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Background: Sexual behavioural change is essential to prevent HIV infections in Africa and statistical analysis of risk factors at the individual-level may be used to design interventions. The importance of reducing cross-generational sex (young women having sex with older men) and delaying age at first sex on the spread of HIV at the population-level has been presumed but not scientifically investigated and quantified.

Methods: A mathematical model of heterosexual spread of HIV was developed to predict the population-level impact of reducing cross-generational sex and delaying sexual debut.

Results: The impact of behaviour change on the spread of HIV is sensitive to the structure and reaction of the sexual network. Reducing cross-generational sex could have little impact on the risk of infection unless it is accompanied by a reduction in the number of risky sexual contacts. Even peer-to-peer sexual mixing can support high endemic levels of HIV. The benefit of delaying sexual debut is comparatively small and is reduced if males continue to prefer young partners or if young women spend more time unmarried. In Manicaland, Zimbabwe, if older men were to use condoms as frequently as young men, the reduction in risk of infection could exceed that generated by a two-year delay in first sex.

Conclusions: At the individual-level avoiding sex with older partners and delaying sexual debut can decrease the risk of infection but at the population-level these interventions may do little to limit the spread of HIV without wider-ranging behavioural changes throughout the sexual network.

In the present study we considered two questions about proposed behavioural change interventions:

• What population-level effect could discouraging cross-generational sex and promoting delayed age at first sex have on the HIV epidemic?

• What properties of the sexual network determine the size of this impact?

To answer these questions we designed a deterministic mathematical model of the heterosexual transmission of HIV with a detailed yearly age structure of young adults so that changes in age at sexual debut, ages of sexual partners and rates of partner change in those recently entering the sexually active population could be investigated. We have parameterised the model using data collected in a cross-sectional survey in rural Zimbabwe to provide a detailed and well-informed example to illustrate the qualitative results rather than provide an exhaustive description of the various generalised HIV epidemics in Africa.

METHODS

Data sources

A stratified population-based survey was carried out in the Manicaland province of eastern Zimbabwe between July 1998 and January 2000. A follow-up survey was completed after three years. A structured face-to-face interview was conducted with almost 10 000 men and women in 12 distinct communities: two forestry plantations, two tea and coffee estates, two small towns, two roadside trading centres and four subsistence farming areas. In three-quarters of interviews with literate...
respondents, the answers to sensitive questions about sexual behaviour were recorded using a confidential voting method, the use of which has been associated with reporting of greater sexual activity. Condoms were used in all reported sex acts in the last sexual partnership by 17% of males and 8% of females who reported a sexual partnership in the past month. Sex acts were self-defined in the questionnaire, but here we assume that all sex acts are insertive penile–vaginal. The distribution of age difference between sexual partners (male’s age minus female’s age) takes a similar form for women of all ages and can be modelled by a log-logistic function (figs S1 and S2 in the supplementary material, see http://sti.bmj.com/supplemental). The mean age difference is 7 years, and in approximately 25% of partnerships the age difference is 10 years or more.

### Mathematical simulation model

We developed a deterministic mathematical model of the heterosexual transmission of HIV in a sex, age and activity-stratified population. The model is defined by a set of partial differential equations with respect to time and age that are solved numerically with a four-stage Runge–Kutta algorithm (time-step = 0.02 years). Full details of the model can be found in the online supplementary material (see http://sti.bmj.com/supplemental) but the key assumptions in the model are described here:

- HIV is assumed to be transmitted through heterosexual partnerships or at the time of birth from an infected mother to her child. To represent the long and variable incubation period and stage-dependent HIV transmission probability, those infected progress through three stages of asymptomatic infection prior to AIDS: the first stage lasts three months and is associated with a high transmission probability; the second stage lasts for several years (up to a mean of 10 years, depending on age) and is associated with a low transmission probability; and the third stage lasts six months and is associated with a high transmission probability.

