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ABSTRACT

Objectives To conduct a systematic review and a meta-analysis of epidemiological studies investigating the association of genital human papillomavirus (HPV) infection and HIV acquisition.

Design Systematic review and meta-analysis.

Data Sources Scientific databases and conference abstracts were systematically searched to identify all relevant studies published up to 31 January 2012. Search terms included ‘HIV’, ‘HPV’, ‘human papillomavirus’ and ‘papillomaviridae’ as keywords or text, in the title or abstract.

Methods To be eligible for inclusion, a study had to be conducted among humans, report data on HIV incidence, and assess genital HPV infection. Summary ORs and 95% CIs were estimated from the extracted data using random-effect meta-analysis. Subgroup analyses were conducted for high-risk (HR) and low-risk (LR) HPV oncogenic risk groups. Between-study heterogeneity and publication bias were assessed.

Results Of 2601 identified abstracts, six observational studies, comprising 6567 participants were retained for the systematic review and the meta-analysis. HIV acquisition was significantly associated with HPV infection (summary OR=1.96; 95% CI 1.55 to 2.49). HPV incident infection was significantly associated with HR-HPV in five of six studies and with LR-HPV in two out of five. The association was significant for HR-HPV (summary OR=1.92; 95% CI 1.49 to 2.46) and borderline for LR-HPV. No between-study heterogeneity was detected. There was a borderline indication of publication bias.

Conclusions Further research is needed to elucidate the biological mechanisms involved, and to assess the effect of HPV vaccination on HIV acquisition, using vaccines with broad coverage of HPV genotypes. Such research could have important public health implications for HIV prevention.

INTRODUCTION

Evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analyses of epidemiological studies suggests that sexually transmitted infections (STI) such as Neisseria gonorrhoea, Chlamydia trachomatis, Trichomonas vaginalis, infectious syphilis and herpes simplex virus-2 (HSV-2) play a role in HIV acquisition.1–4 A modelling study has estimated that over 50% of HIV sexual transmission could be attributed to STI.5 The contribution of STI to the HIV epidemic is still being debated, due to the observational nature of the evidence,6 and the poor efficacy of randomised clinical trials of STI management on HIV acquisition.7 Nonetheless, there is biological plausibility to the causal association of STI with HIV acquisition, which is corroborated by the enhanced susceptibility of STI-affected individuals to HIV.8 9

Little research had been conducted on the association of HIV acquisition with genital human papillomavirus (HPV) infection, the most common STI worldwide,10 and a major cause of morbidity and mortality, contributing to up to 5.2% of the cancer burden in the world.11 Genital HPV which targets the basal epithelial cells of the mucosal surface,12 is highly infectious, with transmissibility estimated to be higher than any other viral STI.12 Mucosal HPV genotypes are divided into high-risk (HR) and low-risk (LR) HPV based on their association with cervical malignancy.13 HR-HPV genotypes cause cervical carcinoma, one of the leading causes of cancer deaths in the world, and have been implicated in vulvar, vaginal, penile and anal cancers.12–13 LR-HPV genotypes are associated with genital warts, which negatively impact quality of life and psychosocial functioning.14 15 HPV prevalence is estimated to range from 1.6% to 41.9% among women,16 and 1.0% to 95.0% among men,17 according to factors such as setting, age and sexual behaviour.

To date, the bulk of research has investigated the link between HPV infection and HIV-serostatus. Studies indicate that HIV seropositivity is strongly associated with higher HPV prevalence,18–24 higher HPV incidence,21 a reduced likelihood of HPV infection clearance which increases the risk of related lesions,21 24 25 and higher prevalence of infection with multiple HPV genotypes.20 22 24 HIV seropositivity has also been linked to high-grade and low-grade cervical squamous intraepithelial lesions,20 23 as well as invasive cervical carcinoma, a recognised AIDS-defining condition26 and a common cancer among HIV-positive women in sub-Saharan Africa.27

Research on the role of genital HPV in HIV incident infection is currently limited, whereas it is biologically plausible. HPV could predispose to HIV infection and dissemination through its disruption of the epithelium integrity and the mucosal immune system,27 by (A) altering the density and functional activities of epithelial Langerhans cells which capture infecting pathogens,8 28 (B) enabling the recruitment and activation of HIV target cells such as T-lymphocytes,29 (C) reducing the expression of proteins acting in cell adhesion and tumour suppression,30 (D) downregulating proteins that promote the infiltration of Langerhans cells through the epithelium,31 (E) reducing the
production of proteins involved in antimicrobial activities and (F) upregulating inflammatory cytokines, which may increase HIV replication.

