Mycoplasma genitalium was isolated originally from the urethra of two men with non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU) in 1980. Isolation of this bacterium is very difficult, but the use of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technology has consistently shown M genitalium to be a major cause of non-choamydial non-gonococcal urethritis (NCNGU) among men. There is also increasing evidence that M genitalium causes mucopurulent cervicitis in women and that it may cause endometritis and possibly tubal infection with sequelae in the form of ectopic pregnancy or tubal infertility. Only one published study has failed to show an association between urogenital tract disease and the presence of M genitalium in the female genital tract. However, no asymptomatic patients were included in that study and surprisingly, as many as 38% of the women were positive compared with a C trachomatis prevalence of 8%, raising concern about the specificity of the M genitalium assay. Most M genitalium studies in STD clinic outpatients have focused on symptomatic patients with urethritis and have used non-symptomatic patients as controls. These studies demonstrate that M genitalium, C trachomatis, and Neisseria gonorrhoeae are significantly more frequently detected among symptomatic patients than among asymptomatic controls, thus indicating that these bacteria are pathogens of the genital tract. This is emphasised by the fact that sexual partners of M genitalium and C trachomatis infected patients harbour the corresponding microbe more often than partners of patients with other STIs. Thus, in conclusion, the role of M genitalium may not significantly differ from that of genital C trachomatis infection.

Most women with M genitalium, C trachomatis, or N gonorrhoeae infection attending an STD clinic receive treatment immediately after clinical and microscopic examination, because of signs of infection. The microscopic criteria for cervicitis differ and are debatable. In the Scandinavian countries, a widely used criterion is the presence of more polymorphonuclear leucocytes (PMNL) than epithelial cells in vaginal wet smears. However, some investigators claim leucocytosis to appear without evidence of infection during the menstrual cycle especially around mid-cycle following ovulation.

The aim of this cross sectional study was to compare signs and symptoms in female STD clinic attendees with C trachomatis and M genitalium infections and to study the prevalence of these bacteria and the rate of infection among sexual partners. A secondary aim was to estimate the value of microscopic examination of wet smears and urethral smears as tools for the diagnosis of cervicitis and urethritis.

METHODS
Study population
From 1 February 2000 to 31 July 2000, all female attendees at the Örebro University Hospital STD clinic were included. Data were collected on a detailed standard questionnaire regarding the reasons for attendance, age, symptoms (vaginal discharge, urethral pain during micturition, intermenstrual, or postcoital bleeding, and lower abdominal pain), contraceptive method, day of menstrual cycle, and probable sexually transmitted infections (STI) among partners.

Abbreviations: FVU, first void urine; HPF, high power field; NCNGU, non-chlamydial non-gonococcal urethritis; NGU, non-gonococcal urethritis; NSI, non-specific inflammation; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PMNL, polymorphonuclear leucocytes; STI, sexually transmitted infections

Objectives: To study the prevalence, symptoms, and signs of Mycoplasma genitalium and Chlamydia trachomatis infections in women attending a Swedish STD clinic, accessible for both sexes, and in a group of young women called in the cervical cancer screening programme.

Methods: A cross sectional study among female STD clinic attendees in Örebro and a study among women called for Papanicolaou smear screening. Attendees were examined for urethritis and cervicitis. First void urine and endocervical samples were tested for M genitalium and C trachomatis.

Results: The prevalence of C trachomatis and M genitalium in the STD clinic population was 10% (45/465) and 6% (26/461), respectively. Dual infection was diagnosed in four women. In the cancer screening group of women the corresponding prevalence was 2% (1/59) and 0%, respectively. Among the STD clinic attendees there were no significant differences in symptoms (32% v 23%, RR 1.4, 95% CI 0.6 to 3.4) or signs (71% v 50%, RR 1.4, 95% CI 0.9 to 2.3) between C trachomatis and M genitalium infections. Microscopic signs of cervicitis were significantly more common among M genitalium and C trachomatis infected women than in the cancer screening group of women. 56% (15/27) of male partners of M genitalium infected women were infected with M genitalium compared to 59% of male partners of C trachomatis infected women who were infected with C trachomatis (p = 0.80).

