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Background: The prevalence of cervical cancer is extremely high in low income countries, primarily because of a lack of cytological screening. The link between human papillomavirus (HPV) and cervical cancer has long been recognised, and it has been suggested that isolated HPV testing in women who do not participate in existing screening programmes may be used to identify women at higher risk of developing cervical cancer. This community based study compares two self administered techniques for detecting HPV (tampons and self administered swabs) with a clinician directed technique, the cervical cytobrush.

Methods: 377 rural women were interviewed and of these 210 women had full gynaecological examination, and accepted all three sampling methods for HPV. HPV typing of DNA extracts was performed using polymerase chain reaction and enzyme linked immunosorbent assay techniques.

Results: Using the cervical cytobrush as the gold standard, self administered swabs (SAS) showed a sensitivity of 63.9%, and tampons showed a sensitivity of 72.4%. The acceptability of these two tests was 97.1% and 84.6% respectively. When combining acceptability with sensitivity, the SAS detected 61.9% and the tampons detected 60.9% of the true positives.

Conclusion: In a setting where women are at a considerable risk of developing cervical cancer, with no access to a formal screening programme, self directed HPV testing could be a useful screening tool in identifying those women at increased risk who may require further investigation.

Cervical cancer affects approximately 500,000 women each year; 80% of these cases occur in the developing world where it is by far the commonest cancer in women. Here, morbidity and mortality are high because cytological screening is not readily available and women tend to present late with advanced stage disease when treatment options are limited.

The link between human papillomavirus (HPV) and cervical cancer has long been recognised and the detection of HPV has been used as an adjunct to cervical cytology to identify women who are at risk of developing cervical cancer. Although it is recognised that this is the best use of HPV testing, isolated HPV testing in women who do not participate in existing screening programmes may be useful.

Now that HPV vaccines are being developed and tested, there is a need to test for HPV in low income countries, in both the field and clinical settings. The purpose of this study is to compare the acceptability and sensitivity of two different types of non-invasive techniques for detecting HPV (self administered swabs and tampons) with a clinician directed technique, the cervical cytobrush.

METHODS

Between February and June 2002, women who had previously been screened for HPV in a reproductive morbidity survey (RMS) were recruited from a rural area of the Gambia. All women from the RMS who had previously accepted a speculum examination were invited to participate in the present study. Those who were pregnant at the time of interview were excluded from the study.

Women were interviewed by a female gynaecologist, and were then verbally instructed to collect a vaginal sample using a sterile self administered Dacron swab (SAS) inserted high into the vagina and rotated five times, witnessed by the doctor. The sample was then placed in phosphate buffered saline and stored in a cool box until the end of the day when it was frozen and stored at −70°C. Cervical smears were taken, and HPV sampling was performed by inserting a cytobrush into the cervix and rotating it through 360°. This was placed immediately into 1 ml of phosphate buffered saline, kept in a cool box until the end of the day, then stored at −70°C. Finally, the participants were asked to insert a tampon into the vagina, which was removed 1 hour later and stored in a cool box until the end of the day when the contents of the tampon were then expelled into phosphate buffered saline and stored at −70°C. Women were examined and treated for reproductive tract infections in agreement with WHO syndromic management guidelines. Cervical smears were assessed at the Department of Pathology, Llandough Hospital, Cardiff, UK, and were classified by the Bethesda system. Those women with abnormal cytology were offered treatment.

DNA was extracted from samples using QIAamp DNA mini-kit (Quiagen Ltd, Crawley, UK) and HPV polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed as described previously. Specimens were HPV typed using enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and monospecific probes.

RESULTS

In all, 377 of the 946 eligible women attended for interview (39.9%). Table 1 shows the proportions of women accepting each test; 224 women accepted all three sampling methods (59.4%), and of these, 210 were β globin positive or HPV positive and can be used for comparison (55.7%).

χ² Tests showed that there was no significant difference in the proportions with DNA detected, or in the prevalence of HPV between the three sampling methods.

Of the 210 women for whom HPV results were available in all three samples, 36 were positive for HPV in the cervical cytobrush specimen. Using this as the gold standard, the tampons had a sensitivity of 72.2% (95% CI 57.6% to 86.8%) and a specificity of 92.5%, with a positive predictive value of 66.7% and a negative predictive value of 94%; the SAS had a...
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sensitivity of 63.9% (51.9% to 83.3%), and a specificity of 93.7%, with a positive predictive value of 67.6% and a negative predictive value of 92.6%.

When acceptability of the sampling method was combined with the sensitivity of the test, the self administered tampon and swab detected 60.9% and 61.9% of the true positives, respectively, compared with 72.4% by the cervical cytobrush method (table 1).

If the SAS and tampon tests are combined, such that the result is considered positive if either test is positive, then compared to SAS alone, the combined test shows a significant increase in sensitivity (with cervical brushes as the gold standard) of 14% (3% to 25%) and a significant decrease in specificity of 5% (3% to 9%). However, once acceptability has been taken into account, there is little gain in the proportion of true positives detected by combining the two tests (table 1).

For all three sampling methods, high risk HPV types were more prevalent in our sample, than low risk types. Figure 1 shows the distribution of HPV types in this population, using the three different sampling methods.

**DISCUSSION**

The study described in this paper is one of the very rare community studies in a selected population of women based in a country that is unable to offer a formal screening programme for cervical cancer. In such low income countries where health infrastructure is poor, HPV testing has the potential to be a valuable tool for the prevention of cervical cancer. In such low income countries where health infrastructure is poor, HPV testing has the potential to be a valuable tool for the prevention of cervical cancer. In such low income countries where health infrastructure is poor, HPV testing has the potential to be a valuable tool for the prevention of cervical cancer. In such low income countries where health infrastructure is poor, HPV testing has the potential to be a valuable tool for the prevention of cervical cancer.

When acceptability of the sampling method was combined with the sensitivity of the test, the self administered tampon and swab detected 60.9% and 61.9% of the true positives, respectively, compared with 72.4% by the cervical cytobrush method (table 1).

If the SAS and tampon tests are combined, such that the result is considered positive if either test is positive, then compared to SAS alone, the combined test shows a significant increase in sensitivity (with cervical brushes as the gold standard) of 14% (3% to 25%) and a significant decrease in specificity of 5% (3% to 9%). However, once acceptability has been taken into account, there is little gain in the proportion of true positives detected by combining the two tests (table 1).

For all three sampling methods, high risk HPV types were more prevalent in our sample, than low risk types. Figure 1 shows the distribution of HPV types in this population, using the three different sampling methods.
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