There have been several calls for the investigation of the association of genital HPV infection with HIV acquisition, dating as far back as 1993. In view of the scope and severity of both infections and their synergy, a review of the existing epidemiological evidence is warranted. Since there are now two highly efficacious vaccines against some HPV genotypes, this research may have important implications for policies on HPV vaccination and public health efforts to limit the spread of HIV.

OBJECTIVES
The objectives of this study were to systematically review, summarise, and conduct a meta-analysis of epidemiological studies investigating the association of genital HPV infection with HIV incident infection.

METHODS
The review and meta-analyses were performed according to the PRISMA 2009 (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) and STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) guidelines.

Search strategy and selection criteria
A bibliographic search was performed in MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science and the Cochrane database, to identify all relevant epidemiologic studies published up to 31 January 2012. Search terms consisted of ‘(HIV OR ‘human immunodeficiency virus’) AND ‘(HPV OR ‘human papillomavirus’ OR ‘papillomavirus’)) as keywords or text, in the title or abstract. There were no language restrictions. This broad search strategy ensured that all preidentified eligible studies were included in the results. Abstracts from relevant conferences were also searched.

All retrieved abstracts were read by two of the review authors (PL and BA), and evaluated for eligibility. To coincide with the study objectives and be selected, a study had to be conducted among humans, report data on HIV incidence, and assess its association with genital HPV infection. Genital HPV could be reported either by oncogenic risk group (LR-HPV vs HR-HPV), individual genotypes, or as a categorical (prevalent HPV infection), or discrete (number of HPV genotypes) variable. To assess HPV as a potential risk factor of HIV acquisition, only studies assessing HPV infection before measuring HIV incidence were retained. Cohorts could include HIV seropositive individuals at baseline. Reviews, cross-sectional studies, case studies and case series were excluded.

Data extraction
The full text of the retained articles was independently read by all review authors. Data were extracted using a standardised form. Information obtained included HPV prevalence in the sample, number of HIV incident infections, unadjusted and adjusted estimates, including 95% CIs, of the association between HPV infection and HIV acquisition, type of estimate, study setting, sample size, demographic characteristics, HPV sampling methods, length of follow-up and covariates used for adjustment in the multivariate models.

Statistical analyses
The summary ORs and 95% CI were estimated using random-effect meta-analytic techniques because there was substantial heterogeneity between studies in terms of sample size, participants characteristics, reported HIV incidence, length of follow-up, covariates used for adjustment and HPV assessment (including sampling method, oncogenic risk group classification and measured prevalence).

The main analyses were conducted for HPV infection, regardless of oncogenic risk group. Adjusted estimates were used whenever possible. Sub-group analyses were conducted by HPV oncogenic risk group. One study reported estimates for three different timings of HPV assessment: at baseline, concurrently with HIV testing and within 6 months of HIV testing. This latter assessment, labelled ‘recent’ HPV, was used in the main analysis. Sensitivity analyses were conducted with the other two timings of assessment.

For each summary analysis, between-study heterogeneity was assessed using the I-squared statistic, which quantifies the degree of heterogeneity. Publication bias was evaluated using the Begg-adjusted rank correlation test, which assesses the association between the treatment effect and its variance, and the Egger regression asymmetry test. All statistical analyses were performed using the Stata Statistical Software: Release 10 (StataCorp 2007, College Station, Texas, USA: StataCorp LP).

