Patterns of herpes simplex virus shedding over 1 month and the impact of acyclovir and HIV in HSV-2-seropositive women in Tanzania
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ABSTRACT

Objectives Few studies have examined the frequency and duration of genital herpes simplex virus (HSV) shedding in sub-Saharan Africa. This study describes HSV shedding patterns among a sample of HSV-2-seropositive women enrolled in a placebo-controlled trial of HSV suppressive therapy (acyclovir 400 mg twice a day) in Tanzania.

Methods Trial participants were invited to participate in a substudy involving 12 clinic visits over 4 weeks. At each visit, cervical, vaginal and external skin swabs were taken and analysed for HSV DNA using inhouse real-time PCR.

Results HSV shedding was mainly subclinical (90%; 57/63 shedding days in the placebo arm). The most frequent shedding site was the external skin, but HSV DNA was detected from all three sites on 42% (27/63) of shedding days. In HIV-negative women, HSV DNA was detected on 3% (9/275) of days in the acyclovir versus 11% (33/309) in the placebo arm, while in HIV-positive women, detection was on 14% (23/160) versus 19% (30/155) of days, respectively.

Conclusions HSV shedding was common, varying greatly by individual. Shedding rates were similar to studies in African and non-African settings. Among HIV-negative women, shedding rates were lower in the acyclovir arm; however, acyclovir did not substantially impact on HSV shedding in HIV-positive women.

Herpes simplex virus (HSV) type 2, is the most frequent cause of genital ulcer disease.1 Infection is latent most of the time, but HSV reactivations, either clinical or subclinical, occur throughout an individual’s life.2–3 HSV shedding occurs more frequently in HIV-positive individuals.4–6

There are few studies of HSV shedding in sub-Saharan Africa. Some,5,7 but not all,8–11 suggest that shedding rates among women may be higher than in developed countries, possibly due to the presence of other co-factors such as sexually transmitted infections (STI) or vaginal cleansing practices. Bacterial vaginosis, highly prevalent in women from sub-Saharan Africa, has been associated with increased vaginal HSV DNA shedding.12

The WHO recommends administering antiviral therapy as part of syndromic management in settings where herpes accounts for greater than 50% of genital ulcer disease,13 but recent randomised controlled trials of the impact of this strategy on ulcer healing have had mixed results.14–16 A more detailed understanding of HSV shedding patterns may help interpret these findings and those of HSV suppressive therapy trials reporting no impact on HIV acquisition or transmission.17–19

In this study, we describe HSV shedding patterns and the effect of acyclovir and HIV on shedding over 1 month among a sample of HSV-2-seropositive women in Tanzania enrolled in a randomised placebo-controlled trial of HSV suppressive therapy.

METHODS

Study participants and procedures

This study was nested within a randomised placebo-controlled trial of acyclovir 400 mg twice a day conducted in 19 communities in northwestern Tanzania (ISRCTN 35385041). Screening, randomisation and follow-up procedures for this trial have been described previously.17 The trial evaluated the effect of HSV suppressive therapy on HIV incidence in HIV-negative women and cervicovaginal HIV shedding in HIV-positive women.17,18

This study was conducted between August and October 2005 in two of the 19 trial communities, with the communities chosen for logistical reasons. Women were eligible if they were trial participants, had not withdrawn from study tablets, were healthy enough to participate, were not planning to move within the follow-up period and were attending their main trial 18-month follow-up visit in these two communities. Trial counsellors gave eligible women information about the substudy, and those interested in participating were given an enrolment appointment 2–6 weeks later.

Eligibility was re-assessed at the enrolment appointment. Additional eligibility criteria were an ability to understand the study and not planning to travel for one period of more than 4 days or for two periods of 3 days or more during the follow-up period. Women were enrolled following written or fingerprint consent.

A short interview collected information including current contraception and STI symptoms. A genital examination was performed, clinical findings were noted and three genital swabs were collected, one from each of the cervix and vagina as previously described21 and one from the external genitalia by rolling the swab over the clitoris, vulva and perianal area. Swabs were stored dry in separate tubes. An additional swab was taken from any genital ulcers. STI were treated syndromically according to Tanzanian national guidelines. Women were offered condoms.
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To avoid unblinding the field team to treatment allocation and HIV status, enrolment was monitored by the independent trial statistician who informed the team when sufficient participants had been enrolled, to give a minimum sample size of 15 women in each of four strata, ie, HIV-positive women on acyclovir/placebo and HIV-negative women on acyclovir/placebo.

