or unprotected vaginal or anal intercourse in the same time period, HIV status, recent use of antibiotics, sexual orientation, and number of sexual partners in the past 3 months. There was some evidence of higher rates in men who had had unprotected, insertive anal intercourse within the past 3 months (p = 0.075, data not shown). In our sample 47% (160/341) of men had had two or more sexual partners in the past 3 months, and 48% (165/343) had had a new partner in the same time period. We were unable to detect an effect on the association between number of PMNL per hpf and infection by the time since the patient last passed urine.

**DISCUSSION**

We found that increasing urethral polymorph count was associated with chlamydial infection in men. Although the overall prevalence of symptoms and disease was low, our study reflects current advice to screen all men attending GU clinics for NSU regardless of symptoms. Many men (in our sample 37%) with chlamydial infection diagnosed on LCR have no microscopic evidence of urethritis (accepting that our study could have been improved by the use of microscopy on first pass urine samples). This finding may be partly the result of the high interobserver and intraobserver variability.

**Table 1** Frequency of C trachomatis infection in association with selected variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>No of chlamydia LCR positive (%)</th>
<th>Unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt;30</td>
<td>18/141 (12.8)</td>
<td>10.5 (1.38 to 80.6)</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td>13.6 (1.69 to 109.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>11/148 (7.4)</td>
<td>5.8 (0.73 to 45.7)</td>
<td>7.6 (0.91 to 63.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1/73 (1.4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMNL per hpf &lt;5</td>
<td>11/267 (4.1)</td>
<td>4.08 (1.65 to 10.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>3.59 (1.41 to 9.15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–9</td>
<td>4/30 (13.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–19</td>
<td>6/37 (16.2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20+</td>
<td>9/29 (31.0)</td>
<td>10.5 (3.89 to 28.2)</td>
<td>6.56 (2.15 to 20.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms Dyuria</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13/61 (21.3)</td>
<td>4.54 (2.07 to 9.95)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.27 (1.32 to 8.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>17/302 (5.6)</td>
<td>3.96 (1.62 to 9.71)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>2.02 (0.67 to 6.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penile discomfort</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2/51 (3.9)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.096 to 1.79)</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testicular discomfort</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2/83 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.10 to 6.19)</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known STI contact</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8/58 (13.8)</td>
<td>2.06 (0.87 to 4.88)</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs: Balanitis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1/19 (5.3)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.072 to 4.40)</td>
<td>0.581</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>28/313 (9.0)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epididymal tenderness</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10/46 (21.7)</td>
<td>3.90 (1.68 to 9.05)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>19/286 (6.6)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p Values listed refer to the χ² test, except for variables asterisked which were analysed using the wilcoxon rank sum test. Multivariate analysis included variables found to be statistically significant on these tests.
variation associated with microscopy, particularly at low PMNL counts, and questions the utility of a cut off in diagnosing urethral infection. Furthermore, our analysis showed symptoms of dysuria and even age to be about as strongly associated with chlamydia infection as urethral polymorph count, while the presence of discharge had no additional predictive power once a history of dysuria was elicited.

Despite the increasing availability of NAATs for chlamydia diagnosis, many questions regarding non-chlamydia NSU and asymptomatic urethritis are unanswered. Urethritis has been associated with Trichomonas vaginalis,9 although results were inconclusive when the diagnosis was made by PCR, and Mycoplasma genitalium10,11 (which has also been associated with PID), but routine sensitive testing for these infections in men is generally unavailable. Microscopy still has a central diagnostic role in sexual health clinics and clinicians are advised to treat all men with NGU on the basis of this, supported by evidence of an association with pelvic inflammatory disease. Our data suggest that urethral polymorph count is not as strongly associated with C trachomatis as previously assessed, and that age and symptoms should be incorporated into the predictive algorithm of urethral infection. Many clinicians adopt such an approach already. The epidemiology of chlamydia negative NGU may become easier to define as more sensitive tests for other organisms become available.
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