Acceptability and feasibility of continuous diaphragm use among sex workers in Madagascar
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Objectives: The diaphragm, a woman controlled, reusable contraceptive device, might prevent some sexually transmitted infections (STIs). We assessed the acceptability and feasibility of use of silicone Wide-Seal Arcing Diaphragms (Milex Products, Chicago, IL, USA) by sex workers in Madagascar.

Methods: Over 8 weeks, we evaluated method acceptability by examining patterns of and problems with women’s diaphragm use. We also evaluated several measures of study feasibility, including recruitment and follow up methods.

Results: 91 women from three cities (Antananarivo, Tamatave, and Mahajanga) participated, and 87 (96%) completed follow up. At enrolment, participants reported a median of six sex acts with five clients in the previous week. During the follow up period, participants reported a median of three sex acts with three clients during the previous 2 days, and self reported continuous diaphragm use during the previous day increased from 87% to 93%. Seven women became pregnant (incidence 53 pregnancies per 100 woman years). Self reported use of male condoms and diaphragms was fairly constant over the study period: women reported condom use in 61% to 70% of acts and diaphragms in 95% to 97% of acts. The number of participants reporting diaphragm problems decreased from 15 (16%) at the first visit to six (7%) at the final visit. 20 women (22%) needed replacement devices during follow up because their original diaphragms were lost, were the wrong size, or became seriously damaged.

Conclusions: Given the high use and steady decrease in reported problems during the study, we believe diaphragms are acceptable and feasible in this resource poor, low education sex worker population.

We plan to conduct a randomised trial to evaluate the effectiveness of the diaphragm against Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae in female sex workers in Madagascar, and we completed the 8 week pilot study described here to assess the acceptability and feasibility of diaphragm use in this population. In addition to measuring changes in diaphragm and condom use patterns, problems with study products, and reasons for discontinuation, we also assessed several study design components such as recruitment and follow up methods. Formative research on acceptability and feasibility was particularly appropriate because diaphragms are virtually unknown in Madagascar.

METHODS
We recruited women who self identified as sex workers in three urban centres in Madagascar (Antananarivo, Tamatave, and Mahajanga). National STI treatment guidelines in Madagascar recommend that all sex workers be seen quarterly at government health clinics for routine STI testing and treatment, although compliance is voluntary and no formal sex worker registry exists. Peer counsellors recruited participants from clinics when they presented for their STI visits. Eligible women were inconsistent condom users (reporting less than 100% condom use with clients in the past month), not pregnant or desiring pregnancy in the next 6 months, with healthy vagina and cervix upon visual inspection, and able to be fitted with a diaphragm. We followed women for 8 weeks.

Women provided written informed consent before screening, and eligible participants signed a second consent form at enrolment. The institutional review board at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, USA; frieda_behets@unc.edu
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B ecause many women lack the social and economic power to insist that their sex partners remain monogamous or use condoms, millions remain vulnerable to sexually transmitted infections (STIs). For years researchers have discussed the need for women controlled STI prevention options that could be used without men’s permission or even knowledge. The diaphragm, a reusable contraceptive device approved worldwide for prevention of pregnancy, has attracted renewed attention as a means to control STIs and HIV. By covering the cervix during exposure to gonorrhoea, chlamydia, or HIV (and possibly other STIs), diaphragms may block these pathogens from reaching their preferred infection site. Diaphragms have a proved safety record because of their long history as birth control, and they may also be used clandestinely when partners reject male condoms. While no yet published randomised trials have evaluated the effectiveness of the diaphragm against STI acquisition, several observational studies report a protective effect of diaphragm use against gonococcal and chlamydial infection and their long term sequelae. This study was conducted in Madagascar, a country with both high STI rates and ample evidence that current strategies for STI prevention are inadequate. In 1997, among women who reported not trading sex for money who presented with genitourinary symptoms in the capital Antananarivo, the prevalence of gonorrhoea and chlamydia was 9.7% and 8.9%, respectively. In 2001, 75–78% of asymptomatic female sex workers in Madagascar had at least one curable STI, and up to 30% had gonorrhoea or chlamydia. Rates remained high even after presumptive treatment and condom promotion. Development of new STI control methods is a crucial research priority, both to directly reduce the STI burden and because STIs are likely to increase risk of HIV acquisition.

Abbreviations: EC, emergency contraception; RPR, rapid plasma reagin; STI, sexually transmitted infections; UTI, urinary tract infection
North Carolina at Chapel Hill and the Comité d’Éthique auprès du Ministère de la Santé in Madagascar approved the study.

