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ABSTRACT
Objective To develop an analytical understanding of non-cohabiting sex partnering in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) using nationally representative sexual behaviour data.
Method A non-homogenous Poisson stochastic process model was used to describe the dynamics of non-cohabiting sex. The model was applied to 25 countries in SSA and was fitted to Demographic and Health Survey data. The country-specific mean values and variances of the distributions of number of non-cohabiting partners were estimated.
Results The model yielded overall robust fits to the empirical distributions stratified by marital status and sex. The median across all country-specific mean values was highest for unmarried men at 0.574 non-cohabiting partners over the last 12 months, followed by that of unmarried women at 0.337, married men at 0.192 and married women at 0.038. The median of variances was highest for unmarried men at 0.127, followed by married men at 0.057, unmarried women at 0.005 and married women at 0.005. The largest variability in mean values across countries was for unmarried men (1.033–1.206), and the largest variability in variances was among unmarried women (0.000–1.994).
Conclusions Non-cohabiting sex appears to be a random ‘opportunistic’ phenomenon linked to situations that may facilitate it. The mean values and variances of number of partners in SSA show wide variation by country, marital status and sex. Unmarried individuals have larger mean values than their married counterparts, and men have larger mean values than women. Unmarried individuals appear to play a disproportionate role in driving heterogeneity in sexual networks and possibly epidemiology of sexually transmitted infections.

INTRODUCTION
The disease burden of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) including HIV is a major public health challenge for developed and developing countries.1 Since STIs propagate through sexual contact, understanding the dynamics of STI transmission in human populations is predicated on a satisfactory understanding of the patterns of sexual partnering and structure of sexual networks.2-4 This understanding however is challenged by the difficulty in quantifying the different facets of sexual behaviour and complexity of sexual networks.5

The theoretical underpinnings of sexual partnership dynamics have received much attention in the last two decades.2-6-8 An underlying philosophy of this line of investigation was to identify the plausible and testable stochastic processes that can explain the observed patterns of sexual partnering.6 The strengths of this research were in elucidating causal mechanisms that can generate the macrobehaviour of individual actors and in potentially furnishing methodologies for estimating measures of interest to inform practical applications.6

Building on this progress, we describe here a stochastic process model for understanding the dynamics of non-cohabiting heterosexual sex partnering. The focus of our approach however is not theoretical, but pragmatic: our immediate aim is to use existing sexual behaviour data to generate inferences about structure of sexual networks and to map patterns of non-cohabiting sex across sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), the region most affected by the HIV epidemic. Accordingly, we present an estimation methodology for characterising non-cohabiting sex partnering and apply it to 25 countries in SSA using nationally representative data, that of the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS).9

Since the majority of HIV incidence in SSA is estimated to arise outside the context of marital or cohabiting partnerships,10-11 our study contributes to improved understanding of HIV epidemiology in this continent. More broadly, this empirically driven understanding of non-cohabiting sex has the potential to empower future epidemiological analyses at the heart of the intersection between population sexual behaviour and STI epidemiology. Such analyses may use different methodological approaches, among them statistical analysis and mathematical modelling, and may address a variety of open scientific questions.

METHODS
Conceptual framework and mathematical model
We assumed that the formation or dissolution of a non-cohabiting sex partnership follows a Poisson stochastic process. Specifically, we assumed that there is a fixed hazard per unit time to form a partnership. If a partnership is formed, there is also a different fixed hazard per unit time for this partnership to be dissolved. Therefore, the equilibrium distribution of the number of non-cohabiting partners for an individual in the population (individual ‘x’) is described by

$$F_x = \text{Poisson}\left( \frac{P_x}{p_c} \right).$$ (1)

Here, Poisson denotes the Poisson distribution, $p_c$ denotes the probability of a partner acquisition for
individual ‘x’ per unit time and \( \mu_x \) denotes that for partnership dissolution. The equilibrium here is a dynamic equilibrium of the underlying behavioural process\(^6\)\(^{12}\) the equilibrium solution of the Kolmogorov forward equation for the stochastic process (Derivation S1 in the online supplementary appendix).

