The effects of urethritis on seminal plasma HIV-1 RNA loads in homosexual men not receiving antiretroviral therapy


Methods: Prospective case-control study. HIV-1 infected homosexual men, not receiving ART for at least 3 months, with (cases) and without (controls) symptomatic urethritis, were recruited. Blood and semen were collected for HIV-1 RNA quantification at presentation, before antibiotic therapy, and at 1 and 2 weeks.

Results: 20 cases (13 gonococcal urethritis and/or chlamydial urethritis (GU/CU) and seven non-specific urethritis (NSU)) and 35 controls were recruited. Baseline characteristics and blood plasma viral load were similar in cases and controls. Mean log semen plasma viral loads were higher among those with GU/CU compared with controls (4.27 log versus 3.55 log respectively; p = 0.01) but not in those with NSU (3.48 log; p = 0.82). Following antibiotics, semen plasma viral loads fell by a mean of 0.25 log (95% CI: 0.03 to 0.47) in those with GU/CU. Semen plasma viral loads did not fall in those with NSU.

Conclusions: In this study of 55 homosexual men not on ART, semen plasma viral loads were approximately fivefold higher in those with GU/CU, but not NSU, compared with controls. Treatment of GU/CU resulted in reduction in semen plasma viral loads. Although absolute effects were considerably lower when compared to patients from a similar study from sub-Saharan Africa, our data demonstrate the potential for sexually transmitted infections to enhance HIV infectivity of men not receiving ART in the developed world.

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; BPVL, blood plasma viral loads; GU, gonococcal urethritis; NGU, non-gonococcal urethritis; NSU, non-specific urethritis; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; p/hpf, polymorphs per high power field; SPVL, seminal plasma viral loads.

Most HIV-1 infections in adults worldwide occur sexually, and there is biological and epidemiological evidence that the quantity of virus in genital secretions is an important determinant of transmission.14 We have recently demonstrated no effect of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) on seminal plasma viral loads (SPVL) in homosexual men on fully suppressive antiretroviral therapy (ART) living in the United Kingdom.15 Among those not on ART, urethritis has been associated with increased genital shedding of HIV-1 in studies from sub-Saharan Africa, with differences of over 100 000 copies/ml in median SPVL observed in cases of gonococcal urethritis (GU) compared to those without STIs in one study.10 11 In the developed world, a limited number of small studies have suggested that STIs may increase SPVL in those not taking ART.12 13

It is possible that effects of STIs on SPVLs, observed in Africa, may be greater than those observed in the developed world. HIV-1 infected individuals in Africa have, on average, higher blood plasma viral loads (BPVL) and states of immune activation than those in the developed world14 15 and the effects of inflammatory cytokines on viral replication may be greater on prevalent subtypes in Africa compared to subtype B, found more commonly in Europe and North America.16 Furthermore, delayed health seeking behaviour of those with STIs in the developing world may allow STIs to have greater impact before treatment is given.17 We studied the effects of urethritis on SPVL in homosexual men with HIV infection who were not on ART.

Methods

HIV-1 infected homosexual men not receiving ART for at least 3 months attending two UK sexual health clinics either with urethritis (cases) or for a sexual health check up but with no STI (controls) were recruited prospectively between November 2000 and October 2002 (study visit 1). Patients were excluded if they had an episode of urethritis or systemic illness in the previous month. Participants had routine urethral swabs for gonorrhoea (by microscopy and culture), chlamydia (using ligase chain reaction; Abbott Diagnostics, Abbot Park, IL, USA), and non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU) by microscopy. For the study, in those who were negative for gonorrhoea on microscopy, NGU was defined as those patients symptomatic for urethritis with five or more polymorphs per high power field (p/hpf) on microscopy or those asymptomatic patients with 10 p/hpf or more on microscopy. This definition was used because of our observation that consistency of microscopy alone for predicting NGU is poor when polymorph counts are low.18 The initial diagnosis of NGU was changed to non-specific urethritis (NSU) if subsequent chlamydia and gonococcal culture tests remained negative. In addition to serological tests for syphilis taken on their first visit, blood was also collected for HIV-1 RNA quantification and patients then provided a semen sample by masturbation into a sterile container. All patients provided semen samples before voiding urine and were advised against using lubricants during masturbation.