At screening, participants received pelvic examinations to assess clinical signs of vaginitis and cervicitis, urinalysis (to evaluate leucocytes in urine) to screen for urinary tract infection (UTI) (Multistix 10 SG Reagent Strips for Urinalysis, Bayer Corporation, IN, USA), urine dipstick for infection (UTI) (Multistix 10 SG Reagent Strips for evaluating leucocytes in urine) to screen for urinary tract infection.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Antananarivo (n = 30)</th>
<th>Tamatave (n = 31)</th>
<th>Mahajanga (n = 30)</th>
<th>Total (n = 91)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>Median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>27 (18–42)</td>
<td>25 (20–45)</td>
<td>29.5 (18–48)</td>
<td>28 (18–48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pregnancies</td>
<td>2.5 (0–7)</td>
<td>2 (0–6)</td>
<td>2 (0–5)</td>
<td>2 (0–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed years of schooling</td>
<td>4 (0–8)</td>
<td>5 (0–11)</td>
<td>5 (0–13)</td>
<td>5 (0–13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sex acts, last week</td>
<td>11 (0–27)</td>
<td>4 (0–32)</td>
<td>7 (0–40)</td>
<td>6 (0–40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sex partners, last week</td>
<td>10 (0–26)</td>
<td>3 (0–32)</td>
<td>6 (0–40)</td>
<td>5 (0–40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of new sex partners, last week</td>
<td>1 (0–13)</td>
<td>3 (0–32)</td>
<td>3 (0–34)</td>
<td>3 (0–34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>20 (67)</td>
<td>13 (42)</td>
<td>24 (80)</td>
<td>57 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade/commerce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>9 (29)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>10 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7 (23)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>9 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current contraceptive method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>18 (60)</td>
<td>23 (74)</td>
<td>7 (23)</td>
<td>48 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>6 (19)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>10 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injectable</td>
<td>4 (13)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
<td>12 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condom</td>
<td>7 (23)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>13 (43)</td>
<td>20 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used condom at last vaginal sex</td>
<td>22 (73)</td>
<td>23 (74)</td>
<td>13 (43)</td>
<td>58 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever genital ulcer</td>
<td>9 (30)</td>
<td>11 (33)</td>
<td>14 (47)</td>
<td>34 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever oral sex</td>
<td>5 (17)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>9 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever anal sex</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Women deemed eligible at screening were enrolled immediately. Staff administered structured questionnaires capturing participants’ health and behavioural characteristics, sexual history, contraception, and condom use. We dispensed presumptive treatment for gonococcal and chlamydial infections to all women (500 mg ciprofloxacin and 1 g azithromycin) and then 1 week later, at the first follow up visit, for bacterial vaginosis and trichomoniasis (2 g metronidazole).

Study clinicians fitted each woman for a diaphragm according to standard clinical guidelines, selecting the largest size that was comfortable to the participant and remained properly placed with valsalva. Clinicians verified that women could correctly insert and withdraw their diaphragms and provided extensive instructions about method use, cleaning and storage.

Women were instructed to wear the diaphragm at all times, removing it once daily for cleaning and for bathing or vaginal hygiene, then immediately replacing it (“continuous use”). Lubricant gel was not provided and its use was not encouraged. We instructed women to remove the device only when at least 6 hours had passed since the last sex act, and to leave it in place for removal by clinicians on visit days. (If fewer than 6 hours had passed since the last sex act when women returned for follow up visits, clinicians did not remove the diaphragm and the examination was rescheduled). We told women that diaphragms were developed for contraception, but that they do not provide adequate pregnancy protection without spermicide. Participants were also given information about emergency contraception (EC) and told that if they had unprotected sex and wanted to reduce the risk of pregnancy, they could purchase discounted EC for $1 from the clinic. We told women that the diaphragm was not known to protect against STIs.

At each follow up visit (1, 2, and 8 weeks after enrolment), women were interviewed to assess problems with and changes in diaphragm and condom use. To improve recall, we asked only about sexual practices over the previous 2 days. At the 1 week visit, those women who were seropositive for syphilis at enrolment were treated following the national guidelines (three doses of 2.4 MIU benzathine penicillin at 1 week intervals). UTI screening was performed at each visit; UTI treatment (100 mg nitroxocine, three times daily for 8 days) was dispensed to symptomatic women who had leucocytes in their urine. Pregnancy testing was repeated at 4 weeks and 8 weeks.

