by Julius Schacter which reflects on the need for clinicians to maintain a critical and sceptical eye when faced with claims for a new test. This editorial reflects on the worryingly poor performance of the BioChekSwab test in Rwanda, described by De Baetselier. Testing is the theme of several other papers including a comparison of urine vs penile swabs for HPV.

In the age of the HPV vaccine, there is now a plethora of surveys on HPV testing now summarised in a systematic review which describes dramatic variation in knowledge among European adolescents. Van Klaveren et al explore another question relevant to European young people – the extent to which chlamydia testing can be targeted. Again from Natsal-3, Prah et al compare same-sex behaviours behaviour in men in a probability sample with community samples. Elsewhere Wilkinson et al present an interesting evaluation of HIV home sampling. Kamali compares HIV incidence in rural vs fishing populations in Kenya Prah and Reed describes violence to sex workers in Andhra Pradesh.

Last but not least, our clinical readers can assess their digital readiness in Menon-Johansson's “How To Do It”, read Clinical Round-Up and speculate on novel causes of pelvic infection. Enjoy!
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