

The 2009 HIV and AIDS estimates and projections: methods, tools and analyses

Peter D Ghys,¹ Geoff P Garnett²

¹UNAIDS, Geneva, Switzerland
²Imperial College, London, UK

Correspondence to

Peter D Ghys, UNAIDS, 20, Avenue Appia, CH-1211, Geneva 27, Switzerland; ghysp@unaids.org

Accepted 16 October 2010

Since 2004 we have provided detailed descriptions of the tools and assumptions used in generating HIV and AIDS estimates, as well as the data and analyses underpinning them.^{1–3} The UNAIDS Reference Group on Estimates, Modelling and Projections has continued to advise on the methods to be implemented in the Estimation and Projection Package (EPP) and the AIM module of Spectrum, which are used by countries, together with UNAIDS and WHO, in preparing national estimates. Discussions in this group have resulted in many of the new features in the 2009 versions of these estimation tools (see <http://www.epidem.org> for meeting reports). This supplement continues the tradition of publishing descriptions of the approaches used in HIV estimates so that they reflect new information and remain transparent.

The current supplement contains three types of papers. Five papers explain new estimation and modelling methods, assumptions about important parameter values and a description of software tools used in producing the 2009 HIV and AIDS estimates.^{4–8} They are followed by a description of the quality of HIV surveillance systems in low and middle income countries as of 2009,⁹ a country application of the new estimation software tools for Jamaica,¹⁰ four analytical papers building on the results of the new set of global estimates^{11–14} and one on recent surveillance data¹⁵ which together provide insights into the impact of the AIDS epidemic and the effect of treatment and prevention interventions on the course of the epidemic. Finally, two papers look forward to some potential alternative models required to improve ways of modelling prevalence curves as HIV epidemics progress to new states.^{16 17}

ESTIMATION METHODS

As in past years, UNAIDS, WHO together with other partners have held a series of workshops for national epidemiologists who are trained on the new methods and assumptions and the new versions of the modelling and estimation software. The most recent series of workshops took place between March and July 2009 in different regions of the world. The recent round of workshops has promoted the use of EPP instead of the Workbook,¹⁸ since the EPP methodology is much better at making full use of the information on HIV prevalence trends that is contained in surveillance data. These workshops have resulted in draft estimates for 2008 which have been refined and published as regional and global estimates in the 2009 AIDS Epidemic Update report.¹⁹ During 2010, the draft country estimates have been updated with data through the end of 2009 and

final estimates have been published in the 2010 WHO and UNAIDS reports.^{20 21}

Antiretroviral therapy (ART) is increasingly having a major impact on HIV prevalence, with HIV-positive people living longer lives. To allow for this, the 2009 version of EPP explicitly includes the effect of ART when calculating HIV incidence from trends in HIV prevalence,⁴ and also models a reduction in infectivity of those receiving ART. EPP has further improved its fitting algorithm, using incremental mixture importance sampling.²² To handle a rise in prevalence following a decline, EPP includes the potential to change the parameter controlling recruitment to the at risk population ('phi-shift' feature). While this feature handles these unusual prevalence trajectories well, its theoretical framework is not fully developed and has led to the work described in the final section of this supplement. Finally, the 2009 EPP version allows for changes in urbanisation over time, which is important as, historically, prevalence was higher in urban areas and the past two decades have seen rapid urbanisation in many countries.

The size of groups with high-risk behaviours is a crucial input for the estimation of concentrated epidemics but remains challenging. The paper on the network scale-up method⁹ introduces a relatively new approach, relying on the proportion of people with such behaviours known to a sample of the population. The method assumes that peoples' social networks are representative and that the size of the social network can be measured, either through summation or using another better enumerated characteristic of contacts. The authors point out the need to validate this method along with other approaches to estimate the size of marginalised populations.