Figure 1A illustrates a number of non-cohabiting partnerships recorded by a cross-sectional survey, such as that of the DHS, at some time \( t_1 = t_0 + T \). Here, \( T \) is the survey’s target reporting period, normally 12 months in the DHS, where participants are asked about the number of non-cohabiting partners they have had over the last 12 months. Each participant would report his/her total number of non-cohabiting partners during \( T \), that is, between the beginning of the survey’s target period at \( t_0 \) and the time of the actual survey at \( t_1 \). The total number of reported partners for each individual is given by the sum of the number of partners at \( t_0 \) (denoted by white circles in figure 1A) and the number of newly formed partners during \( T \) (denoted by black circles in figure 1A). The latter is described by the distribution:

\[
H_x = \text{Poisson}(p_x T).
\]  

(2)

Accordingly, the distribution of the total number of partners over \( T \) for individual ‘x’ is given by:

\[
D_x = \text{Poisson} \left( p_x \left( \frac{1}{\mu_x} + T \right) \right),
\]  

(3)

and the expected value of the total number of partners is given by:

\[
E_x = p_x \left( \frac{1}{\mu_x} + T \right).
\]  

(4)

Human sexual behaviour is marked by heterogeneity. Informed by empirical data and previous theoretical work\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^6\)\(^8\) and to accommodate wider flexibility,\(^6\) we assumed that the population distribution of the individual mean values of the number of partners follows a gamma distribution with \( k \) and \( \theta \) parameters:

\[
Z_p = \text{Gamma}(k, \theta).
\]  

(5)

The parameter \( k \) determines the shape of the gamma distribution with different values generating a variety of shapes. The parameter \( \theta \) scales the distribution.

Based on the above description, the distribution of the reported number of partners in a cross-sectional survey is given by:

\[
Q_x = \text{Poisson}(E_x \sim \text{Gamma}(k, \theta)) = \text{NB}(k, \frac{1}{T+\theta}).
\]  

(6)

where \( \text{NB}(k, 1/(1+\theta)) \) denotes the negative-binomial distribution parameterised by \( k \) and \( 1/(1+\theta) \). \( \text{NB}(k, 1/(1+\theta)) \) provides the distribution of the number of failures until \( k \) successes in Bernoulli trials where the success probability is \( 1/(1+\theta) \). The theoretical links between all of these distributions are illustrated in figure 1B.

### Estimation of distribution parameters

According to the above analysis, it is possible to characterise non-cohabiting sex partnership formation and dissolution in a population using only two parameters: \( k \) and \( \theta \); the shape and scale parameters of the \( Z_p \) distribution. We estimated these parameters stratified by marital status and sex for 25 countries in SSA using DHS data. We also calculated, through these parameters, the country-specific mean values (\( k \theta \)) and variances (\( k \theta^2 \)) of the number of partners over the last 12 months. \( k \) and \( \theta \) were estimated using a maximum likelihood method of the function:

\[
L(k, \theta) = \prod \text{pmf}\left( \text{NB}(k, \frac{1}{1+\theta}), x \right).
\]  

(7)

Here, \( \text{pmf}(\text{NB}(k, 1/(1+\theta)), x) \) denotes the probability mass function of the \( Q_x \) distribution conditioned on the observed outcome of the number of partners for individual ‘x’. The maximum likelihood estimation was implemented in MATLAB\(^11\) using the \( \text{nbinfit} \) function. In occasions when the empirical mean was larger than that of variance, the negative-binomial function was replaced by its limit as a Poisson function, and the maximum likelihood estimation was performed using the \( \text{poissfit} \) function. The 95% CIs for the mean values and variances were calculated by bootstrap resampling.

### Model fitting


We defined a non-cohabiting sexual partnership as any reported sexual encounter between a man and a woman outside marriage or cohabitation. For each country, we extracted the empirical distribution of the number of non-cohabiting partners over the last 12 months stratified by marital status (married/unmarried) and sex (male/female). Descriptive statistics for these distributions can be found in online supplementary table S1.

## RESULTS

For the majority of countries and subpopulations, our model-predicted distributions matched the empirical DHS distributions (figure 2A and online supplementary figures S1–S4). For few countries, however, the number of non-cohabiting partners reported by unmarried men and women showed a peak in frequency at one (i.e., when a single partner was reported). This peak at one was not captured by the model in these countries, although overall the predicted distributions still matched well the empirical distributions (figure 2B and online supplementary figures S2 and S4).

There was heterogeneity with respect to marital status and sex in the model-estimated mean values for number of partners and associated 95% CIs. Unmarried men and women showed larger mean values and wider 95% CIs than their married counterparts (figure 3). Men showed larger mean values and wider 95% CIs than women (figure 3). The median across all country-specific mean values was highest for unmarried men at 0.574 partners over the last 12 months, followed by that of unmarried women at 0.337 and then that of married men at 0.192. Married women had the lowest median across SSA at 0.038 partners.

The estimated mean values varied also across countries. The largest variability was among unmarried men ranging from 0.103 to 1.206 partners. The range for unmarried women was...
The ranges for married men and women were 0.009 to 0.549 and 0.003 to 0.098, respectively. The model-estimated variances also exhibited heterogeneity with respect to marital status and sex. Men showed overall larger variances and wider 95% CIs than women (figure 4). Unmarried men showed overall larger variances than married men. Unmarried and married women showed very small variances. The median across all country-specific variances was highest for unmarried men at 0.127 followed by married men at 0.057. The medians for unmarried and married women were 0.000 and 0.003, respectively (figure 4).