Patients diagnosed with urethritis, whether GU or NGU, were treated with appropriate antibiotics. Cases and controls were asked to attend the following week (visit 2) and 2 weeks later (visit 3) for repeat smears, gonococcal culture, and blood and semen samples. At all visits, clinical and demographic data were collected including sexual histories.
A sample size of 20 cases and controls was required to give approximately 80% power to detect as significant a difference in mean log-SPVL at first visit of 0.7 (that is, a fivefold difference in SPVL), as observed previously in Africa, relative to a standard deviation of measurements in each group of 0.8, and taking the standard 5% significance level. It was decided to try to recruit more controls to increase this power.

**Virolology methods**

Semen and blood samples were centrifuged within 2 hours of collection and the plasma and cellular components stored at −70°C. HIV-1 RNA was extracted from blood and semen plasma by a silica gel capture method previously observed to successfully remove inhibitors of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and quantified using an in-house, internally calibrated reverse transcribed PCR assay (RT-QPCR, Department of Virology UCL, London). The lower limit of quantification was 1000 copies/ml.

**Statistical methods**

Cases were compared with controls with respect to age, years since HIV diagnosis, ethnicity, median numbers of partners in previous 3 months, and most recent CD4 count and time since HIV diagnosis the Mann-Whitney test was used. For viral loads before first visit, and also at first visit, the t test was used. In all analysis of HIV-1 RNA loads undetectable measurements were considered as 500 copies/ml (half the limit of detection), and log10 values were used. To compare ethnicity and HIV-1 RNA detectability at visit 1 Fisher's exact test was used. To compare HIV-1 RNA loads in blood and semen within patients at visit 1 the paired t test was used, and their correlation assessed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Average changes in HIV-1 RNA loads across study visits were estimated for cases and controls, and these changes compared. This analysis was based on generalised estimating equations (GEE) of Stata 7, because of multiple measurements for patients, selecting an exchangeable working correlation structure, and using the robust standard errors. As planned subgroup analysis, comparisons with controls were made for all cases, for NSU cases alone, and cases with chlamydial urethritis (CU) or GU.

**RESULTS**

Twenty cases (nine GU, three CU, one combined CU and GU, and seven NSU) and 35 controls were recruited. In this study, all cases had polymorph counts of >10 p/hpf counts and all controls counts of <5 p/hpf. All cases were symptomatic, except one with NSU who had a polymorph count of 11 p/hpf. Three of the remaining NSU cases had polymorph counts of between 10 and 20 p/hpf and the other three controls of >20 p/hpf. All cases of GU or NSU had polymorph counts of >20 p/hpf except one with GU with a count of 15 p/hpf. Seven controls had symptoms of urethral discomfort, but were negative for chlamydia and gonorrhoea. One case with GU and two controls were receiving antibiotics for unrelated minor infections at presentation. Median age, years since HIV diagnosis, ethnicity, numbers of sexual partners in the previous 3 months, pre-study BPVL, and pre-study CD4 count were similar between cases and controls (table 1).

**BPVLs and SPVLs at study visit 1 and follow up (see table 1)**

HIV-1 RNA was detectable in 16/20 cases compared with 23/35 controls in semen (p = 0.36, Fisher’s exact test) and in 18/20 cases compared with 33/35 controls in blood (p = 0.62).

---

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics and viral loads of cases and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Urethritis</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>P Value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>20 (9 GU, 1 GU/CU, 3 CU, 7 NSU)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (years) range</td>
<td>32.5 (23.9–48.5)</td>
<td>33.5 (24.5–41.8)</td>
<td>0.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years since HIV diagnosis range</td>
<td>3.36 (0.41–14.18)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.11–16.19)</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White ethnicity (n)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median partners in last 3 months (range)</td>
<td>4 (1–21)</td>
<td>3 (0–51)</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pre-study log10 BPVL (95% CI)</td>
<td>4.26 (3.90 to 4.62)</td>
<td>4.34 (4.06 to 4.63)</td>
<td>0.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median pre-study CD4 count (range)</td>
<td>475 (56–1200)</td>
<td>477 (44–1590)</td>
<td>0.937</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BPVL at study visit 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Urethritis</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>P Value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All urethritis</td>
<td>4.11 (3.76 to 4.45)</td>
<td>4.21 (4.03 to 4.40)</td>
<td>0.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GU/CU only</td>
<td>4.17 (3.75 to 4.59)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSU only</td>
<td>4.00 (3.22 to 4.77)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BPVL after study visit 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Urethritis</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>P Value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All urethritis</td>
<td>4.19 (3.78 to 4.59)</td>
<td>4.27 (4.02 to 4.52)</td>
<td>0.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GU/CU only</td>
<td>4.38 (3.90 to 4.87)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSU only</td>
<td>3.92 (3.24 to 4.60)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SPVL at study visit 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Urethritis</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>P Value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All urethritis</td>
<td>3.99 (3.53 to 4.45)</td>
<td>3.55 (3.27 to 3.83)</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GU/CU only</td>
<td>4.27 (3.66 to 4.87)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSU only</td>
<td>3.46 (2.78 to 4.17)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SPVL after study visit 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Urethritis</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>P Value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All urethritis</td>
<td>3.88 (3.54 to 4.23)</td>
<td>3.59 (3.24 to 3.94)</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GU/CU only</td>
<td>4.12 (3.54 to 4.69)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSU only</td>
<td>3.52 (3.39 to 3.66)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.823</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GU: gonococcal urethritis; CU: chlamydial urethritis; NSU: non-specific urethritis; BPVL: mean log10 blood plasma viral loads; SPVL: mean log10 semen plasma viral loads.

