doi:10.1136/sextrans-2016-052535

Highlights from this issue

Jackie A Cassell, *Editor in Chief***Provenance and peer review** Commissioned; Not peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- 1 Y Chen M, Langan S, I Benchimol E. Routinely collected electronic health data and STI research: RECORD extension to the STROBE guidelines. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016;92:2–3.
- 2 J Lee Ming, Oza Bhavna, Pakianathan Mark, et al. Making every contact count: improving the assessment of gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men using a structured proforma. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016;92:48.
- 3 A Gaydos C, Jett-Goheen M, Barnes M, et al. Use of a risk quiz to predict infection for sexually transmitted infections: a retrospective analysis of acceptability and positivity. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016;92:44–8.
- 4 Falasinnu T, Gilbert M, Gustafson P, et al. A validation study of a clinical prediction rule for screening asymptomatic chlamydia and gonorrhoea infections among heterosexuals in British Columbia. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016;92:12–8.
- 5 Nuñez-Forero L, Moyano-Ariza L, Gaitán-Duarte H, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of rapid tests for sexually transmitted infections in symptomatic women. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016;92:24–8.
- 6 E Hay P, R Kerry S, Normansell R, et al. Which sexually active young female students are most at risk of pelvic inflammatory disease? A prospective study. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016;92:63–6.
- 7 van der Veer C, S van Rooijen M, Himschoot M, . *Trichomonas vaginalis* and *Mycoplasma genitalium*: age-specific prevalence and disease burden in men attending a sexually transmitted infections clinic in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016;92:83–5.
- 8 Gallay C, Miranda E, Schaefer S, et al. Human papillomavirus (HPV) contamination of gynaecological equipment. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016;92:19–23.
- 9 A Doherty I, Myers B, A Zule W, et al. Seek, Test and Disclose: knowledge of HIV testing and serostatus among high-risk couples in a South African township. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016;92:5–11.
- 10 Ding Y, Wu Z, Duan S, et al. Risk factors for incident HSV-2 infections among a prospective cohort of HIV-1-discordant couples in China. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016;92:76–82.
- 11 Ferrer L, Loureiro E, Meulbroek M, et al. High HIV incidence among men who have sex with men attending a community-based voluntary counselling and testing service in Barcelona, Spain: results from the ITACA cohort. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016;92:70–5.
- 12 R Mitchell K, Prah P, H Mercer C, et al. Medicated sex in Britain: evidence from the third National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016;92:32–8.
- 13 J Melendez-Torres G, Hickson F, Reid D, et al. Drug use moderates associations between location of sex and unprotected anal intercourse in men who have sex with men: nested cross-sectional study of dyadic encounters with new partners. *Sex Transm Infect* 2016;92:39–43.

and reduce their cost, commissioners and insurers will expect us to understand and use opportunities to target complex services to those at greatest risk. We should however be cautious in our embrace of new technologies as solutions for patient care, as Nuñez-Forero *et al* remind us in a report on still weak performance of point of care tests, especially for *Chlamydia trachomatis*.⁵

Two studies address the still mysterious role of *Mycoplasma genitalium*, with a follow up from the POPI study⁶ reporting that it disappeared as a risk for pelvic inflammatory disease after adjustment for baseline *Chlamydia trachomatis*. Van de Veer *et al* estimate in the Netherlands that up to 6% of symptomatic STI in males could be due to *M. Genitalium*, where *Trichomonas vaginalis* remains uncommon among males. The epidemiology of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in Europe and most other developed countries is still in striking contrast to extremely high prevalence reported in the USA, this month by Alcaide *et al*.⁷

Our clinical readers will be interested in a report on the role of *Haemophilus influenzae* and *parainfluenzae* in male urethritis. How often is this missed through lack of testing, as we switch to nucleic acid testing? Widespread contamination of clinical services by HPV is also a concern.⁸

HIV testing, and its disclosure to partners in South Africa is interestingly explored by Doherty *et al*,⁹ while Ding *et al* report incident HSV in HIV discordant couple.¹⁰ HIV incidence in a community cohort of MSM in Spain is reported by Ferrer *et al*.¹¹

Other themes this month include medicated sex in the UK National Study of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles,¹² the interaction between drug use and location of risk in MSM,¹³ HPV in transsexuals and the need to interpret chlamydia surveillance trends in the light of laboratory related effects. Something for all of our readers, we hope. Happy New Year.

Competing interests None.

We start 2016 with an editorial that looks towards the future shape of sexually transmitted infection (STI) services and research. Chen *et al*¹ introduce the recent RECORD guidelines, and extension of the STROBE guidelines (<http://www.strobe-statement.org/>) which covers Reporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely collected Data. We have published a growing number of studies using electronic health record data, many from Australia where use of fully electronic records is widespread. Although the challenges of collecting and interpreting such data are considerable, as discussed in an interesting study by Brook *et al* and a BASHH column, the use of electronic health records is opening a new era in health research. At STI journal we strongly encourage the use of guidelines, such as those promoted by the Equator Network (<http://www.equator-network.org>). Large scale routine health dataset enables us to increase the scale, detail and coverage, while introducing new opportunities for error and ambiguity. Research has traditionally built on customized data collection tools, but now even Randomised Controlled Trials are now being conducted using routine records for outcome assessment. Our next generation of researchers will need to develop the skills to work with, link and enhance routine datasets, and to address openly and transparently the very real challenges and opportunities of the electronic data age.

Routine electronic health records also provide new opportunities to improve risk assessment and the targeting of services, and we need to look at ways to do this simply and efficiently, at scale. Lee *et al* report a simple risk assessment tool with good performance in identifying higher risk clients in the UK,² while in the USA Gaydos *et al* report the use of a “risk quiz” to explore the relationship between behaviour and online test positivity.³ Falasinnu *et al* similarly attempt a clinical prediction rule, reporting a sensitivity of 86% in a Canadian setting.⁴ With growing pressure on clinical services, and increasing demands to simplify, streamline