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Objectives: To document the current practice of fellows of the Australasian College of Sexual Health Physicians (ACSHP) when taking a sexual history from a new client.

Method: A postal questionnaire was sent to all eligible fellows practising sexual health medicine in public sexual health centres in Australia and New Zealand (n = 93). The study period extended from 3 February to 4 April 2003 inclusive.

Results: 77 (83%) fellows returned the questionnaire and, of these, 71 (76%) were eligible for inclusion in the analysis. The median number of years of practising sexual health medicine was 16 (range 5–32) with 70% having worked in the field for 10 years or more. The generic questions that fellows asked most often concerned the presenting complaint/reason for attendance, the history of the presenting complaint, current sexual relationship status and last sexual contact, history of sexually transmitted infections (STIs), use of condoms during sexual intercourse in the past 3 months, and pattern (always, usually, sometimes, never) of condom use during that time period. The least frequently asked generic questions concerned age at first sexual activity, the number of sexual contacts, lifetime condom use, and hepatitis A status.

Conclusion: This study establishes the consistency and variability of sexual health information currently collected by fellows of the ACSHP when taking a history from a new client.

Currently, many countries, including Australia and New Zealand, are experiencing significant increases in a number of sexually transmitted infections (STIs). A central element to the control of STIs is accurate and timely surveillance data, both on the incidence of specific conditions and on behaviour. Sexual health clinics form an important part of a surveillance network and standardised collection of sexual health and lifestyle variables is a vital component of this.

This study seeks to document the degree of consistency or variability of the sexual history currently being collected by fellows of the Australasian College of Sexual Health Physicians (ACSHP) when taking a sexual history from a new client.

METHODS

The fellows of the ACSHP, actively involved in the practice of sexual health medicine in the public health setting during the period 3 February until 4 April 2003 in Australia and New Zealand were invited to participate in the study. Fellows were excluded from the analysis if they were working primarily in other areas of medicine (for example, gastroenterology) and not taking a sexual history on a routine basis. Fellows were asked to complete the questionnaire by recording how often they asked a particular question as part of the assessment of a new client attending a public sexual health centre.

The questionnaire was developed from clinic proformas from 23 clinics from every Australian state and territory and New Zealand. The questionnaire covered the client’s sexual health history, sexual behaviour history, lifestyle and personal characteristics, characteristics of the client’s partner(s), and the client’s obstetric and gynaecological history (if female). In total, 40 questions were presented in the questionnaire. Some questions were presented in a stem and leaf format—for example, “What percentage of new clients do you ask about past STI(s)?...if you do, do you document which STI(s)?” The scale for responses—0% ≤5% ≤25% ≤50% ≤75% ≤95% ≤100%—was designed to identify the questions the fellows would ask “nearly always” or “always” (≤95% ≤100% of the time) and those that they would rarely ask (≤5% of the time) on a routine basis. The design of the stem and leaf questions was factored into the analysis by calculating the actual percentage the question was asked for the stem responses and the adjusted percentages for the leaf responses. Free format areas were included for fellows to add questions not covered in the questionnaire.

Questionnaires were posted from and returned to the office of the college. Questionnaires were numbered and matched to fellows. This matching remained confidential to the executive secretary of the college and was used only for the purposes of follow up if questionnaires were not returned by the specified time. Follow up took place at 3 and 6 weeks. All questionnaires were de-identified and forwarded to the researchers.

The study was approved by the Victorian Department of Human Services human research ethics committee and consent was obtained from each participant.

The questionnaire responses were entered into a secure database and descriptive analysis carried out using Excel and SPSS computer programs.

RESULTS

Seventy seven of the 93 (83%) fellows returned questionnaires and 71 (76%) questionnaires were eligible for inclusion in the analysis. Forty three of the 77 (56%) fellows who responded were female. Fellows from all but one state/territory responded.

The majority (41%) of respondents had been fellows of the college for 1–5 years, 32% had belonged for 6–10 years, and 26% 11–15 years. Forty seven per cent of fellows had entered the college through the grandfather clause, 39% had gained fellowship through formal college training, and 14% were individuals who had been invited into the college.
The median number of years spent working in sexual health medicine was 16 (range 5–32); 70% of fellows had at least 10 years' clinical experience, and the median number of clinical sessions/week was four (range 1–10).

The actual and adjusted percentages of the questions asked by the fellows are shown in table 1. Only those questions where ≥70% of fellows endorsed the question as current practice are shown. As can be seen in the table, the most commonly asked generic questions concerned the client’s current situation—the presenting complaint/reason for attending the clinic, the history of the presenting complaint, current sexual relationship status and last sexual contact, history of STIs, use of condoms during sexual intercourse in the past 3 months, and the pattern—always, usually (greater than 50% of the time), sometimes (less than 50%), never—of condom use during that timeframe. The range of actual percentages was 26% to 95% and adjusted percentages 25% to 95%. The generic questions that the fellows asked clients least frequently concerned their age at first intercourse, the number of sexual contacts and who they used condoms with (regular, casual partners) in their lifetime and their hepatitis A status.

**DISCUSSION**

This study has established current practice of fellows of the Australasian College of Sexual Health Physicians when taking a sexual history. To the authors’ knowledge this is the first study to establish current practice for sexual history taking based on clinic pro formas. This information contributes to the development of best practice guidelines in sexual health and improving the surveillance through sexual health centres in our region.

The strengths of this study lie in the fact that current practice was identified initially from clinic pro formas, from which a questionnaire was generated and completed by fellows actively working in the area of sexual health.
medicine. The response rate to the questionnaire was high and broad geographical coverage of Australia and New Zealand was achieved. In addition, free format areas in the questionnaire accommodated additional questions fellows ask as part of their current practice. The answers to some questions were relatively predictable but the answers to others provide valuable insights into questions that may be useful for routine surveillance. For example, over 90% of fellows asked about the presenting problem and recent history. However, it was also clear from this study that lifetime sexual partners and even those in the past 12 months are considerably less likely to be routinely collected than those in the last 3 months. This information will be useful, particularly for informing surveillance of behavioural data.

Studies in the United States and United Kingdom of current practice in sexual health history taking have been carried out in other areas of medicine—for example, gynaecology, and in the context of conditions such chronic renal disease and cystic fibrosis in adolescents or in specific conditions such as chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndromes in sexual health medicine. In addition, the current practice of asking a specific sexual health question (for example, sexual assault) has been studied in isolation but not in the context of an entire sexual history.
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