Conclusions: M genitalium is a common infection associated with cervicitis and with a high prevalence of infected sexual partners supporting its role as a cause of sexually transmitted infection.
Table 1  Symptoms, microscopic signs of urethritis and/or cervicitis among all female STD clinic attendees (n = 465)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal smears</th>
<th>Urethral smear</th>
<th>Urethral smear</th>
<th>Urethral smear</th>
<th>Cervicitis and normal urethral smear</th>
<th>Urethral smear</th>
<th>Urethral smear</th>
<th>Urethral smear</th>
<th>Symptoms count (%)</th>
<th>Bacterial vaginosis Count (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5* UND</td>
<td>5–10* &gt;10*</td>
<td>5–10* &gt;10*</td>
<td>&lt;5* UND</td>
<td>UND and WND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C trachomatis pos (n = 41)</td>
<td>11 †</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M genitalium pos (n = 22)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg and Ct pos (n = 4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urethritis and/ or cervicitis, negative tests (n = 155)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rest of attendees (n = 243)</td>
<td>237 ‡</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>53 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n = 465)</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms count (%)</td>
<td>13 (22)</td>
<td>12/36 (33)</td>
<td>5 (23)</td>
<td>5/22 (23)</td>
<td>2 (50)</td>
<td>2/4 (50)</td>
<td>65 (42)</td>
<td>30/155 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial vaginosis Count (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UND, microscopic examination of urethral smear not done; WND, microscopic examination of vaginal wet smear not done; Mg, Neisseria gonorrhoeae; Ct, Chlamydia trachomatis; Mg, Mycoplasma genitalium.

*PMNL/HPF in methylene blue stained smears.
†Wet smears not done in one patient with Ct and in two patients in the group with no Ng infection or NGU.
‡Wet smear not done in one patient.

Women in a comparison group (cervical cancer screening group)

Women (n = 157) aged 22–26 years who were registered as residents in an inner city area of Örebro on 30 June 2002, and called for routine Papanicolaou smear screening in the national cervical cancer screening programme were invited to participate in the study by a letter attached the call for screening. The women had the opportunity to give consent via email, phone, or by writing a letter. LF contacted those women who had not replied by phone or letter. Women agreeing to participate were asked about current symptoms, current or recent antibiotic treatment, contraceptive method, and day of menstrual cycle at the time of examination and sampling. This group of women who participated is henceforth designated the screening group. This part of the study was conducted in September and in October 2002. LF examined and took samples from all participants, and performed the microscopic examination.

Sampling Patients

A total of 465 women between 15 and 54 years of age (median 24 years) were included. Four women were not examined or tested for M genitalium since they were referred from primary health care with a confirmed C trachomatis genital infection. The remaining 461 women were examined.

Samples were taken from the posterior and lateral vaginal fornices for wet smear examination in 10% KOH and in 0.9% NaCl, respectively, using a 10 μl inoculation loop. An endocervical swab and a sample taken with a blunt curette from the distal urethra were obtained, and the smears were stained with methylene blue.

During the study period, seven clinicians participated, but four of those examined 99% of all patients. All smears were examined with a Nikon Labophot microscope. Wet smears and methylene blue stained smears were examined in phase contrast 400× and at 1000× magnification, respectively. Cervicitis was defined as more PMNL than epithelial cells in wet smear. Urethritis is generally defined as >4 PMNL per high power field (HPF) in more than four HPF,14 but in the current study smears with 5–10 PMNL per HPF were defined as “grey zone urethritis” and >10 PMNL per HPF as urethritis.15 Endocervical specimens were obtained, using three sterile aluminium shafted Rayon swabs (Copan, Brescia, Italy). The first two swabs were used for detection of C trachomatis by culture and were transported in one polypropylene tube (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) containing 2-SP medium to the Örebro University Hospital laboratory. The third swab was transported in 2-SP medium to Statens Serum Institut in Copenhagen, Denmark, for detection of M genitalium. Before the genital examination, first void urine (FVU) was collected for C trachomatis and M genitalium tests and distributed in two screw capped 13 ml polypropylene tubes (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). Sexual partners attending the STD clinic during the study were examined for C trachomatis and M genitalium as described previously.15

Women in the screening group

The procedure described above was performed on women in the screening group. Specimens for wet smear were examined microscopically within 4 hours at the STD clinic. Out of 157 eligible women, 59 (38%) women between 22 and 26 years of age (median 23) participated in the study. Reasons for not participating (98/157) were: 24 only wanted Pap smear taken, 21 could not be reached but were still registered residents, 18 had moved from town, 11 were abroad, six had no sexual intercourse, five did not want to give any reason, four had been examined with Pap smear recently, three were pregnant, two were breastfeeding, could not get any suitable appointment, and two agreed to participate, but did not attend (dropout).