We gave each woman a silicone Wide-Seal Arcing Diaphragm (Milex Products, Chicago, IL, USA), and as many male condoms as they wanted (spermicide free Protector Plus latex condoms). All women received safer sex counselling and were instructed to use condoms with every sex act.

Using the total number of reported sex acts as the offset variable, Poisson regression models were run to generate the
RESULTS

The study took place from April through July 2004. All women (n = 97) approached by study staff agreed to be screened (100% response rate). Ninety one women met the inclusion criteria and were enrolled: 30 in Antananarivo, 31 in Tamatave, and 30 in Mahajanga; 87 participants (96%) were followed for the 8 week study duration.

Table 1 describes baseline demographic characteristics of enrolled women. Participants’ median age was 28 years (range 18–48). They had completed a median of 5 years of education. The majority (84%) were unmarried and not living with partners. Most (63%) reported no occupation, though some were domestic workers (11%), and others worked in trade (13%). More than half (53%) reported no contraceptive method, while 13% used injectables and another 11% took oral contraceptives. Twenty women (22%) used condoms for contraception.

At enrolment, participants reported on their sexual behaviour over the previous week. Women had a median of six sex acts (range 0–40) with five clients (range 0–40) (table 1). Nearly two thirds (64%) said they had used condoms during their last sex act. More than one third (37%) reported a history of genital ulcers. Twenty five participants (27%) were rapid plasma reagin (RPR) seroreactive, and 13 reported a history of genital ulcers. Twenty five participants (25%) at enrolment reported four or more sex acts during their last sex act. More than one third (37%) had sex four or more times without the diaphragm.

In trends in condom and diaphragm use are shown in table 2 and figure 1. At follow up visits, women reported how many times they had sex and their use of condoms in the last week. At follow up visits, women reported how many times they had sex and their use of condoms in the last 2 days. At enrolment, tallies refer to sex acts protected by condoms alone.

We also assessed the absolute number of sex acts where women used diaphragms, either alone or together with condoms (table 2). In general, reported diaphragm use was high, with 67 women (89%) at the 1 week visit and 67 women (87%) at the 4 week visit, and 69 women (95%) at the 8 week visit reporting they used their diaphragm at all sex acts. During the 8 week period, only one woman reported having sex four or more times without the diaphragm.

One third of participants (n = 31) reported problems involving 33 diaphragms during the study. Most were minor, such as discoloration or slight variations in shape. Eight diaphragms sustained major damage, including five when participants folded them at an inflexible part of the device rim. Twenty replacement diaphragms were dispensed, because five original devices were the wrong size, seven had been lost, and eight were seriously damaged.

Seven women became pregnant: five pregnancies were diagnosed at the 4 week visit and two additional pregnancies at the final visit. Because we tested for pregnancy at enrolment and not again until the 4 week visit, some women found to be pregnant at 4 weeks may have already been pregnant (though not clinically detectable) at enrolment. If all seven women truly became pregnant during their participation, the incidence rate is 53 pregnancies per 100 women years (exact 95% confidence interval: 21 to 110 pregnancies per 100 women years). No women requested EC during the study.

Participant reports of vaginal discharge and pelvic tenderness increased when diaphragms were initially dispensed, but returned to enrolment levels by the study’s conclusion (table 3). Incident UTIs remained low at all visits and no women experienced repeat UTIs during the study. Clinical abnormalities on the vulva, vagina, cervix, uterus, and adnexes were also rare (maximum 9% at any visit). No women were discontinued early because of protocol violations.

![Table 2: Participants’ reported sexual frequency, condom and diaphragm use by study visit](http://sti.bmj.com/first-published-as-10.1136/sti.2005.015107-on-2-december-2005.10.1136/sti.2005.015107-on-2-december-2005.png)

- At enrolment women reported how many times they had sex and their use of condoms in the last 2 days.
- Only among women reporting any sex acts.
Continuous diaphragm use appeared highly acceptable: reported continuous use for the previous day increased from 87% at the 1 week visit to 93% at the 8 week visit. In addition, the number of women reporting diaphragm problems decreased from 15 participants (16%) at the 1 week visit to six (7%) at the final visit. Early in the study, participants’ complaints included physical issues such as discomfort and awareness of the diaphragm by a partner during sex. Nearer the study end, problems focused on lost or damaged devices. Focus group discussions with these women also explored diaphragm acceptability, including women’s opinions about use and whether they would recommend the diaphragm to friends (an analysis of these discussions is forthcoming).