RESULTS
Study selection
The database search identified a total of 2601 abstracts (2170 articles and 431 conference proceedings). The study selection process is detailed in figure 1. After the exclusion of duplicate citations and non-relevant abstracts, 14 published studies were retained. Eight studies were rejected because they did not fulfil the inclusion criteria. Five nested cohort studies, and one case-control study on the association between genital HPV infection and HIV acquisition were identified. Selected studies are summarised in table 1.

Study characteristics
Four studies were conducted among women and two among men. They included a total of 6567 participants. All but one study among adult men who have sex with men were conducted in sub-Saharan Africa among heterosexual adults. Two of the African studies were conducted among high-risk women who were mostly sex workers.

HPV prevalence across studies reporting baseline overall HPV infection ranged from 24.5% to 56.8%. In studies conducted among women, HPV testing was performed on cervical samples. HPV sampling among men included the collection of anal samples in the Chin–Hong and colleagues study and exfoliated cells from the clitoris or coronal sulcus and the penile shaft in the Smith and colleagues study. HPV sampling was performed by health professionals, apart from the Smith–McCune and colleagues study which included self-collected and clinician-collected cervical swabs.

In all six studies, HPV testing was conducted using PCR and positive HPV results were genotyped using type-specific probes on PCR products. However, these methods differed in terms of HPV genotypes detected and their classification by oncogenic risk group, with some genotypes classified as high-risk in some studies and as low-risk in others (see online supplementary appendix table S2a and S2b). Six studies reported estimates for HR-HPV and five for LR-HPV. In the subgroup analyses, the distinction between HR-HPV and LR-HPV was made according to the criteria reported in each study because genotype-specific data were not available.

Time between HPV status and HIV seroconversion assessments varied between studies. When HPV was assessed at the
start of the follow-up period over which HIV incidence was measured (or at month 6 for one study43), follow-up varied between 21 and 42 months.38 42 43 45 46 The Smith–McCune and colleagues study 38 also assessed incident HIV infection detected concurrently and within 6 months of HPV infection assessment. The Averbach and colleagues study44 used HPV data detected on average 80 days before HIV seroconversion.

HPV genotypes found to be associated with HIV acquisition included HPV 52,43 as well as HPV 31, 58 and 70.38 In terms of the four HPV genotypes covered by the currently available vaccines, only one study investigating individual HPV genotypes found significant positive associations between HIV acquisition and genotypes 16 and 18.45 None of the studies found associations with genotypes 6 and 11.

The Auvert and colleagues study,46 the Averbach and colleagues study44 and the Chin–Hong and colleagues study42 found that the risk of HIV acquisition increased with the number of detected HPV genotypes. By contrast, in the Smith and colleagues study,45 risk of incident HIV infection did not differ between individuals with multiple infections versus those infected with only one genotype.

Both the Smith–McCune and colleagues study38 and the Averbach and colleagues studies44 investigated HPV persistence and clearance, and found a significant association between non-persistent HPV and HIV acquisition. However, the definition of non-persistence differed between the studies.

Study quality
For all six studies, unadjusted and adjusted estimates, as applicable, and their 95% CI are reported in table 1. All but one study43 reported adjusted OR. Statistically significant estimates are reported in bold. Variables included in the multivariate models are listed in online supplementary appendix table S3. Most studies controlled for age38 42 44–46 and HSV-2 status.38 42 44 45 All studies conducted among women, and reporting adjusted estimates, controlled for condom use and sexual behaviour.38 44 46 Two studies conducted in sub-Saharan Africa38 45 controlled for circumcision status, known to be associated with HIV incidence. Measures of sexual partners’ characteristics and participants’ sexual behaviour were population-specific, assessed at different times during follow-up, and varied substantially across studies.