Microbiological analysis

One of the tubes containing 5–10 ml of urine and the endocervical specimens was sent the same day to the Department of Clinical Microbiology, Örebro University Hospital, and stored at 2–8°C. The urine samples were tested by the Cobas Amplicor Chlamydia trachomatis test (Roche Diagnostics Systems, Inc, Branchburg, NJ, USA) as described by the manufacturer.

The other tube containing 5–10 ml FVU and the second endocervical specimen was sent the same day by express mail to Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark, for M genitalium PCR test. M genitalium was detected by an inhibitor controlled PCR using primers detecting the M genitalium 16S rRNA gene.16 All positive results were confirmed by a PCR detecting the MgPa adhesin gene.17

www.stijournal.com
Endocervical and urethral samples for *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (culture) were taken from 65 women. Samples were taken selectively on certain indications and not as a screening test because of the low incidence in Sweden (0.7/100 000 inhabitants—that is, 588 cases in 2000).

For the women in the screening group the same procedures were used, but no sampling for *N gonorrhoeae* was performed.

### Bacterial vaginosis

Amsel’s criteria were used for the diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis.22

### Follow up

All patients infected with *C trachomatis* and/or *M genitalium* were asked to reattend for a follow up visit 4–5 weeks after commencing antibiotic treatment. As a part of this study the treatment efficacy in *M genitalium* infected patients was evaluated in an open pilot study.23 The results from that study suggest that tetracyclines are not sufficient to eradicate *M genitalium*, but that azithromycin might be effective. All recent partners of *C trachomatis* and *M genitalium* infected patients were notified and asked to attend the STD clinic for *C trachomatis* and *M genitalium* testing and genital examination. Recent partners were defined as all partners during the past 6 months before attendance or, if one partner during that period, at least the two latest partners.

### Statistical analyses

The $\chi^2$ test and Fisher’s exact test, when appropriate, were used to test differences in proportions. Stata statistical software version 8.0 was used for calculating confidence intervals.

### RESULTS

*Neisseria gonorrhoeae* was not isolated from any patient and no smear were positive for *N gonorrhoeae*. Urethritis and/or cervicitis (*NGU*) was detected in 222 (48%) of the 461 women attending the STD clinic and in 15 (25%) of the 59 women in the screening group. In the latter group, one woman was infected with *C trachomatis*, but none was infected with *M genitalium*. Among the STD clinic attendees, 45 patients between 16 and 32 years of age (median 22 years) were infected with *C trachomatis* (10%, 45/465) and 26 patients between 16 and 39 years of age (median 22 years) were positive for *M genitalium* (6%, 26/461). Four chlamydia patients had a concurrent *M genitalium* infection, and were excluded from further analysis. The remaining 155 women with *M genitalium* negative non-chlamydial non-gonococcal urethritis and/or cervicitis were between 15 and 51 years of age (median 24 years) (34%, 155/457). They were considered as having a non-specific inflammation (NSI). Among these, 42 had condylomata and seven had genital herpes infection; these infections themselves might be the cause of cervicitis and/or urethritis.

Among women with NSI, 42% (65/155) reported symptoms compared with 22% (53/247) of those without urethritis or cervicitis (p<0.001), whereas there was no statistically significant difference in the prevalence of symptoms between *C trachomatis* and *M genitalium* infected patients or the other groups of STD clinic attendees (table 1). Bacterial vaginosis was more prevalent among *C trachomatis* infected women (35%, 14/40) than among women without urethritis and/or cervicitis (11%, 26/243) (p<0.001) but also more common than among the 177 women with non-chlamydial urethritis and/or cervicitis (20%, 35/177), although this difference did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.06) (table 1).