Follow-up
Women attended the study clinic three times per week and were followed for 4 weeks, for a maximum of 12 visits (including enrolment). At each visit, a genital examination was carried out and swabs were collected, as detailed above.

Laboratory analyses
Swabs were frozen at −20°C immediately after collection and placed in a −80°C freezer within 2 weeks. Lysis buffer was added to cervical, vaginal and external skin swabs before extraction to protect the nucleic acids. Tubes containing the swabs and lysis buffer were vortexed briefly, incubated at 56°C for 10 min and then vortexed briefly again. Swabs were transferred to the swab extraction tube system (Roche Molecular Diagnostics, Meylan, France) and centrifuged at 12 000 rpm for 1 min. Recuperated fluid was added to the original tube. Nucleic acid extraction was carried out using the NucliSens EasyMag system (bioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France). HSV DNA was quantified using an in-house real-time PCR and the Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Courtaboeuf, France). Genital ulcer swabs were tested for Treponema pallidum, HSV and Haemophilus ducreyi using multiplex PCR.

Statistical methods
As the main aim of this study was descriptive, no formal sample size calculation was carried out although it was intended to include at least 15 women in each of the four study strata from these two sites.

Data were double-entered and validated in dBase IV and analysed using Stata 10.

The threshold of quantification for HSV DNA was 110 copies per swab. Shedding quantities below this threshold were classed as undetectable. Viral load data were log10 transformed for analysis.

The main analysis is based on the overall ‘shedding rate’ in each strata, defined as the total number of samples with HSV detected divided by the total number of visits from which samples were available (‘per visit’ analysis). This provides the most consistent measure regardless of the number of swabs collected. Additional analyses included ‘per woman’ analyses in which the outcome is the percentage of visits when shedding was detected for each woman grouped into the following categories: none, less than 25%, 25% to less than 50% and 50% to less than 75%.

Shedding was defined as clinical if it occurred on a day when ulcers or blisters were observed by the clinician at any site and subclinical if it occurred on a day when no ulcers or blisters were observed.

The association of acyclovir with HSV shedding rate (‘per visit’ analysis) was analysed with logistic regression using generalised estimating equations with an exchangeable correlation matrix, adjusting for within-woman clustering. Analysis of the ‘per woman’ outcomes used ordinal logistic regression. The per-visit analysis examines HSV shedding overall combining data from all women. In the per-woman analysis, we examine the persistence of HSV shedding from the perspective of an individual participant.

Adherence was estimated within the main trial by tablet count at each 3-month follow-up visit. Adherence for the 18–21-month follow-up period was used as an estimate for adherence within this 1-month substudy.

The study protocol was approved by the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine ethics committee and the Medical Research Coordinating Committee of Tanzania. Within the main trial participants had access to voluntary counselling and testing for HIV and HIV-positive women were referred to the closest care and treatment centre for HIV.

RESULTS

Cohort recruitment and follow-up
In total, 124 women were given information about the substudy at their 18-month follow-up visit. Appointments were accepted by 102 (82%), of whom 78 (76%) were enrolled. Participants had 12 scheduled visits, including enrolment, and 96% (899/956) of potential visits were attended, with most women (n=69; 88%) completing all visits. Data were analysed from 77 women attending two or more visits. Of these, 50 were HIV seronegative (24 randomly assigned to acyclovir and 26 to placebo) and 27 were HIV seropositive (14 randomly assigned to acyclovir and 13 to placebo). Two women were taking antiretroviral therapy.

Study population characteristics
Substudy participants were slightly older than trial participants not enrolled in the substudy (28.8 vs 27.3 years for HIV-negative and 30.0 vs 27.9 years for HIV-positive women). There were no other differences between these two groups including a self-reported history of genital ulcers.