Main meta-analysis
Six studies were included in the meta-analysis.38 42–46 All reported significant estimates of the association of HPV with HIV incidence.38 42–46 As shown in figure 2, the computed summary OR indicated that overall, individuals with genital HPV infection, regardless of oncogenic risk group, had twice the risk of acquiring HIV (summary OR, 1.96; 95% CI, 1.55 to 2.49). There was no evidence of between-study heterogeneity (p=0.484), suggesting that a pooled analysis was suitable.
Table 1  Characteristics of identified studies investigating the association between genital HPV infection and HIV acquisition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study type; first author; year; study setting</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>HPV sampling</th>
<th>Length of follow-up (months)</th>
<th>Number of incident HIV cases</th>
<th>Type of ratio estimate</th>
<th>HPV prevalence</th>
<th>HIV incidence ratio estimates (crude) (95% CI)</th>
<th>HIV incidence ratio estimates (adjusted) (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nested cohort studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chin-Hong et al 2009 USA</td>
<td>Men Men who have sex with men 16 and older</td>
<td>Anal swabs at baseline</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HPV: 56.8% HR-HPV: 26.4% LR-HPV: 25.6% Baseline HPV: 24.5% Baseline HR-HPV: 16.1% Baseline LR-HPV: 10.8%</td>
<td>3.6 (1.5 to 8.4)*</td>
<td>3.5(1.2 to 10.6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith-McCune et al 2010 Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Women general population 18–49</td>
<td>Cervical swabs at baseline and 12-month visit; quarterly vaginal swabs</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HPV: Baseline HPV: Baseline HR-HPV: Baseline LR-HPV:</td>
<td>HR-HPV: 26.4% LR-HPV: 25.6% Baseline HPV: 24.5% Baseline HR-HPV: 16.1% Baseline LR-HPV: 10.8%</td>
<td>1.6 (1.0 to 2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al 2010 Kenya</td>
<td>Men general population 18–24</td>
<td>Exfoliated penile cells from glans/coronal sulcus† at baseline</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HPV: 50.2% At least one HR-HPV: 34.8% Only LR-HPV: 15.5%</td>
<td>HPV-HPV: 26.4% LR-HPV: 25.6% Baseline HPV: 24.5% Baseline HR-HPV: 16.1% Baseline LR-HPV: 10.8%</td>
<td>1.8 (1.1 to 2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veldhuijzen et al 2010 Rwanda</td>
<td>Women high risk (96% sex workers) median 25</td>
<td>Cervical samples at month 6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>HPV: 47.8% HR-HPV: 33.3% LR-HPV: 34.3%</td>
<td>HPV-HPV: 26.4% LR-HPV: 25.6% Baseline HPV: 24.5% Baseline HR-HPV: 16.1% Baseline LR-HPV: 10.8%</td>
<td>4.9 (1.2 to 19.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auvert et al 2011 South Africa</td>
<td>Women High-risk (all sex workers) median 24</td>
<td>first available cervico-vaginal rinses of 3 obtained during follow-up, before seroconversion</td>
<td>30 (median)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HPV-HPV: 26.4% LR-HPV: 25.6% Baseline HPV: 24.5% Baseline HR-HPV: 16.1% Baseline LR-HPV: 10.8%</td>
<td>HPV-HPV: 26.4% LR-HPV: 25.6% Baseline HPV: 24.5% Baseline HR-HPV: 16.1% Baseline LR-HPV: 10.8%</td>
<td>1.7 (1.0 to 2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-control Study</td>
<td>Lafayette et al 2010</td>
<td>Women general population 18–35</td>
<td>145 cases and 446 controls Cervical swabs at t, 1 (t, =time of HIV detection) t, −t, =80.5 days 21.9</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>HPV: 49.1% Any HR-HPV: 37.1% Only LR-HPV: 12.0%</td>
<td>HPV-HPV: 26.4% LR-HPV: 25.6% Baseline HPV: 24.5% Baseline HR-HPV: 16.1% Baseline LR-HPV: 10.8%</td>
<td>2.7 (1.7 to 4.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold indicates statistically significant estimates.
*Infection with two or more HPV genotypes.
†Sampling penile shaft for HR-HPV and LR-HPV.
HPV infections with multiple HPV types were considered high risk if one or more HR-HPV type was detected. All the others were considered LR-HPV infections.
§Infection with one HPV genotype versus no HPV.
HPV, human papillomavirus; HR-HPV, high-risk HPV; IRR, incidence rate ratio; LR-HPV, low-risk HPV; NR, not reported; RCT, randomised control trial.
Sub-group analyses
When the association with HIV acquisition was investigated by subgroups, it was found to be statistically significant with HR-HPV (summary OR, 1.92; 95% CI, 1.49 to 2.46; test of heterogeneity p=0.511), and borderline with LR-HPV (summary OR, 1.53; 95% CI, 0.96 to 2.42; test of heterogeneity p=0.029).

