Chlamydia trachomatis infection and risk of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia
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ABSTRACT

Objectives High-risk human papillomavirus (hrHPV) is the primary cause of cervical cancer. As Chlamydia trachomatis is also linked to cervical cancer, its role as a potential co-factor in the development of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) grade 2 or higher was examined.

Methods The placebo arms of two large, multinational, clinical trials of an HPV6/11/16/18 vaccine were combined. A total of 8441 healthy women aged 15–26 years underwent cervicovaginal cytology testing at day 1 and every 12 months thereafter for up to 4 years. Protocol-specified guidelines were used to triage participants with Pap abnormalities to colposcopy and definitive therapy. The main outcome measured was CIN.

Results At baseline, 2629 (31.1%) tested positive for hrHPV DNA and 354 (4.2%) tested positive for C. trachomatis. Among those with HPV16/18 infection (n=965, 11.4%) or without HPV16/18 infection (n=7382, 87.5%), the hazard ratios (HRs) associated with development of any CIN grade 2 according to baseline C. trachomatis status were 1.82 (95% CI: 1.06 to 3.14) and 1.74 (95% CI 1.05 to 2.90), respectively. The results were comparable when only the 12 most common hrHPV infections were considered, but the excess risk disappeared when the outcome was expanded to include CIN grade 3 or worse.

Conclusion Further studies based on larger cohorts with longitudinal follow-up in relation to the C. trachomatis acquisition and a thorough evaluation of temporal relationships of infections with hrHPV types, C. trachomatis and cervical neoplasia are needed to demonstrate whether and how in some situations C. trachomatis sets the stage for cervical carcinogenesis.

Trial registration NCT00092521 and NCT00092534.

INTRODUCTION

Infection with the highly prevalent oncogenic human papillomaviruses (HPVs) (lifetime risk 70–80%), most notably with HPV types 16 and 18, is the primary cause of cervical cancer. Past infection with Chlamydia trachomatis has also been linked to the development of cervical cancer, as demonstrated both in prospective seroepidemiological studies and PCR-based studies using archival cytological materials.

The pathogenesis of C. trachomatis in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) remains unknown, but original observations and independent, large-scale, longitudinal epidemiological studies suggest that C. trachomatis may be involved in cervical carcinogenesis. By inducing cervical metaplasia C. trachomatis infection can provide target cells for acquisition of HPV (especially HPV type 18). On the other hand, by causing local immunoperturbation it may interfere with immune surveillance of persistent infection with hrHPV types. These two alternatives are supported by cohort studies that show that C. trachomatis infection is a risk factor for both incident hrHPV infection and persistence of hrHPV DNA. However, evidence of C. trachomatis infection increasing the risk of the development of CIN, among those with or without hrHPV infection at the baseline, is still missing. We used a joint cohort from two placebo-controlled trials of a quadrivalent HPV6/11/16/18 vaccine to examine the role of C. trachomatis infection as an independent co-factor (possibly related to HPV acquisition) or HPV-dependent co-factor (related to HPV persistence) in the development of CIN.

METHODS

A cohort of 17 622 women aged 15–26 years was enrolled in two multinational trials which evaluated the efficacy and safety of a quadrivalent HPV6/11/16/18 virus-like particle vaccine (GARDASIL/SILGARD, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Whitehouse Station, New Jersey, USA). Both studies were approved by the institutional review boards (ethical review committees) at participating centres and informed consent was received from all the participants enrolled. The study designs and results of the primary hypotheses have been described, following the consort guidelines. The trials recruited healthy women who, at enrolment (day 1), reported having had 0–4 sex partners during their lifetime, except in Finland, where age over 17 years was used as an exclusion criterion.

As the study had no screening phase, the trials allowed the enrolment of women who had previously been or were currently infected with any of the HPV types known to infect the anogenital tract. All participants were tested for cervical cytological abnormalities, and C. trachomatis at baseline and 12-monthly intervals in the FUTURE I and FUTURE II trials, respectively, for immediate treatment after which they were again eligible for the follow-up. In this study, the final cohort...
consisted of 8441 women who were randomised to the placebo arms of the two trials only, and followed approximately 3.7 years on average, with 25th and 75th percentiles of 3.5 and 3.9 years, respectively (maximum follow-up 4.9 years). C trachomatis serology was not performed.

Anogenital swabs collected at baseline, and all tissues collected from definitive therapy and excisions (including biopsy specimens) were tested with a PCR-based assay for 14 HPV types, including the four vaccine types (i.e., HPV6, 11, 16 and 18) and 10 other hrHPV types (HPV31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58 and 59) which are the most common hrHPV types in cervical cancer worldwide. All tissue specimens, including those from definitive therapy and excision (including all biopsy specimens), were subjected to histopathological review by a blinded pathology panel.

