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A cross-sectional survey exploring attitudes towards provincial electronic health record implementation among clients attending the Provincial Sexually Transmitted Infections Clinic in British Columbia
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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION To support control of sexually transmitted infections (STI), British Columbia is exploring data sharing through a provincial electronic health record (EHR). Increased electronic data sharing among healthcare providers may be a barrier for clients of STI clinics where expectations of privacy and confidentiality are heightened.

Methods A survey to assess attitudes towards sharing of personal health information through a provincial EHR was conducted with a convenience sample of clients attending an STI clinic in Vancouver. Descriptive statistics and logistic regression were used to examine the association between the primary outcome variable, whether a client would be less likely to take the test for an STI or HIV if their clinic records were made part of a provincial EHR, and independent variables of interest.

Results A total of 1004 clients completed the survey, and 31% stated that they would be less likely to get tested for STI/HIV if their records were made part of a provincial EHR. Participants who were more likely to agree that they might avoid testing had the expectation that no other clinician would review their chart (adjusted OR(AOR)=3.55), or expected their records would not be shared beyond the clinic (AOR=2.81).

Discussion While most participants thought an EHR was acceptable, a large minority expressed that they might avoid testing if their records were made part of a provincial EHR. The introduction of a provincial EHR should be considered with caution in STI healthcare settings to ensure that screening, case detection and treatment are not negatively impacted.

INTRODUCTION Infectious diseases are emerging and spreading faster than ever before.1 The 2003 outbreak of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome and the Naylor Report that followed its successful containment solidified Canada’s commitment to enhanced infectious disease control.2 An electronic health record (EHR) is a digital version of a patient’s medical chart at a clinical setting.3 EHRs have been gradually adopted in Canada, and uptake is growing rapidly due to potential for cost savings, improved workflow, improved patient care management and the ability to share data.3–5 Increasingly, EHR systems extend beyond individual clinical settings to regional, provincial and national levels. This facilitates public health management of diseases through enhanced surveillance, analysis and reporting. Through the Canada Health Infoway initiative, the Canadian government is investing in improved health information system technology such as Panorama, a national public health surveillance system.6–7 In British Columbia (BC), Panorama will support communicable disease control through linkages to provider, client and Provincial Laboratory registries.8 Given the shift towards increased electronic information sharing in health systems, considerations of patient and public perspectives of EHR adoption are critical to address concerns and misconceptions around privacy and confidentiality, data security and access to records.9–11

Increasing uptake of sexual health services is an important public health strategy to prevent and control the spread of sexually transmitted infections (STI).12–13 Practitioners at sexual health clinics possess specialised skills and training to provide dedicated STI services, making them an important alternative to primary care settings.14–15 Privacy and health information exchange may be of particular concern to patients accessing sexual health services, where the process of STI testing can often elicit non-judgemental care where information is not shared beyond the clinic without consent.18–19 Barriers to access of these services could increase non-attendance for STI testing and diagnosis which could impact the rising incidence of STIs in Canada.20 For this reason, we conducted a study aimed at exploring the attitudes of clients towards EHR implementation in an STI clinic setting and to determine if use of a provincial EHR would impact acceptability and intention to be screened for STIs.

METHODS This cross-sectional survey was conducted at the BC Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC) Provincial STI clinic where a confidential and anonymous client satisfaction survey is routinely offered. The BCCDC Provincial STI clinic is located in Vancouver, BC, and offers clients free, confidential testing and treatment for STIs and HIV. Services such as STI assessment, diagnosis and treatment are provided by nurses with certified
practice in Reproductive Health—STI from the College of Registered Nurses of BC, in collaboration with STI physician specialists. The clinic sees over 15,000 encounters annually for services, including STI/HIV testing and treatment, phone consultation, provision of results and contact tracing.