*Figures quoted are number of measurements/number of patients. **P value from comparison with controls.
BPVLs were higher than SPVLs in controls by 0.66 log (p<0.001) and there was a fairly good correlation between BPVL and SPVL (r = 0.46, p = 0.005 Pearson coefficient). Among cases overall and in patients with GU or CU, BPVLs were similar to SPVLs (p = 0.58 and p = 0.52 respectively, paired t test) and there was again a good correlation between BPVL and SPVL (r = 0.61, p = 0.004 and r = 0.71, p = 0.006, respectively). SPVLs appeared to be lower than BPVLs, in those with NSU, by 0.52 log (p = 0.07).

There was little difference in mean log BPVL between cases and controls. Compared with controls mean log BPVL appeared higher in controls overall, (3.99 log for cases v 3.55 log for controls; p = 0.08), significantly higher in GU/CU cases (4.27 log; p = 0.014) but were similar in NSU cases (3.48 log; p = 0.82) (see table 1). Little difference was detected either in SPVL or BPVL in cases of CU compared with GU (mean BPVL: 4.5 log v 4.07 log, p = 0.266; mean SPVL: 4.58 log v 4.15 log; p = 0.44, respectively).

At follow up 16/24, 6/24, and 1/24 controls and 9/16, 2/16, and 5/16 cases provided semen samples at visit 2 only, visit 3 and at both follow up visits respectively. More specifically among the cases at follow up, semen samples were provided by 6/10, 2/10, and 2/10 with GU/CU and 3/6, 0/6, and 3/6 with NSU at visit 2, visit 3 and at both follow up visits respectively. Little difference was detected in mean BPVL or SPVL between cases controls at follow up. Among those with CU/GU, mean SPVL remained approximately half a log higher compared with controls but this difference was not significant.

Changes in log viral loads from visit 1 to follow up (see fig 1)

No significant changes in BPVL or SPVL from visit 1 to follow up were detected in cases overall, or controls. However, among those with GU/CU alone, SPVLs, but not BPVLs, decreased following antibiotic treatment by on average 0.25 log (95% CI 0.03 to 0.47, p = 0.028). When compared with the changes observed among controls, this effect appeared to be broadly maintained with a relative reduction in SPVL in GU/CU cases of 0.34 log (~0.01 to 0.68; p = 0.056). Little change in SPVL was observed in those with NSU alone.

DISCUSSION

This study of 55 homosexual men is the largest as yet from the developed world examining effects of sexually transmitted infections on seminal plasma viral load in those not on ART. Compared with controls without STIs, BPVLs were approximately fivefold higher in those with GU or CU but were not higher in those with NSU. Additionally, SPVLs were similar to BPVLs among those with GU or CU whereas SPVLs were approximately half a log lower than BPVLs among controls and those with NSU. Treatment of GU or CU resulted in reduction in SPVLs by a small but significant amount over 1 to 2 weeks. Thus, these results indicate GU and CU, though not NSU, increase SPVL in those not on ART.