This post hoc analysis was performed to assess the independent role of baseline C trachomatis in the development of CIN by comparing results from the HPV-stratified and HPV-adjusted analyses. The following CIN endpoints were evaluated: 1) CIN grade 2 (CIN2) due to any HPV type; 2) CIN2 related to a hrHPV type; 3) CIN grade 3 (CIN3) or adenoscarcinoma in situ (AIS) due to any HPV type and 4) CIN3 or AIS related to a hrHPV type. High-risk types included the following 12 HPV types: HPV 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58 and 59. In our longitudinal follow-up study, risks of developing CIN2 and CIN3 or AIS were evaluated separately to distinguish early and later associations of C trachomatis with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. Rates of these endpoints were summarised based on the number of women with a lesion per 100 person-years at risk.

Univariate and multivariate Cox models were used to analyse the impact of baseline C trachomatis status on the end points. The univariate analysis stratified by HPV baseline status was first used for the evaluation of the impact of baseline C trachomatis status (positive vs negative) on the RR of developing CIN2 and CIN3 or AIS separately in baseline HPV-positive or HPV-negative individuals. Thereafter, the multivariate models adjusting for covariates such as baseline status (HPV positive or negative), age at study entry, number of lifetime sexual partners and smoking status (current smoker, former smoker, never smoked) were used to confirm the nature (HPV dependent or independent) of the C trachomatis associated risk. In the models pertaining to CIN endpoints due to any HPV type, HPV baseline status was defined based on positivity to HPV 16 or HPV 18. In the models of CIN endpoints, due to hrHPV types, HPV baseline status was defined based on positivity for any of the 12 tested types.

HRs and 95% CIs were calculated from both the univariate and multivariate models. In the latter the interaction between C trachomatis and baseline HPV status was assessed by including an interaction term in the Cox multivariate model. From this model, the impact of C trachomatis was estimated within each baseline HPV status (HPV negative and HPV positive) group to compare, at the baseline, the HPV-independent and HPV-dependent risk estimates.

RESULTS
Of the 8812 women randomised to the placebo arms, 8441 had non-missing data for C trachomatis infection at enrolment, with 354 of 8441 (4.2%) positive for C trachomatis, and 2629 (31.1%) positive for hrHPV DNA at baseline. The age distribution, age at sexual debut and lifetime number of sex partners were similar between the C trachomatis-positive and C trachomatis-negative women (table 1). Smoking was more common among the former (32.8% vs 26.8%). The frequency of any squamous intraepithelial lesions, HPV16/18 infection and infections with ≥1 of 14 tested HPV types was twofold higher among baseline C trachomatis-positive women compared with C trachomatis-negative women (table 1).

Crude (univariate analysis) HRs suggested that women with C trachomatis at baseline were about two times more likely to develop CIN2 than those without (table 2). After adjusting (multivariate analysis) for HPV16/18 status, age at study entry, number of lifetime sexual partners and smoking status, women with C trachomatis at baseline were 1.78 times more likely to develop CIN2 due to any HPV type (p=0.002, table 2) than those without C trachomatis. Finally, in the multivariate analysis among women with HPV16/18 infection at baseline, those with C trachomatis at baseline were 1.82 times more likely to develop CIN2 due to any HPV type compared with those without (p=0.030, table 2). A similar point estimate (HR 1.74, p=0.053, table 2) was observed among those without HPV16/18 infection. In corresponding, multivariate analyses among women with at least one of the 12 tested hrHPV types at baseline, those with C trachomatis were 1.59 times more likely to develop CIN2 due to one of the 12 tested hrHPVs compared with those without (p=0.053, table 2), among baseline HPV-negative women, the point estimate even if not statistically significant was not materially different (HR 1.35).

DISCUSSION
Our results suggest that if infection with C trachomatis plays an independent co-factor role in the development of cervical neoplasia, the effect is likely to take place at an early stage of cervical carcinogenesis or/and restricted to some cases only. Our data are well in line with previous reports. Studies showing an effect of C trachomatis on late outcomes, such as cervical cancer, have either used long follow-up times or the highest point
estimates have been obtained for cases with longest lag time between *Chlamydia trachomatis* exposure and the outcome.2–5