Between July 2012 and March 2013, a survey was offered to all clients presenting to the BCCDC STI clinic until 1,000 surveys were submitted. The self-administered survey included 10 questions on a five-point Likert scale that were designed to explore client knowledge, attitudes and beliefs towards health information exchange related to a provincial EHR within an STI clinic setting based on the principles of the Theory of Planned Behaviour. Currently, clinical data are kept on an internal EHR that is not shared beyond the BCCDC. Descriptive statistics, bivariate analysis, and logistic regression were performed using SPSS software for Windows (V.14.0). Clients were given a consent form prior to completing the survey, which included a brief introduction to EHR which read: ‘In the future, British Columbia will have an electronic health record system. This would mean that patient health records will be electronic, and licensed health practitioners may be able to review a patient’s health record including visits for STI and HIV testing. Patients will be able to apply to have their records kept private.’ Responses to all survey questions were dichotomised to ‘Agree’ (strongly agree or agree) or ‘Disagree/Neutral’ (neutral, disagree and strongly disagree) to facilitate interpretation of the analysis. The primary variable of interest was the response to the question ‘I would be less likely to test for STI/HIV if my health records were made part of the provincial EHR’ which was dichotomised to ‘Agree’ or ‘Disagree/Neutral’.

A descriptive analysis of demographic information and responses to survey questions was performed. \( \chi^2 \) or Fisher’s exact analyses were conducted to compare characteristics of those who agreed that they would be less likely to test if health records were made part of the provincial EHR with those who disagreed. Logistic regression was used to model the association between the odds of testing for an STI/HIV and variables of interest, with the odd ratios and associated CIs used as the measure of association. As this was an exploratory analysis, all variables in the bivariate analysis that reached significance of \( p<0.02 \) were offered for inclusion in the multivariate model.

### RESULTS

A total of 1,004 clients completed the survey. Descriptive statistics for demographic factors are displayed in Table 1 and responses to survey questions in Table 2. We compared demographic factors of survey participants with all clients who attended the clinic during the study period and found no statistically significant differences (data not shown). From the descriptive analysis, 31.6% of participants agreed, or strongly agreed, that they would be less likely to get tested for STIs and HIV if their personal health records from their clinic visit were made part of a provincial EHR which was dichotomised to ‘Agree’ or ‘Disagree/Neutral’.

### Table 1: Descriptive statistics and bivariate analysis of demographic factors comparing participants who agreed versus disagreed/neutral with being less likely to test for STIs and HIV if health records were made part of the provincial EHR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Variable level</th>
<th>Total N (%)</th>
<th>Less likely to test for STI/HIV if health records were made part of provincial EHR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree/neutral N (%)</td>
<td>Agree N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>368 (38.3)</td>
<td>270 (74.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>588 (61.3)</td>
<td>378 (65.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual orientation</td>
<td>Heterosexual</td>
<td>799 (83.3)</td>
<td>547 (69.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Homosexual</td>
<td>89 (9.3)</td>
<td>57 (65.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>71 (7.4)</td>
<td>46 (65.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td>14–18</td>
<td>3 (0.3)</td>
<td>2 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19–29</td>
<td>384 (40.0)</td>
<td>285 (75.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>341 (35.5)</td>
<td>239 (71.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>118 (12.3)</td>
<td>65 (55.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>89 (9.3)</td>
<td>46 (52.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;59</td>
<td>26 (2.7)</td>
<td>15 (57.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic group</td>
<td>Aboriginal</td>
<td>27 (2.8)</td>
<td>19 (70.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arab</td>
<td>6 (0.6)</td>
<td>5 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caucasian/white</td>
<td>695 (72.9)</td>
<td>485 (70.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>33 (3.5)</td>
<td>20 (60.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>128 (13.4)</td>
<td>79 (62.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black/African</td>
<td>16 (1.7)</td>
<td>12 (80.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>27 (2.8)</td>
<td>15 (57.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>22 (2.3)</td>
<td>13 (60.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First language</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>815 (85.1)</td>
<td>563 (69.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-English</td>
<td>143 (14.9)</td>
<td>87 (61.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest level of education</td>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elementary or junior high school</td>
<td>12 (1.3)</td>
<td>7 (63.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>123 (12.9)</td>
<td>86 (71.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College/trade school</td>
<td>269 (28.2)</td>
<td>184 (69.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University</td>
<td>541 (56.7)</td>
<td>364 (67.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other (ie, graduate school)</td>
<td>9 (0.9)</td>
<td>8 (88.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes valid data only.

