

- Some challenges were identified, such the lack of a system for referral of specimens at national and regional level.
- This effort should be continued, emphasising the importance of periodicity on data collection, analysis and dissemination.

S.06 - How does your partner know?

S06.1 TREATING CONTACTS TO GONORRHOEA AND CHLAMYDIA WITHOUT A CLINIC VISIT; THE EFFICACY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF DIFFERENT MODELS

doi:10.1136/sextrans-2013-051184.0034

J A Schillinger. *US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, assigned to New York City Department of Health, New York, NY, United States*

The sex partners of persons with Chlamydia trachomatis (CT) and Neisseria gonorrhoeae (GC) infection must be treated to prevent repeat infection of the index patient and to interrupt forward transmission of disease. Asking patients to refer sex partner(s) for evaluation and treatment ('patient referral') is an inadequate strategy, as many sex partners will not seek care, and the large burden of CT and GC infections makes it impractical and cost prohibitive to rely upon health care providers or public health field investigators to assure partner treatment. Although no single sex partner treatment approach will be a panacea, innovative strategies are clearly needed.

This session will focus on strategies that do not require sex partners to attend a clinic to obtain treatment for CT or GC. The presenter will describe two models: (1) Expedited Partner Therapy (EPT), used in many parts of the US, includes patient delivered partner therapy, wherein a patient is asked to deliver medication or a prescription to their sex partner; (2) Accelerated Partner Therapy (APT), studied in the UK, uses clinician-staffed hotlines or pharmacists to assess the health status of sex partners before arranging for treatment. APT is being evaluated in a community-based randomised controlled trial, and efficacy data are not yet available, however, EPT has been shown to reduce risk for repeat GC infection by 68% and repeat CT by 20%. In practise, uptake and effectiveness of EPT has been limited by a variety of implementation challenges. The session will describe and - where possible - quantify obstacles to EPT, including: legal issues (perceived and real), lack of provider and pharmacist knowledge, patient preference and acceptability (for example, as few as 50% of eligible patients accept EPT for CT), medication costs, use of prescriptions rather than dispensing medication, and the emergence of cephalosporin resistance among GC.

S06.2 USING SOCIAL MEDIA FOR PARTNERS SERVICES IN ADOLESCENTS

doi:10.1136/sextrans-2013-051184.0035

^{1,2}**C A M Rietmeijer.** ¹*Colorado School of Public Health, Denver, CO, United States;* ²*University of Colorado Denver, Denver, CO, United States*

Partner notification (PN) and treatment is a cornerstone of STI prevention. In the U.S., face-to-face, patient-initiated or provider-assisted partner notification has shown to result in approximately 50% of patients referring at least one partner for evaluation and treatment. While to some this percentage is higher than expected, there is considerable room for improvement. A number of developments in the past decade have shown promise in enhancing PN. Expedited partner treatment, i.e., providing medications to partners without an intervening medical consultation, has been proven to decrease re-infections among index patients above and beyond traditional partner notification and this practise is now widely endorsed. Second, the Internet has provided the technical means to enhance communication between providers, patients and their partners that could result in a higher proportion of notified contacts. Internet-based interventions include simple email or text

messages to the partner, either directly from the patient or from health-department staff (if agreed to by the index patient), outreach in chat rooms on gay websites, stand-alone online partner notification programmes, and interventions using social networking sites. While the online possibilities appear to be limitless, especially for adolescents who are very engaged in the online environment, there are few interventions that have been formally evaluated. This presentation aims to provide an overview of online programmes for partner services and a review of studies that have attempted to evaluate them. So far, it appears that few online interventions have risen above the "proof-of-concept" and their overall effectiveness may be limited. In addition, a number of studies have indicated that the majority of STI-infected patients prefer to notify their partner in person rather than using text or email messages. While research into effective online interventions for PN and treatment should continue, this must not come to the detriment of high-quality, in-person PN practises.

S06.3 MSM PARTNER SERVICES: WHAT WORKS?

doi:10.1136/sextrans-2013-051184.0036

¹**M Steben,** ²D Taylor, ³E Cheuk. ¹*Institut National de Santé Publique du Québec, Montréal, QC, Canada;* ²*British Columbia Center for Disease Control, Vancouver, BC, Canada;* ³*National Collaborating Centre for Infectious Diseases, Winnipeg, MB, Canada*

Background Partner notification (PN) is an important public health activity in STI control to stop onward transmission. Various forms of PN services have been developed but not all have been evaluated to the same extent. In the era of evidence-based resource allocation, it is of utmost importance to focus limited resources on services shown to be the most efficient and effective.

Methods A review of the current literature and of the National Collaborating Centre for Infectious Diseases (NCCID) STBBI partner notification (PN) project productions was conducted. The impact of these various forms of PN services on disease incidence, re-infection, relationship status and healthcare costs will serve as efficiency and effectiveness markers.

Results Outcomes of MSM PN services has been measured and found to be associated with

- reduced index case GC and CT reinfection rates through patient delivered therapy,
- higher adoption of safer sexual practises in both index case and their partners,
- reduced incidence of STIs,
- higher rates of notification to long term partners and significant partners,
- high acceptability of face-to-face patient delivered partner notification in significant or long term relationships compared to higher acceptability of physician or electronic notification for casual or anonymous partnerships
- lower cost per case reached by patient referral compared to provider referral,
- lower levels of stress in relationships. Emotional and physical abuse after PN services can occur. The fears accompanying PN services can affect sexual spontaneity.

Caution should be used before discarding PN services when efficiency or effectiveness is low because epidemiologic insight can still be gathered to help redirect screening activities.

Conclusions A Review of the evidence indicates that MSM PN services works!

S06.4 NEW DIRECTIONS IN HIV PARTNER SERVICES: AN EVOLVING MODEL OF GLOBAL, INTEGRATED FIELD SERVICES

doi:10.1136/sextrans-2013-051184.0037

M Golden. *University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States*