Fifty one adverse events (including self reported events and those noted during clinical exams) were reported in 29 women. Nearly two thirds (65%) were related to gynaecological symptoms such as vaginal discharge or itching (n = 14), genital ulcers (n = 8, three identified as probable herpes), dysuria (n = 3), proteinuria (n = 3), leucocyturia (n = 2), and cervicitis (n = 3); most others (31%) related to gastrointestinal or influenza-like illness. No serious adverse events were reported.

**DISCUSSION**

Diaphragms were highly acceptable among these study participants. Our acceptability measures included the proportion of women reporting using diaphragms continuously during the previous day (ranged by follow up visit from 88% to 93%), high retention (96%), and low occurrence of product non-use. Problems decreased during the study, suggesting that women became more comfortable with their diaphragms over time and thus had fewer negative experiences.

We conclude that a trial of diaphragm use in this population is highly feasible. Possibly because of our work over several years with sex worker populations in Madagascar, we had a response rate of 100% and recruited our desired sample size quickly. In addition, our tracking and contact methods allowed us to follow 96% of participants successfully.

The study’s primary limitation is the short duration of follow up. We are unable to generalise about diaphragm use over a long period of time based on these data; we will carefully measure patterns of diaphragm and condom use in the randomised trial. Nevertheless, we monitored changes in reported condom use to determine whether owning a diaphragm was associated with reduced condom use, since condom migration during the planned randomised trial would pose problems for both ethical and methodological reasons. We observed only minimal changes in condom use in this small sample. In addition, although condom use did not change substantially during follow up, the number of women reporting that all sex acts were protected by condoms was higher at the final visit than at any previous visit. We acknowledge, however, that social desirability bias cannot be ruled out. The results of focus group discussions may provide more insight about women’s attitudes towards condom use.

It is possible that rates of diaphragm use, though quite high during this short follow up period, will eventually fall to those reported for condom use, since both are barrier methods. Nevertheless, we are hopeful that the other attributes of the diaphragm—that it is female controlled, and may be used covertly and continuously—may allow for higher usage rates over time.

We anticipated that participants’ generally low level of schooling would necessitate extensive diaphragm education. For example, leaving the diaphragm in place for at least 6 hours after sex was difficult for women who lack watches. In other settings, new diaphragm users may be told that if they have sex before going to sleep, they should leave the device in place until they wake up the following morning. Because of sex workers’ irregular hours, however, other strategies for estimating time since the last sex act are needed. Describing genital anatomy in simple terms was another challenge, and it was necessary to dispel common misconceptions about diaphragm use (for example, some women believed that when they urinated the device would fall out).

The pregnancy rate, 53 pregnancies per 100 women years, is substantially higher than the rates of 11–13 pregnancies per 100 women years reported in trials evaluating the contraceptive efficacy of latex diaphragms plus spermicide.” This finding is even more surprising, considering that 24% of women reported using oral or injectable hormonal contraception at enrolment (including two of the women who eventually became pregnant). Women’s non-use of EC may indicate that participants did not fully understand the diaphragm’s limited contraceptive efficacy or their risk of pregnancy. Anecdotal evidence suggests that women are not familiar with EC in Madagascar, so even though clinicians discussed EC with participants, some may have remained hesitant about using it. In addition, EC was not free, which may have been a barrier to use. Other potential explanations for the high pregnancy rate include possible misuse of the diaphragm (such as not leaving it in for at least 6 hours after sex) and discrediting the frequency or consistency of use of diaphragms, condoms, or other contraceptive methods. Finally, sex workers’ attitudes towards pregnancy are not well understood, and some pregnancies may have been desired if not planned. Pregnancy prevention in the randomised trial will be addressed in greater detail in light of these findings, and we will offer free hormonal contraception to all participants.

An unexpected number of diaphragms were damaged during the short follow up period. This particular diaphragm
product, the Wide-Seal Arcing Diaphragm, has arrows marked on the rim to indicate the appropriate place to fold the device before insertion. Milex Products conducted an analysis of all devices after the study to determine whether the damage was the result of improper use or a manufacturing error; they concluded that much of the damage occurred in devices which became deformed when participants forced them to fold at an inflexible place. To avoid similar damage in the randomised study, we will use a diaphragm with an "Omniflex" design, which may be folded in any place along the rim.

This study reinforces the need for woman controlled methods of disease prevention. During the 8 week period, 17 participants, or almost one in five women, reported that a condom had slipped off or ruptured at least once within the past week. Thus, although many sex workers reported using condoms, this method is far from failsafe. The availability of an additional method of STI prevention may prove highly valuable to millions of vulnerable women worldwide.
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