### Table 2 Signs of urethritis and cervicitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Urethritis</th>
<th>Cervicitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urethral smear</td>
<td>Wet smear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5–10*</td>
<td>&gt;10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C trachomatis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M genitalium</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening group</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A comparison between STD clinic attendees with *Chlamydia trachomatis* or *Mycoplasma genitalium* infection and a screening group of women, without these infections.

Patients with dual *C trachomatis* and *M genitalium* infection (n = 4) were excluded in comparisons as well as five patients with *C trachomatis* infection, where smears were not sampled.

*PMNL per HPF.*

†Microscopic cervicitis was defined as more PMNL than vaginal epithelial cells (EP) in wet smear.

‡Urethritis defined as >4 PMNL/HPF.

§Urethral smear was not sampled from one patient, who had a microscopically verified cervicitis.

### Table 3 Diagnosis of sexual partners of 24 women infected with *C trachomatis* (*Ct*), 17 infected with *M genitalium* (Mg), four with a dual infection and 43 women with a non-specific infection (NSI). Percentages presented within parentheses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partners’ diagnosis</th>
<th>Female patients’ diagnosis</th>
<th>Ctr (n = 24/41)</th>
<th>Mg (n = 17/22)</th>
<th>Mg and Ct (n = 4/4)</th>
<th>NSI (n = 43/155)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>C trachomatis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>19* (59)</td>
<td>2* (10)</td>
<td>4* (57)</td>
<td>7 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M genitalium</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>1* (3)</td>
<td>13* (65)</td>
<td>2* (28)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-specific urethritis†</td>
<td></td>
<td>11 (34)</td>
<td>9 (25)</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
<td>12 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other diagnoses</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>1* (5)</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
<td>21 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of partners</td>
<td></td>
<td>32*</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>7*</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One male partner in each group was both *C trachomatis* and *M genitalium* positive.

†Non-specific urethritis indicates urethritis with negative test results for *C trachomatis* and *M genitalium.*
Among the women in the screening group, three (5%) had symptoms (vaginal discharge). This was significantly fewer than the 30% (20/67) of women with symptoms in the group infected with *M. genitalium* and/or *C. trachomatis* \((p, 0.001)\), but also fewer than the 22% (53/243) of women without urethritis or cervicitis in the STD clinic population. Bacterial vaginosis was diagnosed in 14% (8/58) of the women in the screening group, which was significantly lower than the rate among the *C. trachomatis* infected women in the STD clinic population \((p = 0.013)\). There was no statistically significant difference in the prevalence of bacterial vaginosis when corresponding comparison was performed between the screening group and the women infected with *M. genitalium* \((p = 0.22)\).

Signs of urethritis and/or cervicitis were seen in 71% (25/35) of *C. trachomatis* infected and in 50% (11/22) of *M. genitalium* infected women compared with 24% (14/58) among the women in the screening group \((p<0.001)\) and \((p = 0.03)\) for *C. trachomatis* and *M. genitalium*, respectively. Among the *C. trachomatis* and *M. genitalium* negative women in the STD clinic population, 40% (155/390) had signs (tables 1 and 2). There was no statistically significant difference in the prevalence of signs between the *M. genitalium* infected and the *C. trachomatis* infected women \((RR 0.7; 95\% CI 0.4 to 1.1)\).

*C. trachomatis* was strongly associated with microscopic signs in the STD population as 25 (71%) of 35 *C. trachomatis* positive women had urethritis and/or cervicitis whereas only 166 (40%) of the 412 *C. trachomatis* negative women had signs \((p<0.001)\). In contrast, *M. genitalium* could not be shown to be associated with signs, since 11 (50%) of 22 *M. genitalium* positive women had signs compared to 180 (42%) of the 425 *M. genitalium* negative women \((p = 0.6)\).