Spectrum's main new features include new ways of handling the age- and sex-specific patterns of incidence over time, the timing of new child infections occurring around delivery or through breastfeeding as well as the survival of these children, and the estimation of the number of double orphans.⁶ Two papers describe in more detail some of the additional changes in assumptions in Spectrum. First, the effects of HIV on fertility have been studied in 20 DHS surveys collected between 2003 and 2007.⁷ While the relationship for a single country may be somewhat unstable because of the small number of HIV-positive pregnant women, the large number of countries for which this type of information is now available provides a robust relationship which confirms earlier findings from studies in small areas.²³ Second, the derivation of the default parameters for the annual survival probabilities on ART for adults and children is

described, together with the estimation methods for the number of adults and children that are in need of ART.⁸

TRENDS IN THE HIV PANDEMIC

The quality of serosurveillance in low- and middle-income countries is described in an updated assessment building on similar exercises.⁹ Broadly, the quality of serosurveillance has remained constant with an increased number of antenatal clinic sites and nationally representative population samples, but with an increase in the number of countries with poorly functioning surveillance.

A paper from Jamaica explains how the 2009 versions of the EPP and Spectrum tools have been applied in Jamaica, together with the country-specific data and assumptions, to assess the course of the epidemic and to set programme targets.¹⁰

Analytical papers based on new estimates explore various topics. A paper on the prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) quantifies the effect of epidemic trends and PMTCT programmes on the annual number of new child infections.¹¹ In addition, the paper explores which efforts are needed to virtually eliminate new child infections through mother-to-child transmission by 2015. An updated analysis of the contribution of HIV to under-five mortality shows a decrease in recent years.¹² The effect of changing global guidelines of the starting criteria for the initiation of ART on the number of people estimated to be eligible for ART is assessed.¹³ A second paper, focused on ART, estimates the impact of ART on mortality and estimates how many life-years have been gained through ART among adults.¹⁴ A final analytical paper uses recent biological and behavioural surveillance data from young people aged 15–24 years in the most affected countries to assess trends in HIV prevalence and sexual behaviour. The analysis shows that, among the countries with sufficient data for the analysis, in 10 countries there is evidence of significant declines in HIV prevalence of at least 25% since 2000, while an additional six countries have non-significant declining trends, and another five do not show declining trends.¹⁵

FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

Looking towards the future, we expect several new developments in estimation tools. First, it is desirable that EPP and Spectrum be integrated, thereby allowing streamlining of the handling of demographic and ART data, and in doing so avoiding errors. Second, a more flexible epidemic model should be included in EPP to improve on the current phi-shift feature, building on the proposals by Hogan¹⁶ and Bao.¹⁷ Many countries now have seen a decline in prevalence and these would be expected to show an increase in prevalence in future years as a result of either increased survival due to ART of a large proportion of all people living with HIV or of increased transmission, or both. Third, with ART programmes expanding and maturing, improvements in modelling epidemics should be expected from the tracking of HIV-infected individuals by their CD4 level. Fourth, for mother-to-child transmission, the new WHO guidelines should be incorporated in Spectrum.

While EPP and Spectrum work well for countries where survey-derived data constitute the bulk of the surveillance data, they are not well adapted for countries that rely mostly on HIV and AIDS case reporting for HIV surveillance. Many European countries rely on such data and a new initiative coordinated by the European Centre for Disease Control hopes to develop estimation tools appropriate in such settings.

In conclusion, the 2009 estimation tools described in this supplement contain several important improvements over previous versions. The resulting estimates have already allowed several insightful multicountry and global analyses. We trust that these estimates also serve programme planners, scientists, policy makers and the wider public. We are committed to continue to improve estimation methods and assumptions in future years as the epidemics and the data availability continue to evolve, and to communicate these changes in a transparent way.