The population is divided into several sexual activity groups with different numbers of sexual partnerships formed in a year. To permit study of the effect of varying age at first sex and the pattern of partnership formation with respect to age, a detailed yearly age structure was incorporated. On sexual debut most individuals enter the group with the lowest sexual activity, but a few enter groups with much higher sexual activity. An individual of a particular sex, age and sexual activity forms a set number of sexual partnerships each year that are directed preferentially towards those in a similar sexual activity class and between older men and younger women. The fraction of women’s partnerships that are formed with men a given number of years older is determined by the log-logistic function. This distribution allows the age difference to be moderate in most sexual partnerships but much greater in others. It can be parameterised so that the pattern of partnership formation matches that observed in Manicaland or varied to represent alternative scenarios (fig S3 in the supplementary material, see http://sti.bmj.com/supplemental). Two types of intervention to reduce cross-generational mixing are simulated:

- a change in the distributional form of the allocation of young women’s partnerships among older men, preserving the total number of partnerships formed; and
- removal of a fraction of partnerships with a given age difference, so that there are less partnerships in total.

Both of these interventions generate the same reduction in the fraction of young women’s sexual partnerships that are “cross-generational” but the former replaces those cross-generational partnerships with partnerships among peers whereas the latter does not. For consistency, the derived pattern of partnership formation for one sex must match that of the other, and the extent to which males’ demand for sexual partnerships is accommodated by the female population (or vice versa) has to be specified.

Mortality and fertility rates were chosen to represent an African population in the pre-AIDS era and the model was run for 100 years to establish a stable population structure. The infection was introduced to 0.01% of males aged 25–29 years in the highest sexual activity group.

### RESULTS

Running the model with the different mixing patterns showed that with more cross-generational mixing, endemic prevalence (among those aged 15–49 years) was slightly higher (fig 1A (bars)). Female-to-male prevalence ratios for 15–24-year-olds at least equal to those observed can be created in the model without assuming any difference in susceptibility to HIV infection between men or women or over age (fig 1A (line)).

If all sexual partnerships are formed between peers the epidemic cannot become established (fig 1B). If 1% of sexual partnerships are formed with partners one year older or younger, HIV can become endemic. Simulating an intervention that reduced cross-generational mixing but preserved the total number of sexual partnership formed, showed that such a behavioural change could substantially reduce HIV prevalence among young women but prevalence among young men might rise (fig 1C (solid lines)). With this intervention, the lifetime risk of infection (the expected chance at birth that an individual will have been infected with HIV by their fifty-fifth birthday) is reduced by 10% for females and 5% for males. In contrast, if the same reduction in cross-generational mixing is simulated but without any compensatory increase in the number of partnerships among peers (dashed lines), prevalence among males can decrease and prevalence among females can decrease further. With this intervention, the lifetime risk of infection is reduced much more: by 25% for females and 22% for males.

This pattern of sexual mixing (young women forming partnerships with older men) means that the impact of young women delaying sexual debut is sensitive to the behavioural response of older men—that is, if males’ would-be young sexual partners become abstinent, they may seek to replace those last partnerships with the youngest sexually active females available. Females’ risk of infection in the first years of sexual activity is therefore linked to whether or not males seek to replace lost partnerships and the extent to which males control the structure of the sexual network (fig 2A). If males do seek to replace the lost partnerships, incidence is increased among women for the first years of sexual activity and this effect is greater if males are able to control the structure sexual network. The reduction in lifetime risk of infection for women is greater if males do not seek to replace lost partnerships (fig 2B). The benefit of delaying sexual debut is even greater if women become less susceptible to infection as they get older. However, in all scenarios the reduction in lifetime risk of infection associated with delaying sexual debut is relatively small.

Another issue is the relative timing of sexual debut and marriage. The risk of infection when sexually active but not married (2% per person-year at risk during the first three years of follow-up in this population) is greater than when married (1% per person-year). This means that the benefit of delaying first sex depends on the time spent unmarried. For example, increasing the age at first sex from 18 to 21 could lead to lifetime risk of infection being reduced by 9% (if women are unmarried for 12 months less), reduced by 6% (no change in relative timing of marriage) or remain almost unchanged (if women are unmarried for an extra three years).
In rural Zimbabwe, the probability of reporting having used a condom consistently with their most recent sexual partner is particularly low for older men (25 years or older) but is higher if either the man or his partner has had many sexual partners (fig 3A). If condom use by older men is increased to the level currently reported by younger men, the lifetime risk of infection could be reduced by 20%, either for males and females. This exceeds the impact of doubling condom use in the whole population or males and females delaying sexual debut by two years under the most optimistic assumptions (fig 3B).