The median age was 29 years (IQR, 26–33) and over 60% of women were divorced, separated or widowed (table 1). A history of genital ulcers or blisters was reported by 50% of participants. Characteristics were broadly similar by both HIV status (table 1) and treatment arm (data not shown).

Estimated adherence during the 3-month period in which this study was nested was 90% or greater for 26/50 (52%) of HIV-negative and 13/27 (48%) of HIV-positive participants. Overall, 24/38 (63%) substudy women in the acyclovir arm had 90% or greater reported adherence compared with 15/59 (26%) in the placebo arm (p=0.03).

Clinical symptoms and association with HSV shedding
During follow-up, in 26 HIV-negative women on placebo there were three visits in which signs consistent with clinical HSV were present. Only two were associated with cervicovaginal or perineal HSV DNA detection; ulcer swabs were not taken from either woman. One of the 13 HIV-positive women on placebo had a clinical herpetic episode lasting four visits, with HSV DNA detected at all visits. Ulcer swabs were HSV positive at the first three visits. There were no clinical HSV episodes in the 24 HIV-negative women on acyclovir and in 14 HIV-positive women on acyclovir, despite six visits (in two women) when signs consistent with clinical HSV were present. HSV DNA was detected on only one visit and from only one ulcer swab.

Per-visit analysis of shedding
Overall, HSV DNA was detected at 63 visits (14%) in the placebo arm; 53 visits (11%) in HIV-negative women and 30 visits (19%) among HIV-positive women. On most of these visits (57/63; 90%), no clinical signs were seen (31/33; 94% in HIV-negative and 26/30; 87% in HIV-positive women). Shedding was most frequently detected from the external
vulval/perineal/perianal skin (10% and 17% of visits in HIV-negative and HIV-positive women, respectively).

A similar proportion of shedding days involved shedding from all sites among HIV-negative (14/33; 42%) and HIV-positive (13/30; 43%) women. Most single-site shedding days involved the external skin (21/26; 81% overall).

Among HIV-negative women, HSV DNA was detected less often in the acyclovir (3%) than in the placebo arm (11%; OR 0.28; 95% CI 0.12 to 0.66; table 2) and the proportion of shedding days that were multisite was lower among women in the acyclovir arm (1/9 (11%) vs 13/30 (42%); pInteraction=0.08). Among HIV-positive women, shedding rates were more similar in the two arms (14% in the acyclovir arm; 19% in the placebo arm; OR 0.69; 95% CI 0.26 to 1.85; table 2). Similar reductions in shedding were seen at each anatomical site (table 2), although numbers were small.

Further analyses among HIV-negative participants stratified by estimated adherence found weak evidence for a stronger association between shedding and acyclovir therapy in those with estimated adherence of 90% or greater over the 3-month period (6/191 vs 22/120, OR 0.14; 95% CI 0.05 to 0.43) compared with those with estimated adherence less than 90% or unknown (3/84 vs 11/189, OR 0.61; 95% CI 0.18 to 2.09; pInteraction=0.085). There were too few women for a similar analysis in HIV-positive women.

Per-woman analysis of HSV shedding
In the placebo arm, 13/26 (50%) of HIV-negative women and 9/13 (69%) of HIV-positive women had HSV DNA detected from at least one swab during follow-up. Figure 1A shows shedding patterns among these women. In HIV-negative and HIV-positive women, 58% and 75% of shedding episodes, respectively, lasted only one visit. Overall, 19% and 38% of HIV-negative and HIV-positive women, respectively, had two or more HSV shedding episodes during follow-up. Figure 1A illustrates the individual variability in HSV shedding. For example,