The study has several strengths. This large cohort study enrolled a diverse population of young women participating in developed and developing countries. High diagnostic accuracy for CIN determination was provided by a panel of expert pathologists. In addition, there was well controlled, sensitive testing for HPV DNA and for baseline *Chlamydia* status were tested and proved to be insignificant (p-values of interactions are 0.905 and 0.768 in the models of CIN2 due to any HPV type and CIN2 due to HPV baseline status (positive or negative), age at study entry, number of lifetime sexual partners and smoking status (current smoker, former smoker, never smoked). Interactions between baseline HPV status and *Chlamydia* status were tested and proved to be insignificant (p-values of interactions are 0.905 and 0.768 in the models of CIN2 due to any HPV type and CIN2 due to HPV baseline status (positive or negative), age at study entry, number of lifetime sexual partners and smoking status (current smoker, former smoker, never smoked). Interactions between baseline HPV status and *Chlamydia* status were tested and proved to be insignificant (p-values of interactions are 0.905 and 0.768 in the models of CIN2 due to any HPV type and CIN2 due to HPV baseline status (positive or negative), age at study entry, number of lifetime sexual partners and smoking status (current smoker, former smoker, never smoked). Interactions between baseline HPV status and *Chlamydia* status were tested and proved to be insignificant (p-values of interactions are 0.905 and 0.768 in the models of CIN2 due to any HPV type and CIN2 due to HPV baseline status (positive or negative), age at study entry, number of lifetime sexual partners and smoking status (current smoker, former smoker, never smoked). Interactions between baseline HPV status and *Chlamydia* status were tested and proved to be insignificant (p-values of interactions are 0.905 and 0.768 in the models of CIN2 due to any HPV type and CIN2 due to HPV baseline status (positive or negative), age at study entry, number of lifetime sexual partners and smoking status (current smoker, former smoker, never smoked). Interactions between baseline HPV status and *Chlamydia* status were tested and proved to be insignificant (p-values of interactions are 0.905 and 0.768 in the models of CIN2 due to any HPV type and CIN2 due to HPV baseline status (positive or negative), age at study entry, number of lifetime sexual partners and smoking status (current smoker, former smoker, never smoked). Interactions between baseline HPV status and *Chlamydia* status were tested and proved to be insignificant (p-values of interactions are 0.905 and 0.768 in the models of CIN2 due to any HPV type and CIN2 due to HPV baseline status (positive or negative), age at study entry, number of lifetime sexual partners and smoking status (current smoker, former smoker, never smoked).
CIN3/AIS due to any HPV type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Rate*</th>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Rate*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8441</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td>8087</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative for HPV16 and 18</td>
<td>7382</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td>7114</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive for HPV16 and/or 18</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td>884</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: Total number of women with non-missing Chlamydia status and respective PCR status and at least one follow-up visit. n: Number of women with positive/negative Chlamydia status and positive/negative PCR status and at least one follow-up visit.

*Rate = Number of women with a lesion per 100 person-years at risk.

HR calculated using data from each stratified HPV baseline status data. For the ‘multivariate—negative/positive’ estimates, HR is estimated within each HPV baseline status group after including the interaction term between baseline Chlamydia status and baseline HPV status and adjusting for age at study entry, number of lifetime sexual partners, and smoking status (current smoker, former smoker, never smoked). Interactions between baseline HPV status and Chlamydia status were tested and proven to be insignificant (P-values of interactions are 0.683 and 0.628 in the models of CIN3/AIS due to any HPV type and CIN3/AIS due to HPV16/18 positives and HPV16/18 negatives.

Further studies based on larger cohorts with longitudinal follow-up in relation to the C. trachomatis acquisition and infection with HPV types that have not been tested for. However, the difference in the oncogenicity between the tested and non-tested hrHPV types, and the low prevalence of the latter, makes this unlikely.

Further studies based on larger cohorts with longitudinal follow-up in relation to the C. trachomatis acquisition and infection with HPV types that have not been tested for. However, the difference in the oncogenicity between the tested and non-tested hrHPV types, and the low prevalence of the latter, makes this unlikely.

Table 3 Multivariate and univariate analysis for risk (HR, HR) of developing cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 (CIN3) or adenocarcinoma in situ (AIS) according to baseline Chlamydia trachomatis and high-risk human papillomavirus (hrHPV) DNA status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value‡</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.10 (0.69 to 1.76)</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>1.12 (0.69 to 1.76)</td>
<td>0.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.43 (0.45 to 4.55)</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>1.50 (0.47 to 4.77)</td>
<td>0.488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05 (0.63 to 1.75)</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>1.09 (0.65 to 1.75)</td>
<td>0.750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key Messages

- We conducted a longitudinal, 4-year follow-up study on the role of Chlamydia trachomatis as a risk factor for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) in a sizeable cohort of 8441 placebo vaccinated 15–26-year-old women.
- Baseline C. trachomatis PCR positivity was an independent, albeit moderate (HR 1.8) risk factor for the development of CIN2 with materially indistinguishable point estimates in both baseline HPV16/18 positives and HPV16/18 negatives.
- C. trachomatis may be involved only in the early stages of cervical carcinogenesis as no increased risk associated with C. trachomatis was found for CIN3. The pathobiology of its possible role, however, remains open.
- Further studies based on larger cohorts with longitudinal follow-up in relation to the C. trachomatis acquisition and a thorough evaluation of temporal relationships of infections with hrHPV types, C. trachomatis and cervical neoplasia are needed to demonstrate whether and how in some situations C. trachomatis sets the stage for cervical carcinogenesis.
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Minerva

A 36 year old married man presented with a generalised itchy rash on his hands, ears, and scalp. He also had a dry cough with breathlessness. Bronchoscopy confirmed *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia. An HIV test was positive. His CD4 count was 23×10⁹/l. His wife and other family members had also had itchy rashes for months. We diagnosed crusted (Norwegian) scabies. He was treated with permethrin and ivermectin. In ordinary scabies in immunocompetent patients, only 10-15 mites are found whereas in crusted scabies thousands of mites are found, and it is highly infectious. Crusted scabies usually occurs in people who are immunocompromised.
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