Does Gum Speciality Training Prepare New Consultants to Manage Sexual Dysfunction?
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Background/introduction Service provision for patients with sexual dysfunction (SD) in the UK varies according to locality and available expertise. Speciality training in SD may be variable and poorly standardised.

The 2010 GUM curriculum is due for review in 2015. The opinion of senior trainees and new consultants will help inform these curriculum developments.

Aim(s)/objectives We aim to establish

- whether new consultants feel adequately equipped to manage patients with SD
- what additional training is currently being undertaken
- whether additional training opportunities would be welcome

Methods An electronic survey was distributed to 51 trainees within 24 months of CCT and 19 new consultants.

Results The response rate was 39% (27/70) from 9 deaneries. 92% (24/26) felt that having training in SD as a GUM physician was important (46%) or very important (46%). Most trainees had some exposure to informal teaching 89% (24/27) or departmental teaching 63% (17/27) but very few had formal training. Only 8% (2/26) of respondents felt their training had adequately equipped them to manage SD. 46% (12/26) felt equipped to some extent but 31% (8/26) did not feel adequately equipped to manage SD. 88% (23/26) felt they would benefit from further training.

Discussion/conclusion Many senior trainees and new consultants do not feel equipped to manage SD. The ability to recognise and appropriately refer patients with SD is essential for any GUM clinician. The 2015 curriculum review will help standardise core training in SD, as well as providing opportunities for those who wish to deliver specialised services in future.

A Facility to Enable High-Quality, Time-Efficient Evaluations of Diagnostics for STIs

Emma Harding-Exch*, 1Marcus Pond, 1Achyuta Nori, 2Sebastian Fuller, 2L Christine Chow, 1Rebecca Howell-Jones, 1Catherine Hall, Mark Harrison, Anthony Nardone, 2Tim Planche, Philip Butcher, Catherine Lowndes, 3Tarq Sadq, 1Public Health England, London, UK; 2St George’s, University of London, London, UK; 3Oxford School of Public Health, Oxford, UK

Background/introduction Control of STIs is challenged by inadequate access to prompt diagnosis and treatment for patients and partners. Novel point-of-care diagnostics have real potential to address some of these challenges but their robust evaluation, and hence utility, is hampered by the ethics and regulatory landscape that confronts industry and academia.

Aim(s)/objectives To develop a diagnostics and clinical facility to deliver high-quality, time-efficient diagnostic evaluations for STIs.

Methods A multi-institutional and disciplinary group (eSTI) including clinical, public health and social scientists, microbiologists, clinicians, trial coordinators, and North American and European regulatory expertise was established. An ‘overarching’ ethics, favourable costing, and regulatory framework was carefully developed and put in place to enable any new diagnostic evaluation involving residual and/or additional-to-routine patient-consented samples to start promptly without requiring lengthy ethics applications. Strong working relationships with multiple GUM clinics were developed to overcome the potential for clinic fatigue, and Good Clinical Laboratory Practice Standard Operating Procedures were enabled.

Results Since February 2012, the network has conducted several evaluations with both academia and industry, spanning initial ‘proof of concept’ projects using residual samples, multi-site diagnostic evaluations involving >800 additional-to-routine patient samples completed in four months, and service evaluations of CE-marked assays. A diagnostic evaluation to support an application for regulatory approval will be taking place in 2015.

Discussion/conclusion The development of a diagnostic facility for STIs that fast-tracks high quality diagnostic evaluations is