Sensitivity analyses
The summary ORs computed with the alternative assessments for both main and subgroup analyses were almost identical to the original estimates (see online supplementary appendix).

Publication bias
The funnel plot for the detection of publication bias associated with the random-effect meta-analysis of HPV and HIV acquisition is presented in figure 3. It appears that studies with larger SEs tended to report larger estimates. However, the Begg’s test was not statistically significant (p=0.339). Visually, the funnel plots seemed asymmetrical, indicating a possible publication bias towards studies reporting significant associations. This was confirmed statistically by the Egger’s test, which indicated a borderline statistically significant publication bias (p=0.054).

In the subgroup analyses, no significant publication bias was detected for HR-HPV (Begg’s test p=0.806; Egger’s test p=0.181). There was a significant publication bias for LR-HPV (Begg’s test p=0.089; Egger’s test p=0.022).

DISCUSSION
This systematic review and meta-analysis investigates both qualitatively and quantitatively empirical evidence of the association between genital HPV infection and HIV acquisition. The fact that all studies included have been published recently indicates that this is a topic which has been overlooked in past HIV prevention research. Our findings show that genital HPV infection is associated with incident HIV infection, and that this association is significant with HR-HPV and borderline with LR-HPV.

This study is based on evidence from observational research, hence it cannot distinguish between causality and confounding.

Biological mechanisms
The enabling effect of HPV on HIV acquisition is plausible, primarily because HPV is assumed to be more infectious than HIV,12 and HPV prevalence exceeds that of HIV even in areas where HIV is endemic. Several hypotheses may explain a possible higher susceptibility of HPV-infected individuals to HIV, including HPV-induced epithelial breaches or displasia, T-lymphocytes influx, the production of proinflammatory cytokines, or a reduced secretion of proteins involved in the
immunologic response, which may all promote HIV entry and replication.8

However, the observed association could be due to host susceptibility for HPV and HIV.47 The two viruses share common mechanical access to susceptible cells and common portals of entry, such as the squamo-columnar junctions in the anogenital mucosa, which are prime sites of metaplastic conversion, and prone to viral establishment.28 Adhesion molecules are less densely expressed at these sites, favouring paracellular permeation.28

Breaches of the mucosal surface, which enable HPV to access lower layers of stratified epithelia during its initial invasion phase, may favour HIV acquisition as well.28

Lastly, the association could be mediated by other STIs. For instance, HPV is more frequent in HSV-2 infected individuals. HSV-2 replication, associated with primary infection and in-situ reactivation of latent infections, facilitates HIV acquisition.48 Thus, remote confounding could partly explain the association observed.

Study limitations

There are several limitations to this meta-analysis. First, it was conducted among a small number of studies, so it is underpowered, which may have affected the I-squared statistic.39 Second, all studies were observational, which increases the likelihood of bias. Third, the qualitative review of the studies indicated substantial variations in terms of study design, participant characteristics, HPV status assessment, and covariates used in the adjusted models. Two salient variations were: (A) HPV sampling among men, which was not standardised, and could explain the differences in HPV and HR-HPV prevalences observed19 and (B) the classification of HPV genotypes by oncogenic risk group, which differed across studies. Since the genotype-specific prevalences were not consistently reported, it was not possible to evaluate how these differential classifications may have affected the subgroup estimates. Similarly, it was not possible to explore genotype-specific associations of HPV with HIV as data was not available. Another important issue was the time between HPV status assessment and HIV testing, which varied across studies. It cannot be excluded that the association is time-dependent, and that the transient nature of HPV infection could have affected its strength. Fourth, metaregressions to examine the impact of factors, such as study site, sexual risk behaviour, as well as relevant analyses stratified by sampling sites and methods, could not be performed for lack of power. Fifth, the adjustments for the multivariate estimates used in the meta-analyses were performed on different variables. Sixth, publication bias was suggested, which could affect the validity of the findings. Finally, the generalisation of the study findings is difficult since all but one study were conducted in sub-Saharan African countries with high incidence of HIV.