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>HIV seronegative (N = 50) n (%)</th>
<th>HIV seropositive (N = 27) n (%)</th>
<th>All participants (N = 77) n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sociodemographic characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (IQR), years</td>
<td>29 (26–32)</td>
<td>30 (25–34)</td>
<td>29 (26–33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
<td>10 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/living as married</td>
<td>16 (32)</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
<td>20 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/separated</td>
<td>24 (48)</td>
<td>15 (56)</td>
<td>39 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
<td>8 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Behavioural characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at first sex (IQR), years</td>
<td>16 (15–18)</td>
<td>16 (15–17)</td>
<td>16 (15–18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median no of lifetime partners (IQR),</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>years</td>
<td>4 (3–5)</td>
<td>6 (4–20)</td>
<td>5 (3–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of times cleanses vagina per day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not cleanse</td>
<td>20 (40)</td>
<td>9 (33)</td>
<td>29 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>13 (26)</td>
<td>8 (30)</td>
<td>21 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>17 (34)</td>
<td>10 (37)</td>
<td>27 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of genital ulcers/blisters</td>
<td>17 (34)</td>
<td>6 (22)</td>
<td>23 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episode of GUD in past year</td>
<td>12 (24)</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
<td>16 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using hormonal contraceptive†</td>
<td>23 (46)</td>
<td>17 (63)</td>
<td>40 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric mean plasma HIV RNA load† (IQR), copies/ml</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>42046 (7609, 16085)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervicovaginal HIV-1 RNA detected†</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14 (58)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Variables collected at screening unless indicated.
†At enrolment to the substudy.
§Excluding three women who seroconverted between randomisation and enrolment to the substudy.
GUD, genital ulcer disease.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Aciclovir n (%) (N = 275)</th>
<th>Placebo n (%) (N = 309)</th>
<th>OR* (95% CI)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Aciclovir n (%) (N = 160)</th>
<th>Placebo n (%) (N = 155)</th>
<th>OR* (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of (%) visits HSV DNA detected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any swab</td>
<td>9 (3)</td>
<td>33 (11)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.12 to 0.66)</td>
<td></td>
<td>23 (14)</td>
<td>30 (19)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.26 to 1.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical swabs</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>16 (5)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.05 to 0.82)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 (9)</td>
<td>15 (10)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.29 to 3.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal swabs</td>
<td>6 (2)</td>
<td>21 (7)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.10 to 0.95)</td>
<td></td>
<td>16 (10)</td>
<td>18 (12)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.25 to 2.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulval/perineal/perianal swabs</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>31 (10)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.03 to 0.35)</td>
<td></td>
<td>17 (11)</td>
<td>26 (17)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.18 to 1.92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*OR for the effect of aciclovir on HSV DNA detection compared with placebo.
participants 10 and 25 had protracted periods of subclinical HSV shedding with high viral loads following similar trends at all anatomical sites. In other women, for example, participants 16 and 60, viral shedding was short-lived, with low viral load at only one site. In general, multisite shedding was associated with higher mean viral loads (4.07 log vs 2.90 log; \( p < 0.012 \)).

In contrast, in the acyclovir arm, 8/34 (33%) of HIV-negative women and 9/14 (64%) of HIV-positive women had HSV detected from at least one swab during follow-up. Figure 2 shows the proportion of visits when HSV DNA was detected by HIV status and treatment arm. Among HIV-negative women, those on acyclovir tended to have less frequent shedding than those on placebo (OR 0.36; 95% CI 0.12 to 1.12). A similar trend was seen in HIV-positive women but the CI is wider because there were fewer women in the analysis (OR 0.60; 95% CI 0.15 to 2.40). In HIV-negative women on acyclovir, all shedding episodes lasted only one visit (figure 1B) and only two out of nine episodes involved shedding at more than one site. In contrast, among HIV-positive women on acyclovir, shedding patterns were similar to women on placebo.

DISCUSSION

In this study we describe site-specific genital HSV shedding over 1 month in a population of HSV-2-seropositive Tanzanian women participating in a trial of HSV suppressive therapy with acyclovir 400 mg twice a day. Overall, HSV shedding was mainly subclinical. HSV was most commonly shed from the external skin; however, in the placebo arm on 42% of days when HSV DNA was detected, shedding was from all sites. Shedding rates in HIV-negative women were 3% of days in women on acyclovir compared with 11% in women on placebo (OR 0.28; 95% CI 0.12 to 0.66). For HIV-positive women, the rates were 14% in the acyclovir arm and 19% in the placebo arm (0.69; 95% CI 0.26 to 2.40).