EHR, electronic health record; STI, sexually transmitted infection.
included in a provincial EHR. The majority (86.1%) of participants stated they agreed, or strongly agreed, that they were satisfied with the clinic’s current system of keeping their name and personal health information private. While most clients thought EHR was acceptable, a large proportion of participants indicated that they disagreed that it was acceptable for other health professionals to have access to their clinical records from the STI clinic, including family physician (40.6%), pharmacist (69.7%), medical specialist (45.1%), nurses in other STI clinics (41.8%) and public health nurses doing follow-up (36.2%).

In the bivariate analysis, age, gender and first language were statistically significant between those who agreed that they would be less likely to test compared with those who did not, while sexual orientation, ethnic group and education were not significant factors (table 1). All survey questions related to attitudes towards information sharing through an EHR were found to be significantly associated with likeliness to test (table 2), except question (a) ‘I am currently satisfied that my name and identifying information are kept private when I test at this clinic’, which was not statistically significant (p = 0.65). As a result, this question was excluded from the multivariate analysis.

Six variables were kept in the final multivariate logistic regression model. Unadjusted and adjusted ORs for all six variables are provided in table 3. Participants who agreed that they were less likely to test for STIs were more likely to have the expectation that no other clinician in the province would be able to review their chart (AOR=3.55 (95% CI 2.43 to 5.20)) and more likely to agree to the statement ‘One of the reasons I came for care at the BC CDC STI clinic is that I did not want records of my encounter shared beyond this clinic’ (AOR=2.81 (95% CI 1.92 to 4.12)). These clients were also more likely to agree that they would test anonymously for HIV at the clinic if they could (AOR=1.49 (95% CI 1.05 to 2.10)). Alternatively, participants who agreed that they would be less likely to test for STIs if their health record was made part of the provincial EHR were more likely to be men (AOR=1.66 (95% CI 1.18 to 2.35)), were less likely to find it acceptable for their family physician to view their record (AOR=0.67 (95% CI 0.45 to 1.00)) and were less likely to find it acceptable for their medical specialist to have access to their encounter (AOR=0.70 (95% CI 0.47 to 1.04)).

**DISCUSSION**

In this survey of STI clinic clients, although information sharing through a provincial EHR appeared to be acceptable to the majority of participants, a large minority said they would be less likely to test for an STI or HIV if their records were made part of a provincial EHR. This suggests that information exchange of sexual health records could deter some people from seeking STI and HIV testing. Participants who generally had negative attitudes towards other healthcare providers having access to their STI/HIV personal health information, or who had expectations that their records would not be shared beyond the clinic, were more likely to agree that they might avoid testing. From the multivariate analysis, participants who had the expectation that no other clinician would be able to review their chart, who did not want records of their encounter shared beyond this clinic, who were interested in testing anonymously for HIV, and men were more likely to agree that they might avoid testing. Participants who found it acceptable for their family physician or medical specialist to have access to their encounter may be less likely to avoid testing if a provincial EHR were implemented. Taken together, the results from this survey suggest that people who have heightened concerns around privacy and
Confidentiality may be more likely to avoid testing for STIs or HIV should their records be made part of a provincial EHR. Our findings concur with the findings of a recent study in New Zealand on the attitudes towards electronic record sharing in sexual health services where 31% said that they would prefer no other health professional to know about their attendance at clinic, and 47% of self-referred patients stated that confidentiality concerns were the main reason for attending. In their study, 31% said that they would stop attending the clinic if discharge letters were sent to their general practitioner and 21% said they would stop using the clinic if their personal health number was used on laboratory tests.