Twenty seven sexual partners of 21 *M. genitalium* infected women were examined and 15 (56%) of them were *M. genitalium* positive, six (22%) were *C. trachomatis* positive of whom two where infected with both *M. genitalium* and *C. trachomatis* and partners of doubly infected women. Correspondingly, 39 partners of 28 *C. trachomatis* positive women were examined and 23 (59%) of those partners were *C. trachomatis* positive, and three (8%) were *M. genitalium* positive, of which two men were partners of doubly infected women. Seven (16%) of the 42 examined partners of women with NSI were *C. trachomatis* positive, and two (5%) were *M. genitalium* positive. Both *C. trachomatis* and *M. genitalium* infections were found significantly more often in partners of women with the corresponding infection, than in partners of women with NSI \((p<0.001)\) for both. The diagnosis and number of partners of each group are shown in table 3.

Median menstrual cycle day was day 12 for *C. trachomatis* infected women, day 14 for *M. genitalium* infected women, and day 16 for the screening group. There was no statistically significant difference in the use of oral contraception (OC), which was 70%, 68%, and 64%, respectively in the different groups \((p = 0.80)\). No cluster pattern of vaginal leucocytosis could be seen in relation to the menstrual cycle in any of the groups (fig 1).

**DISCUSSION**

In this cross sectional study among female STD clinic attendees, the prevalence of *C. trachomatis* was higher than that of *M. genitalium* \((10\% \text{ v } 6\% \text{ respectively})\) and with only 1% infected with both bacteria \((4/461)\). In the screening group, only one woman was infected with *C. trachomatis* and none with *M. genitalium*. Only a few true cross sectional studies of female STD clinic populations have been published. In one Swedish study, 85 women were examined during a period of 11 months and the prevalence of *C. trachomatis* and *M. genitalium* was 9% and 3.5% respectively.3 However, the
wet smear. An American study used the criteria >10 PMNL/HFP and purulent discharge from the cervical orifice based on findings from an earlier study. In this study, we compared the presence of microscopic cervicitis between C trachomatis and/or M genitalium infected women and the other STD clinic attendees and between the infected women and the C trachomatis negative women in the screening group. Obviously, the two populations are not directly comparable. It could be assumed that the STD clinic attendees were more likely to exhibit a higher prevalence of sexual risk behaviour than were the screening group, leading to a broader spectrum of STIs and a higher prevalence of different STIs. Only 38% of women called for Pap smear in the cervical cancer screening programme participated in the study. The reasons for not participating have been described above. We cannot completely exclude the possibility of selection that may bias the results; however, it is not likely that those women who participated would be at a lower risk of catching an STI than those who did not.

De Allende described variations in the number of leucocytes during the menstrual cycle. Larsson reported an increase in the number of leucocytes in the vaginal secretions usually once in the menstrual cycle in three middle aged women who were studied during four cycles. In the present study, we found no specific pattern of leucocytosis during the menstrual cycle. However, since our study populations used OC (64%-70%) they had no ovulation, and thus, the pattern may be obscured or absent. Most young women with high STI risk behaviour use OC, and therefore the findings of De Allende and Larsson might be less important in an STD clinic.

The interpretation of smears depends on several variables: the instrument for sampling, the standard of the microscope and how it is used, the experience of the clinician, and whether stained dry smears or wet smears are examined. This subjective procedure can never be strictly scientifically standardised. We believe that more studies are required on the diagnostic tools for determining the clinically relevant definition of cervicitis and urethritis.

In summary, M genitalium was associated with signs of cervicitis and both C trachomatis and M genitalium infected women transmitted the infections to a large proportion of their sexual partners. More studies are needed to determine

---

**Key messages**

- M genitalium is an important and frequent cause of female lower genital tract infection. This study indicates that infections with M genitalium and C trachomatis are often asymptomatic, and that there are no significant differences between the bacteria in terms of symptoms and microscopic signs.
- Partners of M genitalium infected women were often infected with M genitalium and to the same extent were partners of C trachomatis infected women infected with C trachomatis (56% and 59%, respectively) supporting the role of M genitalium as a sexually transmitted pathogen.
- The absence of M genitalium infection in a group of women called for Papanicolaou smear in a cervical cancer screening programme strongly indicates that M genitalium is a pathogen and not a commensal bacterium.
- More studies are needed on the diagnostic tools for determining the clinically relevant definition of cervicitis and urethritis.
the potential of *M genitalium* infection to cause invasive disease in both women and men. The high *M genitalium* prevalence among STD clinic attendees indicates that screening for this pathogen may be rewarding in the STD clinic setting.
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