**DISCUSSION**

Sexual behaviour change is crucial to prevent HIV infections in sub-Saharan Africa. Cross-sectional surveys of individuals’ self-reported sexual behaviour show that young women who have had sex with an older man or begun sexual activity when young are more likely to have been infected with HIV. On this basis, interventions aiming to change behaviour have been proposed that focus on discouraging cross-generational sex and delaying sexual debut. Although it is clear that these changes will somewhat reduce the risk of infection to the individual it was not known what impact they could have on the spread of HIV through the population. Despite this uncertainty the importance of cross-generational sex in supporting high HIV prevalence has been presumed, and an increase in abstinence among young people has been partially
The impact of behaviour change on HIV spread is sensitive to the structure and reaction of the sexual network.

Reducing cross-generational sex may have little effect on the risk of infection unless it is accompanied by a reduction in the number of risky sexual contacts.

The benefit of delaying age at sexual debut is comparatively small and is reduced if males continue to prefer young partners or if young women spend more time unmarried.

The real impact of cross-generational sex on the population-level spread of HIV is the power imbalance in those partnerships and the implications this has for the chance that condoms will be used. Our results show that behaviour change interventions should aim to minimise unprotected sexual contact by young women with anyone and should not target the age difference between sexual partners per se. Nonetheless, this pattern of partnership formation between older men and younger women does seem to underlie the high female-to-male prevalence ratio among young people; the model generates ratios at least as extreme as those observed without assuming any difference in susceptibility to infection by sex or age. Cross-generational mixing must be considered when examining other types of behavioural intervention; the demand for their sexual partnerships could undermine the benefit young women get from remaining abstinent for longer and the importance of transmission from older men makes the frequency with which they use condoms especially important.

Abstinence (through delaying the onset of sexual activity) removes the risk of infection for only a few years—a small fraction of one’s lifetime exposure. This period may be important because the first years of a woman’s sexual activity may carry the greatest risk of transmission for a number of biological and behavioural reasons. However, even assuming that susceptibility declines strongly with age, the lifetime benefit of delaying sex for two years is expected to be, at most, only 8%. The benefit is smaller (3%) if the “demand” for their sexual partnerships is not reduced. Although infected too and more will probably be with uninfected younger females. However, the link is not strong because the infection will reach all age groups eventually under almost any mixing scenario, and then young women will be exposed instead to the high rates of sexual activity of their male peers. Sex with older men is more risky than sex with younger men partly because of the greater possibility of the former to be infected with HIV, having been exposed to the risk of infection for longer. However, the observed gradient in HIV prevalence among males by age is partly a result of the cross-generational mixing; prevalence among young men is suppressed by cross-generational mixing because they have only limited access to their peers and instead have sex with even younger uninfected women or not at all. A switch to peer-to-peer mixing will increase prevalence among young men making, from a female perspective, formerly “low-risk” contacts increasingly “high risk”. In this way, the benefit of peer-to-peer over cross-generational mixing to the young women is negatively frequency dependent; one young woman will put herself at less risk by choosing partners her own age over older men but as more women come to do the same the benefit of this behaviour change is reduced as HIV prevalence among young men increases.

The model was carefully parameterised using detailed data from a rural district in eastern Zimbabwe and we expect that the qualitative insights will be generalisable to other African settings with epidemics.

Our model simulations suggest that HIV prevalence is only slightly higher with much more cross-generational mixing. Furthermore, even if 99% of sexual partnerships are formed between peers, HIV can still reach a high endemic level. This is contrary to the suggestion that without cross-generational mixing HIV could not persist endemically. Cross-generational sex helps increase prevalence because few of the partnerships of infected older males are with older females who are already infected too and more will probably be with uninfected younger females. However, the link is not strong because the infection will reach all age groups eventually under almost any mixing scenario, and then young women will be exposed instead to the high rates of sexual activity of their male peers. Sex with older men is more risky than sex with younger men partly because of the greater possibility of the former to be infected with HIV, having been exposed to the risk of infection for longer. However, the observed gradient in HIV prevalence among males by age is partly a result of the cross-generational mixing; prevalence among young men is suppressed by cross-generational mixing because they have only limited access to their peers and instead have sex with even younger uninfected women or not at all. A switch to peer-to-peer mixing will increase prevalence among young men making, from a female perspective, formerly “low-risk” contacts increasingly “high risk”. In this way, the benefit of peer-to-peer over cross-generational mixing to the young women is negatively frequency dependent; one young woman will put herself at less risk by choosing partners her own age over older men but as more women come to do the same the benefit of this behaviour change is reduced as HIV prevalence among young men increases.