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

1. **Ward H**, Walker N, Ghys PD. Methods and tools for HIV/AIDS estimates and projections. *Sex Transm Infect* 2004;**80**(suppl I).
2. **Ghys PD**, Walker N, Ward H, *et al*. Improved methods and tools for HIV/AIDS estimates and projections. *Sex Transm Infect* 2006;**82**(suppl III).
3. **Ghys PD**, McFarland W, Walker N, *et al*. Improved data, methods and tools for the 2007 HIV and AIDS estimates and projections. *Sex Transm Infect* 2008;**84**(suppl I).
4. **Brown T**, Bao L, Raftery AE, *et al*. Modelling HIV epidemics in the antiretroviral era: the UNAIDS estimation and projection package 2009. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii3–ii10.
5. **Bernard HR**, Hallett T, Iovita A, *et al*. Counting hard-to-count populations: the network scale-up method for public health. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii11–ii15.
6. **Stover J**, Johnson P, Hallett P, *et al*. The Spectrum projection package: improvements in estimating incidence by age and sex, mother-to-child transmission, HIV progression in children and double orphans. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii16–ii21.
7. **Chen WJ**, Walker N. Fertility of HIV-infected women: insights from Demographic and Health Surveys. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii22–ii27.
8. **Mahy M**, Lewden C, Brinkof MWG, *et al*. Derivation of parameters used in spectrum for antiretroviral therapy and survival on antiretroviral therapy. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii28–ii34.
9. **Garcia Calleja JM**, Jacobson J, Garg R, *et al*. Has the quality of serosurveillance in low- and middle-income countries improved since the last HIV estimates round in 2007? Status and trends through 2009. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii35–ii42.
10. **Duncan J**, Beckford Jarrett S, Harvey K, *et al*. Using estimation and projection package and Spectrum for Jamaica's national HIV estimates and targets. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii43–ii47.
11. **Mahy M**, Stover J, Kiragu K, *et al*. What will it take to achieve virtual elimination of mother-to-child transmission of HIV? An assessment of current progress and future needs. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii48–ii55.
12. **Stanecki K**, Daher J, Stover J, *et al*. Under-5 mortality due to HIV: regional levels and 1990–2009 trends. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii56–ii61.
13. **Stanecki K**, Daher J, Stover J, *et al*. Antiretroviral therapy needs: the effect of changing global guidelines. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii62–ii66.
14. **Mahy M**, Stover J, Stanecki K, *et al*. Estimating the impact of antiretroviral therapy: regional and global estimates of life-years gained among adults. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii67–ii71.
15. **Gouws E**. The International Group on Analysis of Trends in HIV Prevalence and Behaviours in Young People in Countries most affected by HIV, Trends in HIV prevalence and sexual behaviour among young people aged 15–24 years in countries most affected by HIV. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii72–ii83.
16. **Hogan DR**, Zaslavsky AM, Hammit JK. Flexible epidemiological model for estimates and short-term projections in generalised HIV/AIDS epidemics. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii84–ii92.
17. **Bao L**, Raftery A. A stochastic infection rate model for estimating and projecting national HIV prevalence rates. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;**86**(suppl II):ii93–ii99.
18. **Lyerla R**, Gouws E, Garcia-Calleja JM, *et al*. The 2005 Workbook: an improved tool for estimating HIV prevalence in countries with low level and concentrated epidemics. *Sex Transm Infect* 2006;**82**(Suppl 3):iii41–4.
19. **UNAIDS/WHO**. *AIDS epidemic update. UNAIDS/09.36E/ JC1700E*. Geneva, Switzerland: UNAIDS/WHO, 2009.
20. **WHO/UNAIDS/UNICEF**. *Towards universal access: Scaling up priority HIV/AIDS interventions in the health sector*. Progress report 2010. Geneva: WHO, 2010.
21. **UNAIDS**. *2010 Report on the global AIDS epidemic*. Geneva: UNAIDS, 2010.
22. **Raftery AE**, Bao L. Estimating and projecting trends in HIV/AIDS generalized epidemics using incremental mixture importance sampling. *Biometrics* 2010. doi:10.1111/j.1541-0420.2010.01399.x.
23. **Lewis JJ**, Ronsmans C, Ezeh A, *et al*. The population impact of HIV on fertility in sub-Saharan Africa. *AIDS* 2004;**18**(Suppl 2):S35–43.