Previously, similar studies in the developed world have been small and few in number. A case report of a 2 log reduction in SPVL following treatment of GU did not comment on changes in BPVL and in a study of four patients not on ART, compared with controls without STIs, SPVLs were significantly higher in semen HIV-1 proviral load following treatment of three cases of GU and one case of symptomatic NGU. These changes in proviral load might be expected given the marked, cellular inflammatory response observed in GU and the association between detectability of cell associated virus and semen leucocyte count. However, increases in both cell free and cell associated HIV-1 in semen are important as both may be transmissible. In the uninfamed genital tract, though detection of proviral and cell free HIV-1 in semen are associated, cell free HIV-1 RNA appears phylogenetically distinct from cell associated HIV-1. Previous work has suggested that cell free virus in semen is derived locally in the genital tract during urethritis but it remains unclear whether the increase in HIV-1 RNA in semen during STIs is derived from seminal leukocytes.

In sub-Saharan Africa, urethritis has been associated with increased genital shedding of HIV-1, with median differences of over 100 000 copies/ml in SPVL observed in GU cases compared to those without STIs in approximately fivefold difference. This relative effect of GU/CU on SPVLs is similar to those in our study. However, the absolute effect on SPVLs is considerably higher than our study, where the difference in median SPVL between those with GU and controls was only 15 000 copies/ml. Explanations for the observed differences between the two settings include patients in the African study more likely to be having late stage HIV disease at presentation (baseline CD4 counts appeared slightly higher in our study), the higher baseline viral loads in blood and semen previously observed in Africa when compared with the developed world and matched for CD4 count, and the heightened states of immune activation observed there which appear to be environmentally driven. BPVLs at baseline were higher by up to 1 log in the African study compared with our study.

A probabilistic model of HIV-1 transmission between heterosexuals has been developed from biological and epidemiological data from the United States and Switzerland. A model such as this is unlikely to be completely accurate for homosexual or African men or for the effect of STIs on SPVL. However, crudely applying this model to our data suggests that the HIV male to female per contact transmission probability would increase threefold from approximately one per 1000 to up to three per 1000 during GU or CU. Applying the model similarly to the African data would see an increase of transmission probability from three per 1000 to nine per 1000. It is possible therefore that the effects of these STIs on SPVL may not have as great an impact on transmission risk of HIV-1 in the developed world as in Africa. Clearly, however, more appropriate models and further research on the implications of our findings on HIV-1 transmission are required.

Our work suggests that in the small number of patients with chlamydial infection, the effect on SPVL appeared to be just as pronounced as those with gonorrhoea. This is important as *Chlamydia trachomatis* is a common cause of urethritis in homosexual men. Our findings on NSU may not be surprising given that infection does not always cause this condition. Furthermore, the diagnosis of NSU by microscopy is subject to considerable observer variation as opposed to the microbiological diagnoses of gonorrhoea by culture or chlamydia by nucleic acid amplification tests, though we did try to limit this variation by restricting asymptomatic cases to higher polymorph counts. Additionally our findings in relation to NSU may not apply to heterosexual men as its aetiology is perhaps different from those in homosexual men. For example, *Trichomonas vaginalis*, an important cause of urethritis in heterosexuals in some settings and associated with increased shedding of HIV-1 in semen, is rare in homosexuals. Further research with more patients might more rigorously address the issue of how the magnitude of changes in HIV-1 viral load differ between cases of NSU, GU, and CU.

It is important to note that of those who attended for follow up only 7/24 controls and 7/16 cases attended study visit 3 (at 2 weeks after first presentation). Among cases, similar follow up patterns were observed in those with either
GU/CU or NSU. The African studies\textsuperscript{16} suggest that the maximum reduction of SPVL was seen at 2 weeks after starting antibiotic treatment implying that the 0.25 log reduction in SPVL we observed in GU/CU cases may have been an underestimate.

We previously demonstrated in a separate study that in a group of men similar to those of this study but receiving fully suppressive ART and with GU or CU, SPVLs remained undetectable.\textsuperscript{27} In a small subset of patients in whom virus was not suppressed in blood, high amounts of drug resistant virus were detected in seminal plasma, though in only one case did treatment of gonorrohea result in reduction of SPVL.\textsuperscript{28,29} Our current study would thus strengthen the notion that antiviral therapy attenuates effects of STIs on genital shedding of HIV-1. As ART becomes more widely used, these attenuating effects, need to be confirmed in developing world settings because of high rates of STIs there and potential for widespread transmission of drug resistant HIV-1.

This study has demonstrated that gonococcal and chlamydial urethritis among homosexual men in the United Kingdom increases shedding of HIV-1 in semen and treatment of urethritis reduces its shedding. Controlling STIs in HIV-1 infected homosexual men may be critical in controlling the spread of HIV-1 among them.
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