The real impact of cross-generational sex on the population-level spread of HIV is the power imbalance in those partnerships and the implications this has for the chance that condoms will be used. Our results show that behaviour change interventions should aim to minimise unprotected sexual contact by young women with anyone and should not target the age difference between sexual partners per se. Nonetheless, this pattern of partnership formation between older men and younger women does seem to underlie the high female-to-male HIV prevalence ratio among young people; the model generates ratios at least as extreme as those observed without assuming any difference in susceptibility to infection by sex or age. Cross-generational mixing must be considered when examining other types of behavioural intervention; the demand for their sexual partnerships could undermine the benefit young women get from remaining abstinent for longer and the importance of transmission from older men makes the frequency with which they use condoms especially important.

Abstinence (through delaying the onset of sexual activity) removes the risk of infection for only a few years—a small fraction of one’s lifetime exposure. This period may be important because the first years of a woman’s sexual activity may carry the greatest risk of transmission for a number of biological and behavioural reasons. However, even assuming that susceptibility declines strongly with age, the lifetime benefit of delaying sex for two years is expected to be, at most, only 8%. The benefit is smaller (3%) if the “demand” for their sexual partnerships is not reduced. Although infected too and more will probably be with uninfected younger females. However, the link is not strong because the infection will reach all age groups eventually under almost any mixing scenario, and then young women will be exposed instead to the high rates of sexual activity of their male peers. Sex with older men is more risky than sex with younger men partly because of the greater possibility of the former to be infected with HIV, having been exposed to the risk of infection for longer. However, the observed gradient in HIV prevalence among males by age is partly a result of the cross-generational mixing; prevalence among young men is suppressed by cross-generational mixing because they have only limited access to their peers and instead have sex with even younger uninfected women or not at all. A switch to peer-to-peer mixing will increase prevalence among young men making, from a female perspective, formerly “low-risk” contacts increasingly “high risk”. In this way, the benefit of peer-to-peer over cross-generational mixing to the young women is negatively frequency dependent; one young woman will put herself at less risk by choosing partners her own age over older men but as more women come to do the same the benefit of this behaviour change is reduced as HIV prevalence among young men increases.

The real impact of cross-generational sex on the population-level spread of HIV is the power imbalance in those partnerships and the implications this has for the chance that condoms will be used. Our results show that behaviour change interventions should aim to minimise unprotected sexual contact by young women with anyone and should not target the age difference between sexual partners per se. Nonetheless, this pattern of partnership formation between older men and younger women does seem to underlie the high female-to-male HIV prevalence ratio among young people; the model generates ratios at least as extreme as those observed without assuming any difference in susceptibility to infection by sex or age. Cross-generational mixing must be considered when examining other types of behavioural intervention; the demand for their sexual partnerships could undermine the benefit young women get from remaining abstinent for longer and the importance of transmission from older men makes the frequency with which they use condoms especially important.
Abstinence undeniably reduces the risk of infection, its impact on the spread of HIV and the average chance of infection over a lifetime is small. It is probable, therefore, that the dramatic prevalence decline in Uganda was primarily mediated through increased condom use and fidelity rather than the two-year delay in first sex that was also reported.

In the coming years, ongoing and proposed behaviour interventions will be assessed and their potential effect estimated. This modelling work has highlighted the sensitivity of the efficacy of some behaviour changes to unknown properties of the sexual network (eg the response of males to female behaviour change) and projections should incorporate this uncertainty.

From an individual-level perspective it is possible to identify those elements of sexual behaviour that are associated with the highest risk of infection. However, without a dynamic description of HIV transmission it is not clear how these changes will limit the spread of HIV at the population level. The chance of infection is only partly determined by one’s own sexual behaviour and interventions should not be narrowly targeted at particular at-risk groups (for example, young women) but instead should tackle risky behaviour throughout the sexual network.
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