Implications

This study has important implications for future HIV prevention research. As a potential risk factor, the contribution of HPV infection to the HIV epidemic must be explored comprehensively, using longitudinal study designs, standardised HPV sampling and HPV genotypes classification, and analytic methods with adjustment on relevant covariates. Further research is needed to understand the aetiology of the association, including the biological mechanisms that may underlie oncogenic risk group-specific or genotype-specific associations. The effect of HPV vaccination on HIV acquisition may be assessed since there exists two highly effective HPV vaccines which have been approved for use among women,34 and one among men.35 To this day, randomised controlled trials of STI management have yielded contradictory results, which may have been partly due to the insufficient potency of the intervention, such as in the case of HSV-2.7 As indicated in the present study, there may be discrepancies regarding the HPV genotypes for which the vaccines are providing protection against, and those associated with HIV seroconversion. Hence, the development and use of vaccines covering a wider range of genotypes is necessary. Modelling studies on the potential impact of HPV vaccination campaigns on the HIV epidemic are also needed. Such research could be an important contribution to the global fight against HIV.

CONCLUSION

The observed association of HPV infection with HIV acquisition has important public health implications. If the causal association between HPV and HIV acquisition is verified, HPV vaccination, along with other biomedical interventions, has the potential to be an additional HIV control strategy, with the unique advantage of providing long-term benefits to both men and women.

Key messages

- The association between HIV acquisition and genital human papillomavirus (HPV), a major burden of morbidity and mortality worldwide, has received limited attention.
- It is, however, biologically plausible that HPV enables HIV infection and further research is required to elucidate the biological mechanisms involved.
- This review and meta-analysis suggests that HPV-infected individuals have twice the risk of acquiring HIV.
- HPV infection may be a risk factor of HIV acquisition. The effect of HPV vaccination on HIV infection should be assessed.
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## APPENDIX

### ADDITIONAL TABLES

**Table S2a. High-risk HPV Genotype classification, by study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>52</th>
<th>53</th>
<th>56</th>
<th>58</th>
<th>59</th>
<th>66</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>73</th>
<th>82v</th>
<th>IS39</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chin-Hong et al. 2009</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al. 2010</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith-McCune et al. 2010</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veldhuijzen et al. 2010</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auvert et al. 2011</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averbach et al. 2010</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S2b. Low-risk HPV Genotype classification, by study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>42</th>
<th>43</th>
<th>44</th>
<th>53</th>
<th>54</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>57</th>
<th>61</th>
<th>62</th>
<th>64</th>
<th>66</th>
<th>67</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>69</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>71</th>
<th>72</th>
<th>73</th>
<th>81</th>
<th>IS39/82</th>
<th>83</th>
<th>84</th>
<th>CP6108</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al. 2010</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith-McCune et al. 2010</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>LR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Smith: cand85, 86, jc9710
Table S3. Variables included in multivariate models to compute adjusted estimates, by study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Ethnic group/race</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Employment</th>
<th>Marital status or cohabiting status</th>
<th>HSV-2 status</th>
<th>STI Status</th>
<th>Circumcision status</th>
<th>Sexual partners characteristics</th>
<th>Condom use</th>
<th>Sexual behaviour</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chin-Hong et al. 2009a</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al. 2010b</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith-McCune et al. 2010c</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auvert et al. 2011e</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averbach et al. 2010f</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HSV-2: Herpes Simplex virus-2; STI: sexually transmitted infections (see below for study-specific details)

a. HSV-2 status: self reported; STI status: *Neisseria Gonorrhoea, Chlamydia Trachomatis*, statuses are self-reported; Sexual partners characteristics: “HIV-negative and HIV-unknown-status partners”, “Primary partner HIV status”; Sexual behaviour: “Unprotected receptive and insertive anal sex with HIV-positive partners”, “Oral sex with HIV-positive or HIV-unknown status-partners”, “Drug use before sex”; Other: Drug use, Alcohol use, Depression.