In our study, there were no significant differences among those who were more likely or less likely to avoid testing with respect to sexual orientation, ethnicity and education. This might be a reflection of our study population, which primarily consisted of clients who were heterosexual, Caucasian, English-speaking, university educated and between the age of 19 and 39 years. There was also a relatively high non-response rate among demographic questions (4.3%–5.5%) which may have affected our ability to detect significant differences. In the sexual health literature, clients with greater expectations of privacy and confidentiality often represent vulnerable populations such as youth and men who have sex with men (MSM). In BC, youth and MSM also represent the highest transmission rates for STIs, making these groups a priority for public health STI prevention efforts.

In Scotland since 2008, all specialist sexual health settings use a centralised web-based EHR system called the National Sexual Health System. Access to data contained in the system is highly restricted, and identifiable patient information can only be used when explicit consent from clients is obtained by the care provider at the clinic. New Zealand currently uses an opt-in strategy for EHR. In planning for an opt-out model, Hunter, Haining and Whiddett conducted a survey where 39% of patients expressed that they wanted more information, prior consent of patients expressed that they wanted more information, prior consent of clients who were more likely or less likely to avoid testing with respect to privacy concerns was the main reason for attending. In their study, 31% said that they would stop attending the clinic if discharge letters were sent to their general practitioner and 21% said they would stop using the clinic if their personal health number was used on laboratory tests.

In our study, there were no significant differences among those who were more likely or less likely to avoid testing with respect to sexual orientation, ethnicity and education. This might be a reflection of our study population, which primarily consisted of clients who were heterosexual, Caucasian, English-speaking, university educated and between the age of 19 and 39 years. There was also a relatively high non-response rate among demographic questions (4.3%–5.5%) which may have affected our ability to detect significant differences. In the sexual health literature, clients with greater expectations of privacy and confidentiality often represent vulnerable populations such as youth and men who have sex with men (MSM). In BC, youth and MSM also represent the highest transmission rates for STIs, making these groups a priority for public health STI prevention efforts.

In Scotland since 2008, all specialist sexual health settings use a centralised web-based EHR system called the National Sexual Health System. Access to data contained in the system is highly restricted, and identifiable patient information can only be used when explicit consent from clients is obtained by the care provider at the clinic. New Zealand currently uses an opt-in strategy for EHR. In planning for an opt-out model, Hunter, Haining and Whiddett conducted a survey where 39% of patients expressed that they wanted more information, prior consent of clients who were more likely or less likely to avoid testing with respect to privacy concerns was the main reason for attending. In their study, 31% said that they would stop attending the clinic if discharge letters were sent to their general practitioner and 21% said they would stop using the clinic if their personal health number was used on laboratory tests.
CONCLUSION
This study assessed the attitudes towards EHR information exchange among clients at the Provincial STI/HIV clinic in Vancouver, BC. A significant number of participants (31.6%) stated that they would be less likely to test for STIs if their health records were made part of provincial EHR, which appeared to be associated with attitudes of heightened expectations of privacy and limiting access to records beyond the clinic. This raises concerns that information sharing through EHR may deter clients from testing for STIs. Obtaining consent using an opt-in strategy, restrictions on access to STI or HIV clinical data, along with patient education strategies may enable patients to make informed decisions and improve acceptability of EHR, and warrant further investigation. Preserving capacity for accessing STI or HIV testing anonymously or non-nominally becomes increasingly important as EHRs are implemented. The introduction of EHR accessible to multiple external care providers should be considered with caution in STI healthcare settings and should be implemented with an evaluation component to ensure that screening, case detection and treatment are not negatively impacted.

Key messages
► Health information systems integrating multiple clinical health records into a single provincial record are being implemented in Canada to improve communicable disease control.
► Sharing health information beyond the sexual health clinic may create barriers for clients which could result in avoidance of testing for STIs.
► Clients accessing sexual health services may have increased expectations of privacy and confidentiality which should be considered when implementing health information sharing systems.
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