The model-estimated variances also varied across countries. The largest variability was observed among unmarried women (ranging from 0.000 to 1.994), followed by unmarried men (0.000 to 1.580), married men (0.002 to 0.908), and lastly married women (0.000 to 0.153).
Figure 2 Illustration of the model fits of empirical distributions. (A) An example of a robust model fit of the number of non-cohabiting sex partners over the last 12 months. Robust fits were found for the majority of countries. (B) An example of a non-optimal model fit of the number of non-cohabiting sex partners over the last 12 months. Less than optimal fits were found for only unmarried men and women in few countries. All fits stratified by marital status and sex in the 25 studied countries in sub-Saharan Africa can be found in online supplementary figures S1–S4.

Figure 3 Estimated mean values and associated 95% CIs of the number of non-cohabiting sex partners over the last 12 months stratified by marital status and sex in 25 countries in sub-Saharan Africa. Detailed information on the empirical measures can be found in online supplementary table S1.

The model-estimated coefficients of variation (CV) also varied across countries. The largest variability was observed among married women (ranging from 0.000 to 20.324), followed by unmarried women (0.000 to 7.415), married men (0.555 to 5.845), and lastly unmarried men (0.000 to 2.857). Among the 25 countries, the model-estimated CV was equal to zero in zero
country for married men, two countries for unmarried men, four countries for married women, and 14 countries for unmarried women.

DISCUSSION
We described an analytical framework for understanding and characterising the process of non-cohabiting sex partnership formation and dissolution. We applied this methodology to 25 countries in SSA to derive the distribution of the number of sex partners over the last 12 months and to estimate summary statistics for each of married and unmarried men and women. Accordingly, we provided an overall mapping of the patterns of non-cohabiting sex partnering across much of SSA.

Our theoretical approach was expressed in terms of a parsimonious stochastic process model that included only two fitting parameters. The model-predicted distributions fitted the empirical distributions for the majority of countries. The agreement between the predicted and empirical distributions was remarkable in all four studied strata including married and unmarried men and married and unmarried women.

The ability of this model to reproduce the empirical distributions suggests that at least the gross features of non-cohabiting sex networking, which is believed to be a complex phenomenon, can be understood in terms of few rules dictating a simple and identifiable stochastic process. Our findings therefore add an insight to our understanding of premarital and extramarital sexuality.

These results suggest that there is a propensity to acquire non-cohabiting sex partners for any individual in a population, but the strength of this propensity varies from one individual to another. It seems that non-cohabiting sex is a random ‘opportunistic’ phenomenon whose expression is constrained by the circumstances of each individual. Past does not appear to be a crucial factor (Poisson process), but the social context of the individual, along with personal attributes and beliefs system, matter. The large heterogeneity in individual contexts in a society creates a distribution of ‘opportunities’ to engage in non-cohabiting sex, and this distribution appears to follow the shape of that of a gamma distribution.

Since human societies generally regard non-cohabiting sex as socially undesirable, this limits the latitude for engagement in sex outside sanctioned marriage. When an opportunity arises for non-cohabiting sex in the absence of serious perceived negative consequences, non-cohabiting sex may occur. If this
interpretation is valid, men should engage more in non-
cohabiting sex than women, since female sexuality is globally
more socially constrained, and unmarried individuals should
engage in non-cohabiting sex more so than married individuals.
Just as there is a distribution of ‘opportunities’ within any
society, and given the diversity of human societies, there should
be also variability across societies in the ‘mean opportunity’ to
engage in non-cohabiting sex.

This interpretation is consistent with the results of our ana-
lyses. The model-estimated mean values and variances of the
number of non-cohabiting partners suggest wide variation by
country, sex and marital status. The mean values across coun-
tries varied by as much as an order of magnitude, and men had
larger variances than women. Unmarried men and women had
much larger mean values of partners than their married counter-
parts. While married men still reported considerable non-
cohabiting sex, this was not the case for married women.