b. HSV-2 status: at inclusion

c. STI status: Any positive test for *Neisseria Gonorrhoea, Chlamydia Trachomatis, Trichomonas vaginalis* or syphilis; Circumcision status: of regular partner; Sexual partners characteristics: “HIV-positive sexual partner”, “known or suspected concurrent sexual partnerships of main partner”, “Vaginal sex while partner under the influence of alcohol/drugs”, “Regular partner away from home for one month or more”; Sexual behaviour: “Any exchange of sex for money/food/drugs/shelter”, “2 or more sexual partners within the last 3 months”, “Ever had vaginal sex while under the influence of alcohol/drugs”, “Injectable drug use”, “Anal sex”.

d. Of their regular sexual partners

e. STI status: Status for *Neisseria Gonorrhoea, Chlamydia Trachomatis, Trichomonas vaginalis, Candida albicans* and syphilis; Sexual behaviour: “Anal sex”, “Length of sex work”, “Number of clients per week”.

f. STI status: Status for *Neisseria Gonorrhoea, Chlamydia Trachomatis, Trichomonas vaginalis*, candidiasis and bacterial vaginosis; Sexual behaviour: “Hormonal contraceptive use”; Sexual partners characteristics: “Primary partner with HIV infection”, “Primary partner with urethral discharge”, “Primary partner experiencing weight loss”, “Primary partner spending nights away for home” and/or “Primary partner having had sex with a female sex worker”.

g. Cases and controls matched on age.
ADDITIONAL RESULTS

Sensitivity analyses with alternative assessments

The summary ORs computed with the alternative assessments [1] for both main and sub-group analyses yielded baseline estimates tending to be slightly lower than those of the main analysis. For baseline and current assessments, calculated ORs were respectively 1.94 (95%CI, 1.55–2.44) and 1.96 (95%CI, 1.55–2.48) for HPV, 1.78 (95%CI, 1.39–2.27) and 1.91 (95%CI, 1.49–2.46) for HR-HPV, and 1.47 (95%CI, 0.89–2.45) and 1.59 (95%CI, 0.97–2.61) for LR-HPV. There was no evidence of between-study heterogeneity for HPV (I-squared P=0.463 and P=0.481, for both assessments, respectively) and HR-HPV (I-squared P=0.420 and P=0.511, for both assessments, respectively). For LR-HPV, between-study heterogeneity was significant for both baseline and current assessments (P=0.037 and P=0.022, respectively). The data are however too limited to make any inferences concerning the influence of the timing of HPV assessment in regards to HIV incidence.

EXTENDED DISCUSSION

HPV acquisition and persistence and clearance of HPV infection

The lack of evidence supporting an association of HIV acquisition and persistent HPV infection is surprising. Indeed, the lesions associated with persistent HPV infection are frequently infiltrated with T-lymphocytes and macrophages which could be target cells for HIV [2]. However, two of the reviewed studies [1, 3] found an association between non-persistent HPV and HIV acquisition, which could be explained by the host’ tenuous immune response to HPV. HPV replication occurs within epithelial cells, and does not induce cytolysis, necrosis, or viraemia, which all tend to activate the immune response [4]. On the other hand, clearance of non-persisting HPV may induce an in-situ recruitment of potential target cells for HIV, and create a cytokinic and an upregulated interferon microenvironment favourable to HIV acquisition [5]. This mechanism could explain the conclusions of a recent study which found an significant association between HIV seroconversion and clearance of HR-HPV and LR-HPV infections [6].
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