We found similar shedding rates to studies in the USA. In HIV-negative women, in a study of valacyclovir to reduce HSV transmission, HSV DNA was detected on 11.4% of days from a swab collected from the cervicovaginal area, the vulva and the perianal area\(^{24}\) and on 9% of days from clinician-collected vaginal swabs in another study.\(^{12}\) Another US study, however, reported an overall shedding rate of 27.9% from swabs taken...
from the cervicovaginal area (23%) and vulva (23%).26 One US study in HIV-positive women found HSV DNA on 19.2% of days from swabs taken from the vaginal-vulval and rectal area.26

Only two studies have reported longitudinal HSV shedding rates in sub-Saharan Africa and show similar results to our study.9 10 In 20 HIV-negative and 22 HIV-positive women in Burkina Faso who attended weekly visits for 4 weeks, HSV DNA was detected in cervicovaginal lavages on 9% and 21% of days, respectively.9 A study of 17 HIV-positive women in Kenya examined cervical HSV shedding rates over 1 month, and detected HSV DNA on 10% of days.10 Occasionally, very high prevalences of HSV shedding (22–45%) have been reported by cross-sectional studies in sub-Saharan Africa.5 7

Among HIV-negative women, HSV shedding rates were lower overall and from each anatomical site in the acyclovir arm compared with the placebo arm. There was some evidence (p=0.085) for an interaction between acyclovir and adherence among HIV-negative women, with a stronger effect being seen in those with adherence of 90% or greater. This contrasts with the trial findings,17 in which there was no evidence for an effect of acyclovir on HSV DNA detection overall, although there was a trend in good adherers. The substudy may have selected for better adherers by enrolling women who were still taking study tablets after 18 months and who were willing to attend frequent clinic visits. There was no clear evidence for a similar reduction in HIV-positive women, but fewer HIV-positive women were enrolled and there were too few women to stratify the analysis by adherence. Although the results are consistent with a beneficial effect of acyclovir in both HIV-negative and HIV-positive women as shedding was lower in the acyclovir arm in both strata of women, there was weak evidence that the effect of acyclovir differed in HIV-negative and HIV-positive women. It is possible that in HIV-positive women in this population, adherence to this regimen of acyclovir 400 mg twice a day needs to be very high to suppress HSV shedding adequately.

Most women had HSV detected at least once, but there were few clinical HSV episodes. Similarly, few genital ulcers were recorded in the main trial.17 20 Clinical and subclinical recurrences decrease in frequency over time,27 28 so most women may have acquired HSV-2 well before the substudy, and in all cases women had been infected for at least 18 months. In this setting, episodic therapy for genital ulcers as currently recommended by the WHO would have little impact on overall shedding rates.

Women were only seen three times per week, limiting our ability to describe the duration of shedding episodes. Recent data from the USA suggest that over half of shedding episodes in immunocompetent adults last less than 6 h.29 We observed a similar proportion of one visit episodes.

The study strengths include frequent sampling enabling detailed description of HSV shedding patterns, the sampling of multiple genital sites and high rates of follow-up. However, the following limitations should be considered. First, the number of women was low in the HIV-positive strata. Second, adherence estimates corresponded to a 3-month period and therefore may not always be a good estimate of adherence over the 1-month duration of this study. Adherence was estimated by pill count at booked visits and may be overestimated if tablets were discarded before visits. In addition, it was not possible to tell if participants were adhering to the 12-hourly treatment schedule. Third, this is not a true randomised comparison between the placebo and acyclovir arms because participants were selected 18 months after randomisation based on other criteria. Finally, the study was conducted in remote areas. Swabs were stored dry, at −20°C for up to 2 weeks before being transported to a −80°C freezer. This may have led to a slight underestimation of shedding rates, but was unlikely to have substantially reduced rates of HSV DNA detection as our previous work showed no decrease in HSV DNA levels over 1 week in cervicovaginal lavages stored at −20°C.30

In summary, shedding was common but shedding patterns varied greatly by individual. Shedding rates were similar to two other longitudinal studies in Africa and to studies in non-African settings. In common with shedding studies in developed countries, most shedding episodes were asymptomatic. Among HIV-negative women, HSV shedding rates were lower in the acyclovir arm, while there was no clear effect in HIV-positive women.
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