Other evidence appears also to support such understanding
of non-cohabiting sex. Non-cohabiting sex is associated empirically
with ‘possibility factors’,14 such as time spent apart in a spousal
or cohabiting partnership (eg, through occupational travel), less
reliance of women on men for their livelihood or living in
higher population densities.15–16 Our findings are also in agree-
ment with previous studies examining the statistical properties
of different sexual partnership distributions. These studies have
shown that non-homogenous Poisson models, just as the one
described here, produce optimal fits of empirical data.6 8 17

Our results suggest a disproportionate role for unmarried
individuals in driving heterogeneity in sexual networks, at least
in SSA. This is probably not surprising considering that close to
half of HIV incidence in SSA occurs among young adults, poss-
ibly through non-cohabiting sex.18 19 However, the small mean
values and limited variances for women, especially those
married, do not seem to be compatible with the comparable
HIV prevalence among men and women, and the nearly equal
probability for both sexes to be the index partner in an HIV ser-
odiscordant couple in SSA.20 21 This may suggest under-
reporting of non-cohabiting sex or participation bias among
women. This suggestion is plausible considering the challenges
of sexual-behaviour data collection,5 gender differentials in
reporting of sexual behaviour22 and biomarker studies showing
under-reporting of recent unprotected intercourse by
women.23 24 There is also evidence that sexual behaviour
surveys may not be capturing high sexual risk women such as
commercial sex workers.22 25

Furthermore, both the estimated mean values and variances
of non-cohabiting sex in all strata seem lower, in light of global
measures,26 than what would be expected in a context of such
high HIV prevalence in SSA.27 Mathematical modelling suggests
that high variance in sexual behaviour is essential to explain the
size of the HIV epidemics seen in SSA.3 8 This further suggests
reporting or participation bias in the surveys which may have,
along with censorship of large number of sexual partners,
altered the tail of the empirical distributions for the number of
partners. This also possibly explains the outlier variances seen in
few countries (figure 4). Such limitations in self-reported data
may influence the explanatory power of sexual behaviour ana-
yses including those presented here. The availability of detailed
and objective sexual behaviour data in the future, such as with
the addition of biomarkers,22 may facilitate a more refined and
in-depth understanding of non-cohabiting sex.

For few countries, the model did not yield optimal fits to the
empirical distributions for unmarried men and women, as it
failed to capture a peak in frequency at one (see online
supplementary figures S2 and S4). The DHS question that enquires about non-cohabiting sex does not distinguish between
long-term and short-term non-cohabiting partnerships.29 This
peak at one may reflect a tendency among unmarried individuals
in a few countries to engage in a single long-term non-
cohabiting partnership. Potential ambiguity in the definition of
non-cohabiting sex for some individuals may also contribute to
explaining this peak at one.

The overall excellent agreement between model predictions
and empirical data cannot exclude the possibility that other sto-

dastic process models, with varying assumptions, may fit

equally well the empirical distributions. It has been shown that
sexual partnership distributions can be described using different
stochastic process models,6 8 and that there may not be a
unitary process underlying the formation of sexual networks.9
For example, it is conceivable that there could be penalties for
acquiring multiple concurrent partners, and therefore the
Poisson assumption may not be a realistic assumption with the
addition of more partners. With only the gross features of
sexual behaviour being captured in surveys, not to mention the
known non-random biases in self-reported data,27 it is chal-

genging to have a fine-grained understanding of the diverse human
sexual networks.

Notably, capturing the tail of partner distributions, which


disproportionally influences STI epidemiology,28–30 continues to

be a difficult challenge.6 The tail plays a critical role in deter-

mining the variance, and thereby heterogeneity in sexual net-

works, but the information content at the tail is limited with

the small number of participants reporting large number of partners
even in large surveys.6 This challenge can be seen in the variabil-

ity of the size of the variance CIs and in the variability of the
model-estimated and survey variances across countries (figure 4
and online supplementary table S1). Nevertheless, our model
appears to provide a satisfactory degree of precision and a prac-

tical description of non-cohabiting sex dynamics.

In conclusion, we described an analytical framework in terms
of a parsimonious stochastic process model to characterise non-
cohabiting sex partnering in SSA. The model-predicted distribu-
tions fitted nicely the empirical distributions for the majority of
countries. The estimated mean values and variances of the
number of non-cohabiting partners suggest wide variation by
country, sex and marital status. Unmarried individuals, particu-
larly unmarried men, appear to play a major role in driving het-

erogeneity in sexual networks. Unmarried men and women had
much larger mean values of number of partners than their

Key messages

- Non-cohabiting sex partnering in human populations appears to be a random ‘opportunistic’ phenomenon and can be described by a simple stochastic process.
- Mean values and variances of the number of non-cohabiting sex partners in sub-Saharan Africa show wide variation by country, sex and marital status.
- Mean values and variances of sex partners, especially for women, appear to be smaller than what is expected in a context of large HIV epidemics.
- Unmarried men appear to play a disproportionate role in driving heterogeneity in sexual networks, and possibly epidemiology of sexually transmitted infections, in sub-Saharan Africa.
married counterparts. While married men still reported considerable non-cohabiting sex, this was not the case for married women. These findings add fresh insights to our understanding of premarital and extramarital sexuality and have the potential to empower further statistical and mathematical modelling analyses at the intersection between population sexual behaviour and STI epidemiology.
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