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This presentation describes why men are invisible and do not seek sexual health services, mainly STI care and treatment. The review examines (i) how masculinity promotes STI/HIV infections; (ii) why men avoid seeking care and services and (ii) what are the means and ways through which services can reach men. The analysis attempts to answer the pathways of how social norms influence roles, behaviours and expectations and reinforce conditions on men through masculinity norms. In addition, it also describes structural barriers such as predominant ‘Feminised’ clinic spaces that inhibit men to seek services and adopt preventive behaviours.

Culture and social norms influence men’s and women’s risk through gender roles and relationships and ultimately determine whether, with whom and how various preventive technologies and sexual health services may or may not be used. Dominant masculinity norms and restrictive legal environments preclude men to reveal their sexual identities and preferences creating vulnerabilities to both men and women. Men’s sexual health practices have been linked to diverse enactments of masculinities that can both negatively and positively influence men’s wellbeing. Hegemonic masculinity idealises men’s bodies as robust and resilient (Charles and Walters 2008) and are more amenable to self-management than to seeking help from healthcare providers. Many men who engage in sex with other men are married in countries like India. Men’s perception of risk from such behaviours is also often low – especially if one is the “penetrator”. Men who engaged in extramarital sex (whether with other women or men) were six times more likely to report wife abuse than those who did not. Yet, gender norms perpetuate women’s submission to coercive sex in marriage and prevent frank discussions about sexuality and risk. While the introduction of microbicides may act as a catalyst, more encompassing gender transformative strategies were needed to reduce men’s and women’s risk of HIV and STI.

The review also opens up the ways of finding an alternative means which can open up the possible route for them to utilise the services.
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The horizontal transmission of HIV infection depends primarily on the concentration of virus in the blood and genital secretions. Accordingly, sexual transmission of HIV can be virtually eliminated with successful antiretroviral treatment (ART); not surprisingly, vulnerability for an HIV negative partner exists early in treatment and when/if treatment fails. Treatment of HIV (even at high CD4 count) improves health and prevents neoplasms and tuberculosis. These results have led to widespread recommendation for universal testing and treatment (UTT), limited only by infrastructure and not stage of disease. However, the detection and treatment of acute and early infection remains a challenge. There is strong evidence that treatment of acute and early HIV has both health and public health benefit. And people treated during acute infection have a smaller viral reservoir (“latent pool”) and may be better candidates for attempts at viral eradication (i.e. cure). However, detection of patients with very early infection remains a challenge. Point of care tests currently available to detect acute infection do not perform well. Syndromic algorithms for detection of acute infection are available but they have not been widely used, even in Africa. Use of viral
Syphilis rates are increasing in many parts of the world. HIV-infected persons, particularly men who have sex with men (MSM), have been affected disproportionately. In a recent study, 15% of patients attending New York City STD clinics who were diagnosed with syphilis subsequently became HIV-infected. Several questions about the optimal management of syphilis in HIV-infected persons remain unanswered and continue to elicit controversy, yet none of these are responsible for this persistent epidemic. There are, however, many questions whose answers may critically impact the control of these infections: What is the role of novel point-of-care syphilis tests? Is there a role for syphilis pre-exposure prophylaxis and male circumcision? How will PrEP impact the rates of syphilis? Seventy years following the introduction of a cure, and over 30 years after the discovery of HIV, syphilis continues to present formidable challenges to public health.
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The degree of regulation of sexuality has been very diverse through times and cultures. Christianity regulated it heavily, and many of its views became part of the European legal tradition. The last thirty years have seen considerable changes in Western culture, including the emergence of social movements around gender equity, sexual diversity, and HIV. In connection with the struggles of these movements as well as other social and political changes, there has been considerable progress in the situation of women and people with non-heterosexual identities, although in this case there has been a greater range of changes, including some on the negative side, such as criminalization. Finally, the global HIV movement made significant changes in the relationship between scientists, doctors, community and regulatory agencies, and led a crusade to expand access to treatment and prevention.

Because HIV is primarily sexually transmitted, and more prevalent in socially excluded groups in countries without generalized epidemics, AIDS was doubly stigmatized: as a deadly and mysterious disease, and as an indicator of social exclusion. Predictably, HIV stigma led to discrimination in access to services. In most of the world, the promotion of condom use did reduce, but never eliminated HIV transmission. Such finding brought a focus both to cultural differences and to structural vulnerability. HIV is clearly more frequent among socially excluded people, who need public interventions to reduce such vulnerability. In its history of more than three decades, HIV has elicited a variety of responses in legislative and regulatory frameworks, both positive and negative, some of which will be discussed.

The history of the response to STIs, with emphasis on the modern HIV epidemic shows the growing relationship between public health, public policy and legislation, and the potential